

**THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, December 1, 2015 at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom  
Bobby Sikes  
Dr. Jim Sims  
Harold Smith  
Ross Streater  
Vancine Sturdivant  
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board  
Rita James, Data Processing  
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer  
Dr. Fred Thompson, Health Director  
Evonne Burr, Health Department  
Rodney Diggs, Emergency Management  
Landric Reid, Sheriff

Others: Scott Forbes, County Attorney  
Janine Rywak, Extension Director

The Clerk to the Board stated that it was her honor to call the meeting to **Order**. The Clerk extended a warm welcome to those in attendance, voicing appreciation for their interest in county government. The Clerk then recognized Reverend Doctor Sarah B. Kalish, Senior Pastor of First United Methodist Church, Wadesboro, North Carolina to deliver the **Invocation**.

**Election of Chair and Vice Chair:** The Clerk explained that each year the December meeting serves as the re-organization of the Board. General Statute 153A-39 states that a board chair shall be elected annually for a term of twelve months. The Clerk then opened the floor for nominations for the office of Chairman of the Anson County Board of Commissioners. Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to nominate Anna Baucom. The Clerk called for other nominations. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to close the nominations on the said name. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom stated that it was an honor to serve as Chairman and voiced appreciate for the support of fellow board members. Chairman Baucom then called for nominations for Vice Chairman. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to nominate Ross Streater. Chairman Baucom then called for other nominations. Hearing none, motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner

Sturdivant, to close the nominations on the said name. Motion carried unanimously. Vice Chair Streater commented that Chairman Baucom had done a good job as chairman and interim County Manager. Chairman Baucom voiced that you really don't know what all goes into managing county government. Chairman Baucom noted that it has so many moving parts and so many employees and she has never appreciated our County Manager until this past year. Chairman Baucom stated that she was grateful for the experience but more grateful it was almost over. Chairman Baucom voiced that we have good employees, they know their jobs and do their jobs most of the time.

**Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners:** Chairman Baucom asked if there were any additions to the agenda. Vice Chair Streater asked to add a discussion of the seats in courtroom #2. Chairman Baucom added this as item g under Administrative Matters. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the agenda with the addition. Motion carried unanimously.

**Appearances:**

**Denise Whitley – Animal Control Issues:** Ms. Whitley thanked board members for the opportunity to speak. Ms. Whitley shared that she has rescued hundreds of animals and spent thousands of dollars on them. Ms. Whitley feels we have a tremendous animal abuse problem in Anson County and we desperately need an Animal Control Ordinance. Ms. Whitley feels the Ordinance needs strict guidelines and heavy fines put in place to make sure the animals are protected. Ms. Whitley feels in order to make it work it must be enforced by the staff of the Animal Shelter and Sheriff's office. Ms. Whitley stated that when the shelter first opened Chief Gallimore of Lilesville offered to help write an Ordinance for Anson County but he never heard back from anyone. Ms. Whitley stated that we have a certified animal cruelty officer with the Sheriff's office who has been moved to a detective so currently we have no one trained in this office to deal with these problems. Ms. Whitley added that the Animal Shelter also has a certified cruelty officer but there are many situations when a deputized official is needed and because the shelter does not handle large animals, it is important to have an animal cruelty officer trained in the Sheriff's Department. Mr. Whitley stated that Sheriff Reid has assured her that as soon as he fills the empty positions in his department he will send an officer to be trained as a certified animal cruelty officer. Ms. Whitley stated that of the five counties she researched recently, Anson County has the worse reputation for animal abuse and for not caring for our animals. Ms. Whitley added that the public expects the elected officials to step up and do what is right. Ms. Whitley stated that we've talked about this for years and yet no changes have been made. Ms. Whitley encouraged the board to form an ad Hoc committee to write the ordinance, bring it back to the County Attorney to review and then for it to be voted on and put in place. Ms. Whitley then stated that she would like to meet with Sheriff Reid and Ben Kane and bring back a list of recommended names for the committee. Ms. Whitley added that she would also like to have Chief Gallimore as a part of the committee. Ms. Whitley encouraged board members to visit the Animal Shelter to see what kind of animals they get in. Ms. Whitley stated that euthanazation is happing on a

large basis because they can only keep so many animals. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. Whitley if she would like to head the project with Ms. Whitley answering yes. Ms. Whitley stated she would work with Sheriff Reid and Ben Kane and bring back a list of names for the board's approval at the next meeting. Motion by Vice Chair Streater that we let Ms. Whitley bring back names for the committee at the next meeting. Commissioner Woodburn offered a second to the motion saying he has been approached about the same issue. Ms. Whitley then asked if she had the board's approval to spearhead this and get the Ordinance started and brought back for approval. Chairman Baucom called for the vote. Commissioner Woodburn noted that the motion was to bring the names and asked if they wanted to amend it to include the Ordinance. Chairman Baucom felt it included everything said with Vice Chair Streater saying his motion was to head the committee. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom asked if we had an animal ordinance with the clerk answering we have one for Confinement of Animals – Rabies and an Animal Control Ordinance dealing mostly with animal bites and vaccinations. The clerk noted that she had planned to start in January putting this on the Agenda, noting she has copies of Ordinances from Scotland, Lee and Surry counties.

**Janine Rywak – Agri-Civic Center Update:** Ms. Rywak stated that she presented some information at the November board meeting concerning where they were with negotiating a contract with the Architects for the Agri-Civic Center. Ms. Rywak shared that in 2008 when the Board of Commissioners approved for them to move forward with a new Anson Agri-Civic Center, the price tag then is not the price tag today. Ms. Rywak stated that since then the size of the conference center has been increased twice and that is due to input and request from the county and the community. Ms. Rywak stated that the cost of construction has gone up and continues to go up each year that we do not start construction. Ms. Rywak noted that there were a few extra fees that were not in the original feasibility study that are part of the cost of building a new facility. Ms. Rywak stated that with all this in mind, they are coming up short with what the county has committed and what they talked about in their plans for the size of the facility in the neighborhood of three million dollars. Ms. Rywak shared that the small group that is working through the facilities committee to negotiate the contract are at a standstill because the scope and size of the building is part of the contract and the price tag is included in this. Ms. Rywak added that they cannot move forward until they know what they can do. Ms. Rywak asked board members if they had thought about this and could offer some direction. Ms. Rywak asked if they approve of the additional funding or should they reduce the size of the building. Chairman Baucom asked if there was a way to build the building so it could be added onto or expanded seamlessly. Ms. Rywak stated that she was not an architect but they could take this back to the architect for discussion. Chairman Baucom stated that she would like to hear that conversation. Chairman Baucom stated that we need to scale it back in some way to meet the budget that we originally agreed upon unless they think they can raise more money. Ms. Rywak stated that in 2008 the initial size was to be able to seat 500 at round tables and it got increased to 750 and the comments were if

