

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for their regular monthly meeting on Monday, July 9, 2012 beginning at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna H. Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair
Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Lawrence R. Gatewood, County Manager
Bonnie M. Huntley, CMC, NCCCC, Clerk to the Board
Robert Thomas, Finance Officer
Rita James, Data Processing
Mary Beck, Grants Administrator
Janine Rywak, Cooperative Extension Director
Lula Jackson, DSS Director

Others: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom called the meeting to **Order**, welcoming those present. Elder Donna McNair of Fairview Church of God, Burnsville Community, delivered the **Invocation**. Board members voiced appreciation to Ms. McNair for all she does in the community.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom reminded board members of their Ethics commitment and asked if they knew of any conflict of interest or the perception of a conflict of interest on any item on the Agenda to please let it be known. Chairman Baucom then asked if there were any changes or additions to the Agenda. County Manager Gatewood asked to add an item under closed session for Economic Development. Commissioner Sikes asked to add under Commissioner Concerns a water issue and problems from poultry farmers. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the Agenda with the additions. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Addresses to the Board: Chairman Baucom commented that they set aside time at each monthly meeting to hear issues from the community and asked if there were any comments at this time. There were none.

Administrative Matters:

Bid Review and Recommendation – Filtration: Brad Bucy, Hobbs Upchurch was here to speak to the issue. Mr. Bucy explained that this time last year there was an electrical fire at our raw water pump station at the river. Mr. Bucy shared that shortly after the fire the county had McCracken and Lopez, Electrical Engineers, survey the building to identify surge protection and grounding problems and also problems with overloading of the pump station over years of adding and upgrading motors without making all the necessary proper provisions. Mr. Bucy stated that after the review they estimated \$300,000 was needed to make the repairs. Mr. Bucy stated that at that time it was proposed to do outdoor installation with gear rated for outdoor installation. Mr. Bucy then shared a picture of the electrical service coming into the building with board members. Mr. Bucy stated that in meeting with staff they discovered they would have to set the new gear in a building adjacent to the existing building and because of the topography it would require a retaining wall which increased the budget to just under \$400,000. Mr. Bucy stated that in looking at ways to finance this they agreed to go through drinking water SRF loan and originally planned to get \$300,000 because LGC would allow us to tack this on as it was 10% of our original emergency raw water intake budget. Mr. Bucy stated they had planned to take the additional \$100,000 from the water fund. Mr. Bucy noted that we were surprised when drinking water SRF offered us the \$300,000 in zero interest money. Mr. Bucy presented the bids as received noting the low bid was received by Turner Murphy Contractors in the amount of \$443,622.

Gilbert Engineering	\$499,000.00
Lowery Construction	\$483,074.12
Turner Murphy	\$443,622.00

Mr. Bucy noted this was a good bit over our original budget. Mr. Bucy stated that when we add in the \$443,622 for the low bid and the loan administration, engineering and inspection, and a \$25,000 cost from Duke Power/Progress Energy for a new pad mounted transformer, the total came to \$516,122. Mr. Bucy shared that they then approached public water supply and the LGC to see if the zero interest money could be extended above \$300,000. Mr. Bucy announced that LGC plans to handle the request at their regular meeting on July 10 and he hopes our request to amend our funds to \$550,000 will be approved. Mr. Bucy stated that their recommendation, contingent upon an amended Part A offer and acceptance of \$550,000 at zero interest loan money from drinking water SRF and LGC's approval, would be to award the project to the low bidder, which is Turner Murphy, in the amount of \$443,622. Mr. Bucy shared that because of the intricacies of the pump station it was important to get local contractors involved and noted that Carter Electric was from Monroe. Mr. Bucy noted that they were familiar with the pump station as they've done a lot of the electric work and they also came to the rescue last summer to get it back up and running. Mr. Bucy stated that they tried to keep the cost close to the original budget to eliminate the overhead of a general contractor but because of the North Carolina Bid Laws a general contractor had to be involved because the scope of the other work exceeded 25% of the overall budget. Vice Chair Streater asked if Turner Murphy had done work for us before with Mr. Bucy answering they have done work at the filter plant and the highway 52 pump