you are going to build it go ahead and build what you need now instead of adding on later so the current size is a conference facility that would be 12,000 square feet that could be subdivided into three 4,000 square foot rooms. Ms. Rywak stated that it would seat 900 at tables and 1450 in chairs. Ms. Rywak asked if they were to scale it back what size were they talking about. Chairman Baucom felt they needed to know what could be done, adding that it may not be feasible on that piece of property. Chairman Baucom voiced that she did not know that this board could do any more than they agreed to do. Vice Chair Streater asked if they could scale back other parts of the building and not the conference facility. Ms. Rywak stated that she has learned more than she wanted to know and that one square footage is gross and one is net. Ms. Rywak referred to the net square footage which is the capacity saying that currently the conference center is at 20,600 square feet and the office side is at 14,000 square feet. Ms. Rywak offered to meet with the offices that will be housed there, adding that she has reduced the Extension side. Ms. Rywak stated that the size they are now, compared to the conference center, and with the number of people we have to have office space for, she doesn't think we will gain as much square footage here as we would from the conference center. Vice Chair Streater asked who all would be housed in the building with Cooperative Extension. Ms. Rywak answered Brown Creek Soil and Water, Farm Service Agency and Natural Resources Conservation Service. Commissioner Sikes asked the largest crowd Stanly and Union County have ever had at their facility with Ms. Rywak saying she did not have the largest standing crowd but there were 70,000 people that went through the Union County facility in 2014. Ms. Rywak added that South Piedmont has their graduation there and felt that would be a large crowd. Ms. Rywak stated that they used to be able to put tables in the auditorium in Stanly County and take them out and they have stopped doing that. Ms. Rywak shared that it was going to be a chair only facility. Chairman Baucom asked why they stopped with Ms. Rywak not sure but thinking it had something to do with room and the movability of getting the chairs in and out. Commissioner Sturdivant asked again the number the conference center could seat at its current size with Ms. Rywak answering 900 at tables and right at 1500 with just chairs. Commissioner Sikes asked if this was what we agreed on or if that was what they are asking for with Ms. Rywak answering that was what the current plan was calling for. Ms. Rywak stated that originally it was 500 at tables and chairs. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if the current size could house SPCC graduation with Ms. Rywak answering yes. Vice Chair Streater asked how many people usually attend the Pee Dee Electric event with Ms. Rywak answering about 900 people. Commissioner Sturdivant commented that if we were to keep it at the current size we could bid on some of the events going to Richmond and Union counties. Ms. Rywak noted there were pros and cons to all of this. Ms. Rywak stated that the current size would put us in a position to host some events that other counties are hosting that would bring more people to the county but how many per year that would be is another question. Ms. Rywak added do you build a building that would hold 1500 people but you only have three events a year that need that much space. Ms. Rywak stated that if we are able to bring more people into the county for conferences and all, are we going to be able to have more restaurants, more caterers

and hotels. Commissioner Smith asked if the building would be on a single slab with Ms. Rywak answering right now it is. Commissioner Woodburn agreed the first thing is to put this before the architects and let them give us two or three options and see what makes sense. Ms. Rywak noted that the original price tag that the architects came back with was \$16.3 million and they have gotten them down to \$13.4 million. Vice Chair Streater asked the size of the offices to be located in the building with Ms. Rywak answering the square footage in the extension offices would be 150 square feet in the office and USDA is the same except for their Executive Director which would be 200 square feet because they have individual meetings with farmers and producers in there. Ms. Rywak added that for Soil and Water the technician space was 125 square feet and director's space was 175 square feet. Vice Chair Streater asked how that compares to what they have now with Ms. Rywak answering more than what they have now because NRCS is putting offices for two additional staff members. Ms. Rywak explained that this was a multi-county office and USDA is looking at the combination of Richmond and Anson counties into one office and if that happens then all the Richmond County folks would be housed here. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if the facility had an industrial kitchen with Ms. Rywak answering yes. Vice Chair Streater favored taking another look and bringing it back to the board as he doesn't think Rita can find any more money. Chairman Baucom felt they needed to analyze and assess what they think the finance group can raise. Commissioner Smith asked if they were proposing a brick or metal building with Ms. Rywak answering they are talking brick. Mr. Lookabill was here and spoke to the fund raising issue. Mr. Lookabill stated that they had a pretty good push up the hill to get 2.5 million dollars and they think in the next couple of months they will reach one million. Mr. Lookabill shared that they were beginning to go outside the community to some of the foundations that operate in the county and they will start doing grant writing with some of them. Mr. Lookabill stated that this was a multi-phase project but they had to get about one million dollars before they could really kick it off. Mr. Lookabill stated that they hope to get a grass roots campaign started in the community where everybody that wants to have a part in this or wants to give whatever amount can do so. Mr. Lookabill shared that they would love to raise it all but he can't say they will. Chairman Baucom voiced that the board was committed to their part but the problem is they don't think they can commit to more than that. Chairman Baucom stated that every day that this goes on she suspects the cash register keeps ticking with Ms. Rywak saying it does. Chairman Baucom commented that they could not take this on until they feel better about being able to raise that much money and right now they would like them to continue working on it. Commissioner Sims felt that Mr. Lookabill's group had made a heroic effort and commended them for their hard work. Ms. Rywak stated that she would contact the architects tomorrow and would return when she has more information.

**Sheriff Landric Reid – Courthouse Security:** Sheriff Reid offered congratulations to Chairman Baucom on her reappointment. Sheriff Reid felt everyone had a book he sent out last month regarding courthouse security. Sheriff Reid stated that last March he met with a courtroom inspector for the US Marshall's office to survey

courtrooms #1 and #2. Sheriff Reid stated that in walking through the courtrooms there were several things they noticed that need to be fixed. Sheriff Reid stated that courtroom #1 which is the one that houses the Sheriff's office, has tile missing in the men's bathroom. Sheriff Reid shared that they noticed something sticking out of the tile and they found a cell phone. Sheriff Reid stated that the Judge and secretary space outside courtroom #1 is a security issue for those using the office because the people that come to court and go through the metal detector line up right past their office door. Sheriff Reid stated that courtroom #2 houses superior court and during their inspection they noticed that we have security guards arming the metal detectors and that if you go throughout the state you won't see this much anymore. Sheriff Reid stated that we are one of a few counties that do this now. Sheriff Reid felt deputies should be handling security for the courtrooms. Sheriff Reid gave an example saying today at 12 Noon the presiding Judge over the superior court had to leave and once he left the courtroom was closed down. Sheriff Reid noted there was superior court tomorrow, Thursday and Friday and a security issue is that once the Judge left if someone was going to court tomorrow for a case that they felt would not give a good outcome, they could go in the courthouse to the second floor, hide a gun anywhere up there and tomorrow when court is back in session they go through the metal detector and all is well. Sheriff Reid stated that in the courtroom the Judge says something they don't want to hear so they walk out, get their hidden gun and go back in the courtroom and shoot whoever they want to. Sheriff Reid felt this was not a matter of if but when. Sheriff Reid noted that everyone had a copy of Mr. Baldwin's report on courtroom security. Sheriff Reid stated that normally there are three weeks out of a month where there is no superior court and during that time Mr. Hammonds, his staff and the DA's office feel very unsecure because during this time there are no security guards in the building. Sheriff Reid stated that the deputies of the Anson County Sheriff's office work the court system and most Judges will tell you they've never had a sheriff before that when a Judge arrives in the morning and before he steps out of his car there is a deputy standing at his door to escort him to the courtroom. Sheriff Reid added that when he walks out of the courtroom a deputy walks him back to his car. Sheriff Reid stated that times are changing and there are people now that would rather cut your head off than shake your hand. Sheriff Reid commented that he does not want to be the one to say I didn't do my job trying to get extra security there. Sheriff Reid offered thanks to Mr. Richard Allen for what his security guys do but they are not properly trained to respond to a situation. Sheriff Reid stated that according to the tax office courthouse #1 was built in 1986 and courthouse #2, the superior courthouse, was built in 1911. Sheriff Reid noted that security issues were not a concern in 1911 but now it is a big concern. Sheriff Reid voiced appreciation for anything the board could do for them, adding that he was willing to work them in any way. Sheriff Reid stated that he had Ms. James work up the cost per deputy if they do something. Chairman Baucom asked if that was \$44,979 with Sheriff Reid answering that was full coverage. Chairman Baucom asked how many deputies he would need with Sheriff Reid answering they were asking for three and they need two at least. Sheriff Reid said the third deputy would be at courtroom #1 in the Sheriff's office. Sheriff Reid added that when court is

not in session, courtroom #1 is locked and no one can get in that part of the building. Chairman Baucom asked Sheriff Reid if he could come up with a plan where they could do these things incrementally. Sheriff Reid noted the survey was free and he could get him and someone with the Department of Justice involved and they can help us out. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that the notebook she passed out at the November meeting details this plan. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that as soon as you open the door to the Richmond County courthouse you go through a metal detector. Sheriff Reid stated that with his plan everyone that comes into the Anson County courthouse will go through a metal detector as soon as they open the door. Sheriff Reid added that they also plan to use the x-ray machine donated to his office by the US Marshall's office.

### **Public Addresses to the Board**

**Jeff Boothby** feels what he is going to talk about will address some of the budget issues the county has. Mr. Boothby feels it will also add tax revenue and grow the economy in ways that doesn't cost the county anything. Mr. Boothby noted that a lot has been going on in the right direction over the past several months and shared a handout with his talking points with board members. Mr. Boothby felt most of what's been going on is due to the board's support and leadership. Mr. Boothby stated that there are a lot of projects coming together over the next three to five years both on the private and public side that will really make a difference in the county. Mr. Boothby mentioned that as we talk about some of the growth of our service industry with restaurants, convenient stores, caterers and bringing in a name brand hotel there is a recurring issue that the TDA board has seen and some concerns that have been brought to them by some of the restaurant owners and others about the lack of being a wet county. Mr. Boothby realizes at times this is a controversial issue although he thinks most of the concerns are mitigated everywhere else around us and there aren't the same issues as in the past. Mr. Boothby stated that at the last election cycle unfortified beer and wine was on the ballot and felt it was pretty confusing. Mr. Boothby stated that they are recommending and asking the board for a referendum on this ballot to allow Anson County to be a wet county. Mr. Boothby feels by putting it in the ballot we are allowing the people of Anson County to make that decision so it is the public's desire. Mr. Boothby felt the benefits of this are on Economic Development and by doing this our existing restaurants, convenience stores and other business of that nature will be able to expand their profit margins which means more tax revenue, securing existing job and look at growing more jobs as their business improves. Mr. Boothby feels this will mean a larger opportunity to have more businesses coming into our county that we would not have otherwise. Mr. Boothby feels this will boost outdoor eco-tourism with outdoor music venues and outdoor weddings. Mr. Boothby stated that bringing people here where they can't drink at one of these events doesn't make it profitable for someone looking to start a business like this. Mr. Boothby mentioned that this would also lead to the winery and vineyard industry. Mr. Boothby added that Stanly County has taken advantage of this and has seen millions of dollars of revenue from this industrial growth. Mr. Boothby added that it is very difficult to get an ABC

license and they would be forced to be a reputable business. Mr. Boothby mentioned that another big issue he's heard is that this will contribute to the trash issue and he feels this should make this less of an issue. Mr. Boothby feels right now people travel out of the county for this and by us being a wet county they will shop local and probably do all their shopping while there. Mr. Boothby again asked the board to allow another referendum for alcohol on the ballot in November. Mr. Boothby stated that if the people say they don't want it then they can look at other ways to still attract these businesses but if they say yes they will have a much easier job. Chairman Baucom voiced that the board knows what they want. Vice Chair Streater stated that he wants to know what is the different from what was just voted on and what he is asking for. County Attorney Forbes stated that it appears he is asking us to revote on a similar issue. County Attorney Forbes stated that they want to know as soon as possible could they put this on a referendum. County Attorney Forbes felt this was not the venue for that but a time to address the board with the concerns and the board can put this on the agenda at a later meeting. Mr. Boothby stated that before it was for unfortified beer and wine which means when you go to a convenience store if they are able to sell alcohol they have beer and a few wines there. Mr. Boothby stated that a wet county would allow for alcohol in general and if you apply for an ABC license you can serve beer and if there is a bartender there it could be liquor by the drink. Mr. Boothby stated that with most of the businesses he's talked to it is mainly just beer and wine sales. Vice Chair Streater asked how that would affect towns like Peachland and Lilesville with County Attorney Forbes answering if the county is wet the towns are wet. County Attorney Forbes stated that sometimes a town can be wet and the county is dry. County Attorney Forbes felt Peachland did not have enough population to even hold a vote to see if they want to be wet so they would be dependent upon the county being wet before they could have beer and wine sales in their municipality. Mr. Boothby felt the threshold was 500 citizens and thinks Peachland was 40 to 50 people below that. Chairman Baucom thanked Mr. Boothby saying the board would make a decision later.