station. Vice Chair Streater asked if the staff was pleased with their work with Mr. Bucy answering they've had some operational issues at filtration with the filters and they are working on these and felt the problems were beyond the scope of what Turner Murphy was asked to do. Vice Chair Streater felt in the future with issues relating to the water plant staff needed to be present to comment. County Manager Gatewood agreed noting we were in vacation season and Mr. Sessions had to be away. Chairman Baucom asked if there was any feedback from Mr. Sessions about the contractor with County Manager Gatewood answering that overall we've been pleased with their work. County Manager Gatewood was of the understanding that the filter issue was beyond the scope of the project given them. County Manager Gatewood stated that overall he was supportive of awarding the contract to Turner Murphy and was of the impression Mr. Sessions would be of like mind. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if we had ever used Lowery Construction with County Manager Gatewood answering we've used them extensively in Anson County Government. County Manager Gatewood shared that they have already started work on the renovations to the interior of the courthouse. Commissioner Woodburn referred to the bird nest of wires going into the building and asked what kind of switch gear was on the other side and if they considered going underground. Mr. Bucy explained that the bird nest would be eliminated and replaced with new conduit into the building and the service would be underground. Commissioner Sims asked if this was a very important project that needed to be done right away with Mr. Bucy answering that he wished it had already been done. Chairman Baucom asked what issue the project would address with Mr. Bucy stating that right now when they have a lightning storm they shut down the pumps because of surge protection problems and this will not be an issue after the work is completed. Chairman Baucom asked with the lowest bidder being in York South Carolina who would do the labor with Mr. Bucy stating they have yet to select their masonry or roof contractor but they would be encouraged to look for local crews. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Bucy to encourage workers coming in from out of the county to stay in Anson during the project and visit our local restaurants. County Manager Gatewood asked Mr. Bucy to speak to the project schedule. Mr. Bucy stated that the construction schedule was six months with a three month lead time on a lot of the electrical gear. Mr. Bucy shared that based on approval tonight they would get the final documents approved and with approval from the LGC and public water supply they anticipate a notice of award in about thirty days and a notice to proceed around the end of August. Mr. Bucy felt this would give enough time to get the building constructed before winter and under cover when the electrical gear arrives with completion around March. Commissioner Sims asked if he could tell local farmers that in this excessive hot weather if this project had been completed they would have done much better with Mr. Bucy not certain this project would affect water pressure. Commissioner Sims asked what way it would be of help with Mr. Bucy stating from a liability standpoint if we lose that station it would be catastrophic and all Anson County customers and our wholesale customers could be without water for an extended period. County Manager Gatewood commented that complaints had been received over the last couple of weeks regarding low water pressure and asked Mr. Bucy to speak to the issue. Mr. Bucy reported that pressure

recordings had been done at various locations in the system and they've not had issues in terms of tanks levels and pressure in the system that they were aware of. Mr. Bucy noted that one problem could be farmers with long services on their property trying to serve multiple areas with undersized service lines. Vice Chair Streater asked if these funds would come from the water fund with County Manager Gatewood answering yes. Chairman Baucom asked the term of the loan with Mr. Bucy explaining it was a twenty year loan. Mr. Bucy offered that the difference between a market rate of 3% and zero interest we're getting was about \$179,000 savings over the twenty years. Commissioner Sikes asked if the county or the farmer determined the size of the meter with Mr. Bucy stating the customer would be responsible for identifying the size. Mr. Bucy noted that the meter may not be the problem as it was just one place to lose pressure. Commissioner Sikes was of the opinion that some may have a two inch line running to the building and a one inch meter and felt a larger meter would make a difference. Commissioner Sikes asked if that would be the farmers cost or the county cost with Mr. Bucy answering it was the customer's responsibility. Mr. Bucy offered to take look at any situation and assist Mr. Sessions. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Noise Ordinance: Chairman Baucom noted that a couple of months ago a gentleman from the White Store area appeared before the board about noise from 4-wheelers and dirt bikes on a neighboring property. Chairman Baucom stated that the County Attorney agreed to look at our Noise Ordinance to see how it could be strengthened so that it was enforceable. County Attorney Forbes presented board members a handout dealing with noise levels and decibel tables. County Attorney Forbes proposed keeping the Ordinance and adding the following language:

It is illegal to: Operate or allow the operation of any devise so as to create sound levels exceeding ___dBA or ___dBC between _____ a.m. and _____ p.m. or exceeding ___dBA or ___dBC between _____p.m. and _____ a.m. as measured anywhere outside of the boundary line of the person or persons generating, permitting or causing such noise.

For the purpose of determining dBA's or dBC's as referred to in this Ordinance the noise shall be measured on the A or C weighting scale respectively, on a sound level meter meeting the standards established by the American National Standards Institute.

County Attorney Forbes shared that he reviewed fifteen different Ordinances from all over the state, some were rural and some were from the city. County Attorney Forbes stated that this was in use by other counties but he did not know if it had been upheld because it had not been challenged. County Attorney Forbes explained that once someone complains about someone else's noise level on their property they would report it to the Sheriff's office and it would be checked by a deputy. County Attorney Forbes stated that if the decibel reading for the time of day was at a certain number assigned then there would be a violation. Vice Chair Streater asked if our Sheriff's department had dB meters with County Attorney Forbes stating he did not know but he would check. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if this would be in effect for the entire county with County Attorney Forbes answering yes. County Attorney Forbes also asked board members to consider omitting from the present Ordinance under exemptions,

numbers 4, 5 and 8. County Attorney Forbes noted this would not impede agricultural and it would not stop people from using equipment in emergency situations. Chairman Baucom suggested leaving out the hours and using duration of how long it happens. County Attorney Forbes stated that by retaining other parts of the Ordinance we would have venues other than the decibel sound. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that residents near the Lilesville Park complain about the music and radio noise. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that Lilesville Council wanted to know if there was a Noise Ordinance would it affect the rodeo. County Attorney Forbes explained that they could implement a permit process. County Attorney Forbes shared that the Clerk was very valuable in assisting with the research and getting him copies of other Noise Ordinances. Chairman Baucom asked if a county ordained Ordinance would apply within a town limits with County Attorney Forbes answering no, unless they give permission to the county to enforce these things. Chairman Baucom felt that Lilesville could pattern one after ours. Chairman Baucom again stated that she would like to see some measure a duration of this noise. County Attorney Forbes agreed to submit examples to the board at the next meeting. County Attorney Forbes stated that the current Ordinance was content free and just volume. Commissioner Woodburn stated that noise levels as far as decibels were concerned when you get to 85 decibels that is when you start getting into hearing projection and if you get to 90 decibels hearing protection is required. County Attorney Forbes shared that the Ordinance was pertaining specifically to noise level and not content with board members agreeing to remove the time limit and set the decibel level. County Manager Gatewood suggested a conversation with Sheriff Allen before acting on this. County Attorney Forbes was asked to work on this for the August meeting and remove the time frames and set decibels. County Attorney Forbes asked to present a permit process with board members agreeing. County Attorney Forbes shared that as written now the Ordinance could be enforced without a decibel meter but the decibel meter would be a more objective way of measuring the violation.

Voting Delegate for the NCACC Annual Conference: Commissioner Sims asked if Commissioner Woodburn doesn't always do this with Chairman Baucom stating he represents us with distinction. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to appoint Commissioner Woodburn as our delegate. Motion carried unanimously.

Manager's Report: County Manager Gatewood asked to move to closed session and come back to his report. County Manager Gatewood recommended starting with contract negotiations followed by Economic Development and then personnel.

Closed Session: Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to go into closed session for **Contract Negotiations**, pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(5) to establish or instruct the staff or agent concerning the negotiation of the price and terms of a contract concerning the acquisition of real

property located in Wadesboro, North Carolina, for **Economic Development** pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4) to discuss matters relating to the location or expansion of business in the area served by this body and **personnel**, pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, condition of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Baucom called for a five minute break before going into closed session.

Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to go out of closed session. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the land acquisition strategy as proposed. Motion carried unanimously.

Manager's Report: County Manager Gatewood reported two air conditioner units went out within the last two weeks. County Manager Gatewood noted one was at the Hampton B. Allen library and one at DSS. County Manager Gatewood shared that both had been taken care of. County Manager Gatewood stated that he accepted an invitation from Elaine Scarborough to serve on the Smart Start Board beginning August 9th. Chairman Baucom shared that she had pulled out of Uptown Wadesboro and the Tourism Authority. County Manager Gatewood reported that by August 1 the flower bed in front of the courthouse should be completed. County Manager Gatewood shared that we enlisted the help of Aimee Rankin from Extension Service. County Manager Gatewood stated that around the base of the monument we will have knock out roses and the rest of the area will be covered with an ornamental grass. County Manager Gatewood noted that early voting would continue through Saturday at 1:00 P.M. County Manager Gatewood stated that renovations to the façade at the Belk building should get started by the middle of August. Commissioner Woodburn asked if the water issue at the Belk building had been resolved with County Manager Gatewood stating we have sump pumps. County Manager Gatewood stated that the north and south walls were going to need work in time. County Manager Gatewood reported receiving a number of compliments on the 2.5% COLA, restoration of the county's 401K contribution to 3% and another year of county paid health insurance. Commissioner Sims commented that until this year state employees had not had a raise in five years and they received a 1% raise. County Manager Gatewood stated that he received a special note of appreciation from Joanne Huntley because for the first time the Register of Deeds participates in the county 401K contribution. County Manager Gatewood stated that the Veterans Services office was now open Tuesday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. County Manager Gatewood noted that in preparation for the Democratic National Convention in September there will be no lane closures permitted along US Highway 74 in Anson County. County Manager Gatewood reported that he would be on vacation July 19 and/or the 20th with Chairman Baucom asking when he

planned to take a real vacation. County Manager Gatewood replied that he did not need a vacation with Commissioner Sims thinking he needed to practice. County Manager Gatewood reminded board members of the employee Wellness Fair on August 3 with a free complimentary lunch thanks to a Wal-Mart Wellness Grant. Commissioner Sims asked about the shingles shot with County Manager Gatewood answering that BCBS would have the answer on Wednesday. County Attorney Forbes reported that he had had shingles and if anyone could get a shot to get two. County Manager Gatewood reported a good conversation with Dr. Thompson of the hospital and learned that plans were on track for the new hospital. County Manager Gatewood stated that they hope to open the second quarter of 2014 with a target month of July. County Manager Gatewood reported they had purchased ten acres of land at a price close to \$50,000.00 an acre. County Manager Gatewood noted when the Emergency Services Center opens in 2013 we could vacate or surplus the 107 Ashe Street building. County Manager Gatewood noted we could renovate the old EMS building to house most of the employees in the Ashe Street building. County Manager Gatewood voiced that he would like to see if the hospital would be interested in purchasing 107 Ashe Street with Commissioner Sikes of the opinion they already own the parking lot and we just own the building. County Manager Gatewood reported that Lillie Bennett did not have a cafeteria and that was something that was badly needed and this would give them room for expansion for a cafeteria/utility building. County Manager Gatewood did share that there would be some headcount reductions with the transfer to the new hospital.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom mentioned the Resolution approved last month to name a portion of Highway 74 going through Lilesville for Larry Ratliff. Chairman Baucom read the following letter Marion Ratliff, brother of Larry:

I want to thank you for passing a resolution at your last meeting regarding my brother Larry Ratliff who was killed in 2001 while performing his duties with the North Carolina Department of Transportation. Representative Frank McGuirt and I have been working for some time to get a section of Highway in Anson County designated in Larry's Memory.

Your resolution will go to the Transportation Department in Raleigh for their discussion and decision.

Once again thank you for your consideration and concern as this matter is very close to my heart.