#### **Administrative Matters:**

**Family Planning Medicaid Fee Changes:** Chairman Baucom mentioned a phone call from a couple that had been to the health department for their flu shots. Chairman Baucom noted that both were retired and one had Humana and the other has United Health Care. Chairman Baucom stated that the health department took one but not the other and asked how can we deal with that. Dr. Thompson explained that he has traditional Medicare so he can go to any doctor, the health department or hospital but if he chooses a Medicare advantage plan through Humana then at the time he chooses it he is required to pick a provider and Humana will only pay for him to go to that provider. Dr. Thompson stated that the couple chose different plans and they could accommodate the husband but not the wife and they understand this now. Dr. Thompson stated that some people choose the Medicare advantage plan because they feel it has a better benefit structure than traditional Medicare but it limits who you can go see. Chairman Baucom asked if Medicare would not have covered the flu shot with

Dr. Thompson answering if they have traditional Medicare then yes. Dr. Thompson added the Medicare advantage plans also cover the flu shot but Humana dictates who you go to. Ms. Burr stated that the Board of Health approved these fees at their November 17<sup>th</sup> meeting. Ms. Burr explained that they are under a 340B prime account that allows them to buy certain medicines at a discounted prices. Ms. Burr stated that they addressed them for family planning and it's only for Medicaid patient that they have to set prices for certain things. Ms. Burr added that all their other programs are listed by the percentage of eligibility and different things but for family planning they have asked that the Medicaid rate be set. Dr. Thompson noted that Medicaid was having trouble making ends meet and they are requiring that they bill for family planning drugs based on the average actual cost of what you pay and that is all they are allowed to bill Medicaid for. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, second by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

DESCRIPTION	FEE	CPT CODE			
Mirena Intrauterine System	\$315.02	J7302ud			
Skylla Intrauterine System	\$493.16	J7301ud			
Paragard T380A Single	\$234.66	J7300ud			
Nexplanon 68mg Implant	\$325.00	J7307ud			
Depo-SubQ Provera	\$13.50	J1050ud			
Pills	\$8.23	S4993ud			
Ortho Tri-Cyclen Lo	\$1.63	x	243	=	\$396.09
Levonorg/Eth Esto.	\$9.53	x	108	=	\$1,029.24
Plan B One Step	\$4.84	x	45	=	\$217.80
Cryselle – 28	\$27.19	x	29	=	\$788.51
Seasonique	\$1.76	x	46	=	\$80.96
Tri-Norinyl	\$1.63	x	24	=	\$39.12
Ortho Micronor	\$22.43	x	59	=	\$1323.37
Brevicon	\$15.36	x	96	=	\$1474.56
TOTAL TO AVERAGE PRICE			650	/	\$5349.65 = \$8.23

**Environmental Health Fee Increases:** Mr. Kane shared that at the November Board of Health meeting they approved these fees and they are now presented for the board's approval. Mr. Kane stated that Anson County has not raised prices for environmental health in a long time. Mr. Kane explained that environmental health was in need of a computer program and he doesn't want to take the funds from

the general fund and if the price increase is approved they can use those funds to purchase the software. Mr. Kane added that right now this software is the best in the state. Mr. Kane stated that include in the agenda packet is a sheet showing existing fees and proposed fees, adding that he would like to do away with the repair fees. Mr. Kane explained that they've already paid for them to go out and do the evaluation and the only thing they do on the second visit is to make sure repairs were done correctly. Mr. Kane shared that in doing a comparison of fees with surrounding counties he found we were the third lowest in the state. Mr. Kane stated that the people using the system will pay for the program. Chairman Baucom asked if the proposed changes in fees will cover the first year and subsequent years for the software with Mr. Kane answering if his math is correct and we keep growing then yes. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Commissioner Woodburn then asked if there was any negative impact on raising the fees with Mr. Kane saying it was almost like a user fee. Chairman Baucom asked if the software would save time and improve accuracy with Mr. Kane saying that right now the state is requiring more and more reports and the software will allow them to access the reports quicker. Mr. Kane added that the current program used for food and lodging was not user friendly and this software will interface with the current program. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>Existing Fees</u>	<u>Proposed Fees</u>	
New lot evaluation	\$150.00	\$250.00
Reconnect/Existing	\$75.00	\$100.00
Repair Permit	\$50.00	\$ 0
Expansion of System	\$100.00	\$150.00
Revisit/Layout change	\$100.00	\$150.00
Pool Permit	\$50.00	\$75.00
Well Permit	\$250.00	\$250.00

**Hazardous Materials Emergencies Ordinance:** Mr. Diggs stated that several counties are doing a similar Ordinance to get ahead of the fracking issue. Mr. Diggs explained that this gives us authority to go on property if they get reports of people dumping hazardous material to stop it before it goes further and it also helps us recover our cost. Mr. Diggs stated that currently when he responds to tractor trailer accidents some pay and some don't and this would enable us to recover our cost.

Chairman Baucom asked if someone comes in and fracks and makes a mess this will give him the ability to go in and manage the cleanup with Mr. Diggs answering yes and they will be responsible for the cost. Chairman Baucom asked who would be responsible, would it be the frackers or the property owner with Mr. Diggs answering the one that caused it so it would be the actual company that spilled the chemical. County Attorney Forbes added that this was also for a truck wreck or train derailment. Mr. Diggs noted it allows us to recover our cost for the hours we cover an incident. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

## **HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCIES ORDINANCE**

### **01 Title**

### **02 Purpose**

### **03 Authority**

### **04 Definitions**

### **05 Controlling and eliminating hazardous materials emergencies**

### **06 Authority to enter public or private property**

### **07 Responsible financial party**

### **08 Minimum charges**

### **09 Payment of charges**

### **10 Severability clause**

## **01 TITLE**

This chapter shall be known as the Hazardous Materials Emergencies Ordinance of the County of Anson, North Carolina.

## **02 PURPOSE**

It is the purpose of this chapter to protect the health, safety and welfare of Anson County citizens and to minimize public and private losses as a result of a hazardous material incident.

### **03 AUTHORITY**

Pursuant to the authority granted in North Carolina General Statute Chapter 166A, the Emergency Management Act, and North Carolina General Statute (N.C.G.S.) 153A-121, Delegation and Exercise of the General Police Power, the Board of Commissioners of Anson County enacts the following:

### **04 DEFINITIONS**

**CLEAN-UP OPERATION.** An operation in which hazardous substances are removed, contained incinerated, neutralized, stabilized, cleared-up, or in any other manner processed or handled with the ultimate goal of making the site safer for people or the environment.

**DISCHARGE.** Shall mean, but shall not be limited to, any emission, spillage, leakage, pumping, pouring, emptying, or dumping of oil or other hazardous substances into waters of the County or into waters outside the two (2) territorial limits of the County which affect lands, waters or uses related thereto within the territorial limits of the County, or upon land in such proximity to waters that oil or other hazardous substances is reasonably likely to reach the waters, but shall not include amounts less than quantities which may be harmful to the public health or welfare as determined pursuant to N.C.G.S. 143-215.77A; provided, however, that this Ordinance shall not be construed to prohibit the oiling of driveways, roads or streets for reduction of dust or routine maintenance; provided further, that the use of oil or other hazardous substances, oil-based products, or chemicals on the land or waters by any State, County, or Municipal government agency in any program of mosquito or other pest control, or their use by any person in accepted agricultural, horticultural, or forestry practices, or in connection with aquatic weed control or structural pest and rodent control, in a manner approved by the State, County, or local agency charged with authority over such uses, shall not constitute a discharge; provided, further, that the use of a pesticide regulated by the North Carolina Pesticide Board in a manner consistent with the labeling required by the North Carolina Pesticide Law shall not constitute a "discharge" for purposes of this Article. The word "discharge" shall also include any discharge upon land, whether or not in proximity to waters, which is intentional, knowing or willful.

**HAVING CONTROL OVER.** Any person using, transferring, storing or transporting a hazardous material or oil immediately prior to release of such hazardous material onto the land or into the air.

**HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES** means any substances other than oil that when discharged in any quantity may present an imminent and substantial danger to the public health, safety or welfare, as designated pursuant to General Statutes 143-315.77A or constitutes a public health nuisance.

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL EMERGENCY.** Any uncontrolled release or discharge of a hazardous substance that endangers the health or safety of persons or the environment and requires outside assistance by a local fire department or hazmat team to control and contain.

**HAZARDOUS MATERIAL RESPONSE.** The sending of Emergency Services or Fire Department personnel and equipment to abate hazardous material incidents which endanger the health or safety of persons or environment.

**REGIONAL RESPONSE TEAM.** A hazmat team, under contract with the State, to provide response to hazardous materials emergencies within a specified region.

**OIL.** Any kind of oil in any form, including but specifically not limited to petroleum, crude oil, diesel oil, fuel oil, gasoline, lubrication oil, oil refuse, oil products or by products, and all other liquid hydrocarbons regardless of specific gravity, whether singly or in combination with other substances.

**PERSON.** Any individual, firm, partnership, association, institution, corporation, local government or governmental agency.

## **05 CONTROLLING AND ELIMINATING HAZARDOUS MATERIALS EMERGENCIES**

It shall be the responsibility of the Anson County Emergency Management Coordinator to control and eliminate hazardous materials (to include hazardous waste) emergencies with the assistance of local volunteer fire departments and any outside agencies deemed necessary by the Coordinator. Hazardous materials emergencies include, but are not limited to, spills, accidents, etc. The Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee shall have the authority to summarily remove, abate, or remedy hazardous material emergencies within the jurisdiction of Anson County that are a threat to the public health or safety. For petroleum-

based spills of five (5) gallons or less, to which a local fire department has been dispatched, the local Fire Chief shall have the authority to summarily remove, abate or remedy the spill. The Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee and the local Fire Chief, shall determine the type, amount and quantity of equipment and personnel required to adequately abate, and remedy all hazardous materials or oil which are discharged into the environment. The expense of such action shall be borne by the party responsible for the incident.

## **06 AUTHORITY TO ENTER PUBLIC OR PRIVATE PROPERTY**

The County of Anson, through the Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee, is empowered to conduct such inspections and investigations as shall be reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the provisions of this Ordinance; to determine the person or persons responsible for violation of this Ordinance; to determine the nature and location of any oil or other hazardous substances discharged to the land or waters of the County of Anson, and to enforce the provisions of this Ordinance. The Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee and any other agencies/personnel deemed necessary by the Coordinator shall have the authority to enter public or private property, with or without the owner's consent, for the purpose of inspection or investigation or in order to conduct any project or activity to contain, collect, disperse or remove oil or other hazardous substance discharges, or to perform any restoration necessitated by an oil or other hazardous substance discharge. Neither the County of Anson nor its agencies, employees, agents or contractors shall be liable for trespass or damages arising out of the conduct of any inspection, investigation, project or activity. The Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee and the local Fire Chief shall determine the type, amount and quantity of equipment and personnel required to adequately abate, and remedy all hazardous materials or oil which are discharged into the environment. The expense of such action shall be borne by the party responsible for the incident.

## **07 RESPONSIBLE FINANCIAL PARTY**

Any person who discharges, causes to be discharged or permits to be discharged, regardless of the fault of the person having control over the oil or hazardous material; and regardless of whether the discharge was the result of intentional or negligent conduct, accident or other cause, any oil or hazardous materials that creates an oil or hazardous materials emergency shall be held financially liable for the response, abatement, disposal and remedial costs incurred by local Emergency Services Agencies during the emergency. The person having control over such

hazardous materials shall assist Emergency Services Agencies in control or abatement, removal and remedial measures associated with the oil or hazardous material emergency. Assistance shall consist of any or all of the following:

- A. Shall comply with the direction of the Emergency Management Coordinator or his/her designee.
- B. Shall supply emergency response plan information for the site.
- C. Shall supply emergency response equipment, personnel and materials on site.

### **08 MINIMUM CHARGES**

The minimum charges for oil or hazardous materials emergency response on behalf of the Anson County Emergency Services Agencies shall be based upon a schedule to be approved from time to time by the Anson County Board of Commissioners. The current schedule is as follows:

#### **A. Primary Emergency Response**

Emergency Management	\$75 per hour
Fire Department Aerial Ladder Truck	\$350 per hour
Fire Department Engine	\$200 per hour
Ambulance	\$150 per hour
Rental Equipment	at cost
Supplies and Materials	cost plus 30%

#### **B. Contaminated Equipment**

The party responsible for the spill/incident shall also be financially responsible for replacement of any routine or specialized equipment that becomes contaminated during response, containment, abatement and recovery efforts.

B. Reimbursement for paid personnel shall be at 1 ½ times the hourly rate.

D. Any equipment that is used but not listed will be reimbursed at a rate equal to the cost to the county.

## **09 PAYMENT OF CHARGES**

A. A statement of charges assessed by the responding agencies and/or the County of Anson for petroleum or hazardous materials emergency response shall be mailed to the responsible party and/or their insurance company, and shall be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the date of assessment. If the responsible party contests the charges, they shall have ten (10) days from receipt of the assessment to give notice of appeal, in writing, to the Anson County Manager. A hearing shall be held and a written decision made by the County Manager within fifteen (15) days thereafter. If the responsible party is not satisfied with the decision of the County Manager the matter will be placed on the agenda for the next regular meeting of the Anson County Board of Commissioners for further consideration. A final decision shall be made within thirty (30) days thereafter.

B. Any unpaid charge shall bear interest from the due date at the highest rate permitted by law. Further, if the owner of the real property upon which the abatement of the emergency took place is determined in any report to be responsible for the discharge of petroleum or hazardous material therein, then, and in that event; any charge not paid within thirty (30) days from the date of assessment shall be a lien upon the land or premises where the abatement took place, and shall be collected as unpaid taxes pursuant to the authority of N.C.G.S. 153A-140. The County shall charge for the removal, abatement, or remedy of hazardous material emergencies in amounts adequate to reimburse the County and local Emergency Services Agencies for actual costs incurred by the County for removal, abatement, or remedy. Said charges shall be adopted and amended from time to time by action of the Anson County Board of Commissioners.