Chairman Baucom shared that her family had a really nice vacation at the Outer Banks. Chairman Baucom noted it was a totally different experience. Chairman Baucom explained that they have started putting in place zoning and regulations on what you can do with property to the point that it was coming back. Chairman Baucom stated that they stayed in Duck and you can't have a franchise business in the city limits. Chairman Baucom noted that all the money made stays in the community. Chairman Baucom added that it was good to be back in Anson County.

Consent Agenda: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Minutes: approved minutes dated June 5, 2012, June 21, 2012 and June 29, 2012 and closed session minutes dated June 5, 2012 and June 21, 2012.

Tax Releases:

Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments							
				07/09/12			
			\$ -				
					Real Taxes	Refund	
			\$ -				
19338	Bridges, Raymond D	2012	5.58	1206-000173	Vehicle Taxes	Release	Proration
21970	Duffy, Paul	2010	18.10	1103-000489	Vehicle Taxes	Release	Proration
21971	Kennedy, Robert	2011	13.44	1204-001132	Vehicle Taxes	Release	Proration
21973	Lisenby, Shequilla	2012	15.30	1206-001094	Vehicle Taxes	Release	Proration
21972	Lowery, David R	2011	10.10	1201-000928	Vehicle Taxes	Release	Proration
			\$ 62.52				
					Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Proration
			\$ -				
					Vehicle Taxes	Adjustment	Situs
			\$ -				
			62.52				

Tax Collector's Report and Charge to Collect:

Remaining Accts Collectible	5,286	1,542,040.56	5,200	1,523,196.72	5,159	1,511,433.79	5,098	1,485,492.97
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	3,435	1,181,344.56	2,874	1,097,469.60	2,708	1,008,673.62	2,510	958,192.02
**Red = AR Dollars	9,325	2,956,474.06	8,668	2,844,782.18	8,442	2,733,179.11	8,160	2,647,126.49
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	14	1,599.19	3	687.89	2	376.46	-	-
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	7	3,588.76	9	2,054.83	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	401,555.66	-	398,274.20	-	390,020.05	-	387,897.28