## **10 SEVERABILITY CLAUSE**

Should any section or provision of the Hazardous Materials Emergencies Ordinance be decided by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such decision shall not affect the validity of the Ordinance as a whole or a part thereof other than the part so declared to be unconstitutional or invalid.

Adopted this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2015.

Chairman Baucom thanked Mr. Diggs for being proactive.

**Sheriff's Vehicle Request and Bank Proposals:** Ms. Randall addressed the issue explaining that on an annual basis the sheriff presents request for vehicles to be purchased as part of his vehicle rotation. Ms. Randall stated that those requested this year were on page 40 of the packet. Mr. Randall shared that proposals were submitted from three banks and those are located on page 39. Chairman Baucom asked if she was recommending the financing through Uwharrie with Ms. Randall answering yes. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve the financing with Uwharrie Bank. Chairman Baucom asked if this was in the budget with Ms. Randall explaining it was not. Ms. Randall stated that this was something that was new every year. Ms. Randall noted the payments were for three years so there will be a final payment made this year so one will drop off and we will pick up the new loan. Chairman Baucom asked if we knew when we did the budget this would be coming up with Ms. Randall answering yes. Ms. Randall stated that it was done by Budget Amendment every year. Chairman Baucom asked if the payment for this was in the budget with Ms. Randall answering the payment for the years payment was in this year's budget and we will pick up an additional payment next year. Motion carried unanimously.

<b>Vehicle Loan - Bank Proposal Comparison</b>			
	<b>Uwharrie Bank</b>	<b>BB&amp;T</b>	<b>PNC Bank</b>
	<b>\$194,000.00</b>	<b>\$194,000.00</b>	<b>\$194,000.00</b>
<b>Financing Interest Rate</b>	<b>1.91%</b>	<b>1.97%</b>	<b>2.99%</b>
<b>Prepayment Policy</b>	<b>No prepayment penalty.</b>	<b>Prepayment of principal balance in whole on a scheduled payment date will incur 1% prepayment penalty.</b>	<b>Prepayment of principal balance in whole on a scheduled payment date will incur 3% prepayment penalty.</b>
<b>Terms</b>	<b>3 YEARS</b>	<b>3 YEARS</b>	<b>3 YEARS</b>
<b>Escrow Fees</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>\$250.00</b>
<b>Loan Closing Cost</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>\$350.00</b>
<b>Annual Payment</b>	<b>\$67,190.75</b>	<b>\$67,231.10</b>	<b>\$68,571.70</b>
<b>Loan Closing Cost and Fees</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>None</b>	<b>\$600.00</b>
<b>Total Loan Cost (3 years)</b>	<b>\$7,572.26</b>	<b>\$7,693.30</b>	<b>\$11,715.10</b>
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>\$201,572.26</b>	<b>\$201,693.30</b>	<b>\$206,315.10</b>

**Contract Renewal – County Attorney:** Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve renewing the County Attorney's contract for another year. Motion carried unanimously.

**Set Meeting Schedule for 2016:** Chairman Baucom noted that the July and September meetings fall immediately after a holiday. Vice Chair Streater suggested moving the July meeting to the 11<sup>th</sup> and the September meeting to the 12<sup>th</sup>. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the following meeting schedule for 2016:

January 5	July 11
February 2	August 2
March 1	September 12
April 5	October 4
May 3	November 1
June 7	December 6

**Courtroom #1 Seats:** Vice Chair Streater shared that he received a call from a concerned citizen regarding the seats in the courtroom located in the Law Enforcement Center. Vice Chair Streater stated that after hearing from Sheriff Reid he finds this to be Courtroom #1. Vice Chair Streater stated that the citizen reported they were really nasty and needed to be cleaned. Sheriff Reid said he would check on them tomorrow and talk with the janitorial staff to see what they can do about cleaning them.

Chairman Baucom called for a short break, adding that after the break they will come back and complete the Agenda.

After the break, **Old Business** was next on the Agenda.

**Countywide Zoning:** Chairman Baucom stated that Mr. Newton was working on changing our minimum lot size in a non-zoned area. Commissioner Sims stated that soon we will have hundreds of new poultry houses in the county and he was glad to have them and felt we needed to look at setbacks and zoning as it applies to these poultry houses. Chairman Baucom stated that she would send him an email to remind him to review this. Chairman Baucom stated that they will also look at fees for special use permits.

**Minimum Housing Code for Rental Property:** Chairman Baucom asked if there had been any development here. Chairman Baucom felt this needed to be given to Mr. Gaskins.

**Deed for Property Exchange at WWTP:** County Attorney Forbes reported that the deed has been mailed to Mr. Bennett and they are waiting for him to sign and return.

**Interlocal Agreements for Utilities:** Chairman Baucom noted that nothing has been done with this yet.

**Chairman's Report:** Chairman Baucom reminded board members of the Senior Lunch scheduled for Wednesday and Thursday from 11 AM to 1 PM and the employees luncheon on Friday from Noon to 2 PM. Chairman Baucom felt it would be good if board members could attend. Commissioner Sikes said he would be late with Commissioner Sims saying he would not be able to attend Friday. Chairman Baucom mentioned Toys for Tots commending Commissioner Sturdivant for the work she does in the community. Chairman Baucom shared that she has worked tirelessly in the community for many years and her two loves are children and the elderly. Chairman Baucom added that Toys for Tots would not be here if it were not for Commissioner Sturdivant. Chairman Baucom voiced that she has her admiration. Chairman Baucom commented that the decorations in this building were tasteful and neat. Chairman Baucom asked that in this season of Christmas we remember the homeless, lonely and people whose life has not been very good to them. Chairman Baucom expressed hopes that everyone has a very happy and joyous Christmas Season.

**Consent Agenda:** Vice Chair Streater posed a question for Tiffany or Rita asking if department budgets were in line for this time of year. Ms. James answered that we have not had a lot of Budget Amendment so that should tell us something. Chairman Baucom commented that most of what she has seen has been additional money coming in. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the consent agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

**Minutes:** approved minutes dated November 3, 2015, November 7, 2015 and November 17, 2015 and closed session minutes dated November 7, 2015 and November 17, 2015.

**Tax Releases:**

## Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments

		12/1/2015 for November Month End					
20788	Williams Scotsman	198.16		Real Taxes	Releases	Listing Error	
20789-20797	S & L Logging	16,621.77	2006-2014	Real Taxes	Releases	Business Dissolved in 2006	
		\$ 16,819.93					
		\$ -					
		16,819.93	*****				
<b>Tax &amp; Tag Together Refunds</b>							
14087000	Anson Mechanical Inc	98.48	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
27623410	Gaddy, William Richard	8.00	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
9028762	Harward, Henry T	31.08	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
26431742	Hubbard, Milton E	85.51	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
22750361	Little Rogerna D	4.41	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
28117595	Marsh, Ola R	14.59	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
26613300	Mims, Maranda	36.25	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
19132481	Pee Dee Forest Products	35.58	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
28173421	Polk, Ollie M	45.87	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
27772887	Speake, Cynthia	25.26	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
22371012	Sturgill, Patricia A	33.23	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
196816	Tillman, Wilbert L	16.88	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
19207360	Tillman, Wilbert L	52.01	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund		
		\$ 487.15	*****				

**Tax Collector's Report:**

# Real Property Taxes

**FY 2015-2016 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2015**  
**November 30, 2015**

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
<b>Year 2015</b>	-	-	-	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07	2,012,016.84
Year 2014	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82
Year 2013	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73
Year 2012	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18
Year 2011	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02
Year 2010	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24
Year 2009	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82
<b>Of Total Collections</b>								
<b>County Taxes &amp; Late List</b>	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
<b>Year 2015</b>	-	-	-	82,896.07	1,133,740.35	1,058,796.26	600,879.32	1,608,294.62
Year 2014	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94
Year 2013	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08
Year 2012	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94
Year 2011	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02
Year 2010	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19
Year 2009	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02
<b>Current Year (2015) Ad Valorem Collections %</b>	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
<b>Year 2015</b>	-	-	-	N/A	9.95%	19.21%	20.23%	32.28%
Year 2014	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%	13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	
Year 2013	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%	10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	
Year 2012	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%	10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	
Year 2011	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%	7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	
Year 2010	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%	4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	
Year 2009	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%	4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	
Year 2008	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%	12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	
Year 2007	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%	12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	
Year 2006	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%	9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	
<b>Current Year (2015) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties</b>	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov
<b>Year 2015</b>	-	-	-	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90	8,902,579.70
Year 2014	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05
Year 2013	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73
Year 2012	896,470.97	786,437.51	734,822.47	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71
<b>History of Past Due Mailings</b>	10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
<b>Tax Scroll Billings</b>	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
<b>Year 2015</b>	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
<b>Utilities</b>	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
<b>Year 2015</b>	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
<b>Accounts Receivable by Type</b>	Aug 2015		Sep 2015		Oct 2015		Nov 2015	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
<b>Active Garnishments</b>	127	53,990.15	126	53,075.78	125	53,821.20	123	53,155.45
<b>Accts with Agreements</b>	29	9,883.16	29	9,880.92	29	9,878.32	29	9,875.36
<b>Accts in Foreclosure</b>	299	144,934.83	296	142,898.26	296	142,992.48	287	141,355.37
<b>Bankruptcies</b>	60	42,610.64	58	41,738.08	65	43,691.49	64	43,131.14
<b>Remaining Accts Collectible</b>	10,682	3,409,488.71	10,582	3,365,980.29	10,459	3,318,053.75	10,397	3,305,130.91
<b>Current Year Ad Valorem(All)</b>	17,733	12,212,063.09	15,841	10,999,113.87	14,859	12,806,708.70	12,598	10,833,373.59
<b>**Red = AR Dollars</b>	28,930	15,872,970.58	26,932	14,612,687.20	25,833	16,375,145.94	23,498	14,386,021.82
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
<b>\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo</b>	7	2,581.35	9	4,376.61	3	1,297.24	13	3,993.05
<b># Accts Given to ParaLegal</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Garnishments Executed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Debt Setoff Letters Mailed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)</b>		93,327.29		93,226.91		92,716.93		92,431.10
<b>One Year Ago</b>	Aug 2014		Sep 2014		Oct 2014		Nov 2014	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
<b>Active Garnishments</b>	138	56,347.02	137	56,005.40	134	54,573.34	137	56,699.13
<b>Accts with Agreements</b>	38	10,570.85	38	10,623.79	38	10,656.73	38	10,709.67
<b>Accts in Foreclosure</b>	284	124,945.31	284	125,488.79	284	126,131.91	284	126,725.03
<b>Bankruptcies</b>	86	49,885.75	86	50,140.88	86	50,170.30	84	49,523.13
<b>Remaining Accts Collectible</b>	10,554	3,214,994.13	10,456	3,196,514.42	10,332	3,154,562.37	10,219	3,138,674.40
<b>Current Year Ad Valorem(All)</b>	17,050	10,916,704.93	15,693	10,160,994.53	14,759	11,760,366.24	12,633	10,116,105.89
<b>**Red = AR Dollars</b>	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,498,437.25
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
<b>\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo</b>	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-	1	205.82
<b># Accts Given to ParaLegal</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Garnishments Executed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Debt Setoff Letters Mailed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)</b>		179,531.80		177,825.76		177,932.25		178,176.06

<b>**Red = AR Dollars</b>	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,498,437.25
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
<b>\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo</b>	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-	1	205.82
<b># Accts Given to ParaLegal</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Garnishments Executed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Debt Setoff Letters Mailed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)</b>		179,531.80		177,825.76		177,932.25		178,176.06

### Real Property Taxes

FY 2015-2016 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2015  
November 30, 2015

<b>(Total \$\$ Collections)</b>	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2015	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07	2,012,016.84	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62
Year 2009	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40

<b>Of Total Collections</b>	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
<b>County Taxes &amp; Late List</b>	82,896.07	1,133,740.35	1,056,796.26	600,879.32	1,608,294.62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2014	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88
Year 2013	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2012	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2011	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2010	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97
Year 2009	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37

<b>Current Year (2015) Ad Valorem Collections %</b>	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2015	N/A	9.95%	19.21%	20.23%	32.28%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2014		13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.81%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%
Year 2006		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%

<b>Current Year (2015) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties</b>	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2015	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90	8,902,579.70	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.85
Year 2012	44,734.04	9,426,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,432.51	734,822.47

<b>History of Past Due Mailings</b>	11/08/11	02/14/12	10/01/12	01/09/13	04/09/13	05/01/15
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,168	2,100,002.93	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93
					5,543	4,420,774.02
					3,360	3,308,737.72
						3,729
						4,334,411.25

<b>Tax Scroll Billings</b>	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed
Year 2015	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	2015	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.97	13,599.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66

<b>Accounts Receivable by Type</b>	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-14
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	133	57,669.24	127	53,990.15	126	53,075.78
Accts with Agreements	29	9,835.40	29	9,883.16	29	9,880.92
Accts in Foreclosure	302	145,815.27	299	144,934.83	296	142,898.26
Bankruptcies	61	42,790.75	60	42,610.64	58	41,738.08
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,842	3,485,818.71	10,682	3,409,488.71	10,582	3,365,980.29
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	58	17,979.25	17,733	12,212,063.09	15,841	10,999,113.87
<b>**Red = AR Dollars</b>	11,425	3,759,908.62	28,930	15,872,970.58	26,932	14,612,687.20
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
<b>\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo</b>	6	1,067.57	7	2,581.35	9	4,376.61
<b># Accts Given to ParaLegal</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Garnishments Executed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Debt Setoff Letters Mailed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)</b>		93,702.34		93,327.29		93,226.91
						92,716.93
						92,431.10
						175,691.44