Vehicle Property Taxes

FY 2011-2012 - Current Ad Valorem Year - 2011

June 30, 2012

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
2011	148,511.21	146,211.62	66,546.71	145,510.44	114,926.78	87,325.91	95,750.68	95,742.23
2010	102,776.37	132,099.43	59,678.19	135,881.82	112,831.03	90,177.13	96,321.49	105,280.46
2009	109,246.46	165,750.40	78,676.31	128,615.80	146,889.60	101,431.08	92,017.58	142,346.13
2008	113,001.20	193,942.28	115,732.30	146,866.80	116,428.33	101,739.99	140,891.81	125,895.94
2007	147,290.59	166,118.52	143,668.15	147,628.44	124,665.05	117,102.74	123,039.32	123,109.03
2006	114,626.08	157,960.67	137,685.46	134,050.57	148,557.15	161,751.61	142,012.85	137,987.50
2005	93,076.77	131,539.48	94,377.68	127,276.33	176,635.93	142,610.59	139,331.91	116,190.58
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes								
2011	118,902.26	117,567.90	53,245.76	112,779.42	90,584.78	69,967.91	77,506.09	78,179.10
2010	83,476.51	107,502.33	47,539.38	105,909.91	87,696.46	71,814.37	77,687.74	85,353.85
2009	89,356.91	134,554.58	62,366.01	102,809.18	116,588.69	81,139.59	76,374.99	115,523.99
2008	90,925.14	155,695.83	91,988.86	117,025.16	93,602.22	82,363.60	114,310.48	102,403.58
2007	118,540.93	134,593.33	113,643.72	118,232.44	99,966.05	94,660.52	99,394.36	101,296.97
2006	93,444.65	127,794.21	109,043.87	106,565.99	118,369.14	127,982.67	114,089.37	112,058.69
2005	76,792.22	106,670.91	75,869.66	102,525.54	143,130.12	119,435.76	113,044.97	94,873.96
Current Year (2011) Ad Valorem Collections %								
2011	61.75%	67.89%	66.43%	70.77%	73.38%	73.16%	72.76%	76.20%
2010	61.74%	67.57%	65.98%	69.88%	72.48%	72.77%	72.93%	76.72%
2009	61.15%	69.32%	68.56%	71.75%	76.21%	76.30%	75.90%	81.22%
2008	62.00%	70.89%	72.06%	76.33%	78.07%	76.81%	78.93%	82.75%
2007	66.28%	72.39%	75.42%	78.59%	80.07%	79.35%	79.80%	83.27%
2006	59.93%	66.77%	69.65%	72.51%	76.05%	77.89%	79.28%	83.28%
2005	69.72%	74.22%	74.67%	78.26%	80.75%	79.62%	81.44%	84.88%
2004	71.97%	77.53%	77.83%	81.56%	82.47%	82.47%	82.41%	86.46%
2003	67.97%	76.97%	76.99%	82.41%	83.61%	84.39%	83.82%	87.55%
History of Past Due Mailings								
	10/01/10		02/10/11		11/08/11		02/14/12	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	7,810	471,356.74	5,540	340,622.47	9,681	530,539.81	8,750	487,461.50
Total Monthly Veh Billings								
2011	100,398.20	93,940.00	87,640.79	89,908.51	112,682.82	131,078.36	132,080.06	113,690.51
2010	97,615.33	90,680.69	82,034.34	84,233.87	109,039.61	119,912.86	127,180.60	110,328.69
2009	103,692.98	100,434.86	88,380.58	96,355.94	120,189.92	124,415.00	140,069.44	125,068.34
2008	113,200.10	108,876.07	96,624.23	104,656.71	140,299.87	125,658.12	143,902.71	133,231.36
2007	122,374.37	115,257.50	103,750.93	114,734.32	147,713.65	134,495.46	154,241.50	139,609.75
2006	120,878.86	115,964.90	104,861.62	114,077.68	148,750.88	137,007.57	158,391.01	140,952.25
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	Mar 2012		Apr 2012		May 2012		Jun 2012	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	88	4,938.77	89	4,856.07	86	4,840.70	83	4,791.68
Bankruptcies	48	2,320.38	48	2,303.14	46	2,280.60	42	2,205.54
Remaining Accts Receivable	2,842	152,491.21	2,725	147,120.18	2,687	138,713.27	4,055	223,738.09
Current Year AR (Taxes/Int)	5,233	297,971.01	5,817	332,326.27	6,767	373,327.01	6,118	334,594.80
**Red = AR Dollars	8,211	457,721.37	8,679	486,605.66	9,586	519,161.58	10,298	565,330.11
Garnishments Served by Mth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Matured by Mth	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
One Year Ago								
	Mar 2011		Apr 2011		May 2011		Jun 2011	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	210	14,457.94	185	12,764.11	170	12,042.25	166	11,958.85
Bankruptcies	1	5.06	1	5.09	1	5.12	1	5.15
Remaining Accts Receivable	2,494	149,294.47	2,216	144,234.62	2,315	140,430.09	3,628	211,509.83
Current Year AR (Taxes/Int)	5,476	316,118.10	6,028	345,612.88	6,935	378,681.08	6,232	334,268.26
**Red = AR Dollars	8,181	479,875.57	8,430	502,616.70	9,421	531,158.54	10,027	557,742.09
Garnishments Served by Mth	6	383.64	2	89.60	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Matured by Mth	6	390.04	2	90.23	-	-	-	-

Charge to Collect:

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANSON

To the Tax Collector of the County of Anson:

You are hereby authorized, empowered and commanded to collect the taxes set forth in the tax records filed in the office of the Assessor and in the tax receipts herewith delivered to you, in the amounts and from the taxpayers likewise therein set forth. Such taxes are hereby declared to be a first lien upon all real property of the respective taxpayers in the County of Anson, and this order shall be a full and sufficient authority to direct, require and enable you to levy on and sell any real or personal property of such taxpayers, for and on account thereof, in accordance with law.