<b>Accounts Receivable by Type</b>	Jan-15	Feb-15	Mar-15	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52	133	57,433.93
Accts with Agreements	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49	38	10,921.43
Accts in Foreclosure	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48	269	119,735.52
Bankruptcies	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11	80	48,140.94
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91	9,688	2,961,358.28
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,950	1,504,270.24
<b>**Red = AR Dollars</b>	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96	14,158	4,701,860.34
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
<b>\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo</b>	11	7,207.67	2	198.45	3	497.60
<b># Accts Given to ParaLegal</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Garnishments Executed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Debt Setoff Letters Mailed</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)</b>		176,106.96		176,403.69		174,483.46
						174,014.33
						172,385.23
						171,741.36

**Budget Expense report and Fund Balance Update:**

<b>Fund Balance Calculation</b>					
	<i>Last Year</i>	<i>Two Months</i>	<i>Last</i>		
<i>As of 11-30-15</i>	<i>Same Month</i>	<i>Ago</i>	<i>Month</i>	<i>Now</i>	
	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>
	<i>11/30/14</i>	<i>09/30/15</i>	<i>10/31/15</i>	<i>11/30/15</i>	
<b>Available Fund Balance</b>					
Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 8,661,967	\$ 9,445,153	\$ 8,633,357	\$ 8,922,629	
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 21,648	\$ 24,160	\$ 24,411	\$ 24,661	
Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ 135,770	\$ 138,710	\$ 135,967	\$ 131,614	
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	
Less Encumbrances	\$ (50,064)	\$ (49,944)	\$ (172,389)	\$ (229,087)	
<b>Total Available</b>	<b>\$ 8,723,086</b>	<b>\$ 9,541,299</b>	<b>\$ 8,604,567</b>	<b>\$ 8,833,038</b>	
<b>General Fund Expenditures</b>					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	
<b>Total Available for Appropriation</b>					
Total Available	\$ 8,723,086	\$ 9,541,299	\$ 8,604,567	\$ 8,833,038	
Total Expenditures	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	
<b>Total % Available Fund Balance</b>	<b>30.23%</b>	<b>33.39%</b>	<b>30.11%</b>	<b>30.91%</b>	
<b>Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	
	<b>\$2,308,200</b>	<b>\$2,285,995</b>	<b>\$2,285,995</b>	<b>\$2,285,995</b>	
<b>% Undesignated Fund Balance</b>	<b>22.23%</b>	<b>25.39%</b>	<b>22.11%</b>	<b>22.91%</b>	
	<b>\$ 6,414,886</b>	<b>\$ 7,255,304</b>	<b>\$ 6,318,572</b>	<b>\$ 6,547,043</b>	

**Electronic Transaction Report:**

11/30/15

## November 2015 Report - Board Meeting of 12/01/2015

## Utilities Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	9,257.27	240	22	22
Aug 2015	9,231.86	222	19	21
Sep 2015	11,410.83	258	20	21
Oct 2015	10,214.59	237	22	22
Nov 2015	8,065.55	231	18	18
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	<b>48,180.10</b>	<b>1,188</b>		

## Utilities Dept -Draft Records &amp; Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2015	34,663.23	1,025	26,761.68	402
Aug 2015	40,673.22	1,034	38,545.29	444
Sep 2015	35,905.69	1,033	91,810.57	449
Oct 2015	35,832.17	1,037	43,604.22	436
Nov 2015	29,806.94	1,032	27,601.78	349
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	<b>176,881.25</b>	<b>5,161</b>	<b>228,323.54</b>	<b>2,080</b>

## Tax Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	7,592.91	33	8	22
Aug 2015	15,009.86	53	14	21
Sep 2015	4,025.84	19	10	21
Oct 2015	6,062.44	30	10	22
Nov 2015	1,990.57	9	6	18
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	<b>34,681.62</b>	<b>144</b>		

## Health Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	1,047.96	20	13	22
Aug 2015	1,300.81	28	14	21

	<b>34,681.62</b>	<b>144</b>		
<b>Health Department Electronic Transactions</b>				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	1,047.96	20	13	22
Aug 2015	1,300.81	28	14	21
Sep 2015	584.55	17	7	21
Oct 2015	915.80	21	14	22
Nov 2015	945.16	21	13	18
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	<b>4,794.28</b>	<b>107</b>		

**Budget Amendment – Emergency Management:** to recognize and appropriate the Hazardous Materials Emergency Planning Grant received for Emergency Management.

**AMENDMENT**

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Emergency Management 11-4330	\$ 9,500
Total Increase:	\$ 9,500

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Emergency Management 11-4330	\$ 9,500
Total Increase:	\$ 9,500

Adopted this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2015.

**Budget Amendment – 4-H Youth Promise:** to refund overpayment for 4-H Youth Promise for FY 2014-2015 from 4-H Youth Promise fund balance reserve instead of General Fund fund balance.

**AMENDMENT**

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015-2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 2. General fund Revenues

Increase: 4-H Youth Promise 11-5870	\$ 2,413
Total Increase:	\$ 2,413

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Decrease: General Fund Balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ (2,413)
Total Decrease:	\$ (2,413)

Adopted this 1<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2015.

Commissioner Sturdivant asked to recognize someone that was not here tonight. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that Commissioner Smith's wife would be celebrating her birthday on the 18<sup>th</sup>. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that they fed the homeless the other Saturday and there was a lady there that needed a coat and Ms. Smith went home and came back with four coats. Commissioner Sims voiced that his daughter was one of the brightest students in our school system and feels she could not have gotten it all from her mother.

**Closed Session:** Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to go into closed session for personnel, pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, condition of employment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to go out of closed session. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to hire Megan Garner as County Manager for Anson County. Motion carried unanimously. Vice Chair Streater asked when the announcement would be made with Chairman Baucom saying she would contact the other two candidates that were interviewed immediately and would send letters to all others that applied. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if we could to some type meet and greet for Ms. Garner. Vice Chair Streater suggested having the reception just prior to the board meeting on January 5<sup>th</sup>. After discussion, everyone agreed to hold the reception from 4 PM to 6 PM on January 5<sup>th</sup>. Commissioner Woodburn referred to the comment made by the clerk earlier about word getting out pretty quick and suggested a phone call to the others that were interviewed in the morning. Vice Chair Streater suggested a press release next week.

Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC  
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 2 hr. 35 min.