Tax Settlement:

2011 PROPERTY TAX SETTLEMENT										
FY 2011-2012										
June 30, 2012	2011					2010	2009	2008	2007	
	Levy	Collections	Receivables	Percentages	% Inc(Dec)	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	
ANSON COUNTY	12,124,629.80	11,366,673.13	757,956.67	93.75%	-0.34%	94.09%	93.78%	94.62%	95.24%	
Ansonville Fire District	67,001.65	62,529.93	4,471.72	93.33%	0.45%	92.88%	93.38%	94.20%	94.70%	
Burnsville Fire District	65,302.36	60,893.60	4,408.76	93.25%	-0.53%	93.78%	91.19%	94.74%	95.75%	
Gulledge Fire District	55,504.54	51,113.39	4,391.15	92.09%	-0.03%	92.12%	92.37%	92.65%	92.94%	
Lanesboro Fire District	91,072.98	83,798.62	7,274.36	92.01%	-0.28%	92.29%	91.35%	92.83%	95.14%	
Lilesville Fire District	156,423.23	148,765.49	7,657.74	95.10%	-0.63%	95.73%	96.30%	96.59%	96.89%	
Morven Fire District	64,926.90	57,805.35	7,121.55	89.03%	-1.78%	90.81%	88.46%	90.05%	89.61%	
Wadesboro Fire District	115,489.22	108,211.83	7,277.39	93.70%	-0.70%	94.40%	93.52%	95.00%	94.83%	
Totals:	12,740,350.68	11,939,791.34	800,559.34							
Current Year (2011) Receivables:			800,559.34							
Prior Year (2002-2010) Receivables:			1,169,457.31							
Prepaid (2012) Receivables:			75,156.65							
Totals:			2,045,173.30							
Additional Information FY 2011-2012										
	Budgeted Amt	FY 11/12 Collections	Amount Above Budgeted	% Above Budgeted						
Prior Year Taxes	765,920.00	821,755.97	55,835.97	7.29%						
Deferred Taxes	37,915.00	38,694.14	779.14	2.05%						
Interest Cost/Current Yr	52,600.00	62,150.81	9,550.81	18.16%						
Interest Cost/Prior Yr	127,600.00	143,840.63	16,240.63	12.73%						
	984,035.00	1,066,441.55	82,406.55							
		FY 10/11 Collections								
Prior Year Taxes		876,011.20								
Deferred Taxes		22,481.89								
Interest Cost/Current Yr		59,117.15								
Interest Cost/Prior Yr		154,365.57								
		1,111,975.81								

2011 MUNICIPAL PROPERTY TAX SETTLEMENT										
FY 2011-2012										
June 30, 2012	2011					2010	2009	2008	2007	
Municipal	Levy	Collections	Receivables	Percentages	Inc(Dec)	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	
Ansonville	63,427.54	52,993.25	10,434.29	83.55%	-1.36%	84.91%	84.02%	82.95%	85.10%	
McFarlan	7,815.26	6,803.19	1,012.07	87.05%	-6.43%	93.48%	92.75%	93.02%	94.00%	
Morven	58,852.82	49,432.17	9,420.65	83.99%	1.91%	82.08%	81.92%	81.03%	81.99%	
Peachland	46,858.17	43,567.66	3,290.51	92.98%	-0.08%	93.06%	93.93%	93.96%	90.79%	
Polkton	96,972.20	89,053.01	7,919.19	91.83%	4.41%	87.42%	90.15%	74.10%	87.82%	
Wadesboro	1,461,305.94	1,371,608.64	89,697.30	93.86%	-0.28%	94.14%	94.50%	95.20%	95.36%	
	1,735,231.93	1,613,457.92	121,774.01							

2011 VEHICLE TAX SETTLEMENT										
FY 2011-2012										
June 30, 2012	2011					2010	2009	2008	2007	
	Levy	Collections	Receivables	Percentages	Inc(Dec)	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	
ANSON COUNTY	1,060,420.72	807,995.88	252,424.84	76.20%	-0.52%	76.72%	81.22%	82.75%	83.27%	
<i>Municipals</i>										
Ansonville	7,083.09	4,578.29	2,504.80	64.64%	-0.26%	64.90%	68.30%	72.43%	74.44%	
Lilesville	10,138.62	7,056.39	3,082.23	69.60%	0.46%	69.14%	76.11%	81.11%	74.71%	
McFarlan	1,010.18	663.85	346.33	65.72%	-18.87%	84.59%	80.02%	90.15%	92.63%	
Morven	8,705.83	4,868.66	3,837.17	55.92%	-7.26%	63.18%	69.91%	70.32%	69.75%	
Peachland	6,269.34	4,636.38	1,632.96	73.95%	0.33%	73.62%	80.27%	81.26%	83.77%	
Polkton	10,508.63	6,996.53	3,512.10	66.58%	-1.58%	68.16%	74.61%	75.88%	79.28%	
Wadesboro	124,605.20	92,227.80	32,377.40	74.02%	-0.05%	74.07%	79.14%	80.88%	81.41%	
<i>Fire Districts</i>										
Ansonville Fire	5,183.75	4,093.84	1,089.91	78.97%	1.57%	77.40%	80.85%	81.75%	82.36%	
Burnsville Fire	7,745.99	6,587.06	1,158.93	85.04%	-0.03%	85.07%	87.94%	88.82%	89.39%	
Gulledge Fire	5,046.96	3,528.59	1,518.37	69.92%	-0.79%	70.71%	79.60%	81.28%	82.53%	
Lanesboro Fire	9,597.99	8,122.21	1,475.78	84.62%	1.92%	82.70%	86.05%	86.90%	86.79%	
Lilesville Fire	7,550.91	5,518.10	2,032.81	73.08%	2.17%	70.91%	78.89%	80.10%	82.04%	
Morven Fire	6,922.66	4,734.22	2,188.44	68.39%	-0.03%	68.42%	74.30%	75.25%	76.44%	
Wadesboro Fire	11,587.76	8,660.78	2,926.98	74.74%	-1.47%	76.21%	80.89%	81.89%	82.23%	
Totals:	1,282,377.63	970,268.58	312,109.05							
FY 10-11 Totals:	1,302,316.30	992,037.01	310,279.29							

Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:

Fund Balance Calculation					
		Last Year	Two Months	Last	
	As of 06-30-12	Same Month	Ago	Month	Now
		As of 06/30/11	As of 04/30/12	As of 05/31/12	As of 06/30/12
Available Fund Balance					
	Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 9,463,793	\$ 11,738,848	\$ 9,865,724	\$ 8,475,018
	Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 11,353	\$ 13,862	\$ 14,114	\$ 14,365
	Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ (384,061)	\$ 94,542	\$ 118,433	\$ (29,160)
	Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (52,979)	\$ (43,474)	\$ (43,474)	\$ (43,474)
	Less Encumbrances	\$ (199,254)	\$ (491,308)	\$ (368,079)	\$ (133,014)
	Total Available	\$ 8,838,852	\$ 11,312,470	\$ 9,586,718	\$ 8,283,735
General Fund Expenditures					
	Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 26,226,323	\$ 28,090,574	\$ 28,090,574	\$ 28,090,574
Total Available for Appropriation					
	Total Available	\$ 8,838,852	\$ 11,312,470	\$ 9,586,718	\$ 8,283,735
	Total Expenditures	\$ 26,226,323	\$ 28,090,574	\$ 28,090,574	\$ 28,090,574
	Total % Available Fund Balance	33.70%	40.27%	34.13%	29.49%
	Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC	8%	8%	8%	8%
		\$2,098,106	\$2,247,246	\$2,247,246	\$2,247,246
	% Undesignated Fund Balance	25.70%	32.27%	26.13%	21.49%
		\$ 6,740,746	\$ 9,065,224	\$ 7,339,472	\$ 6,036,489

Monthly Jail Report:

ANSON COUNTY JAIL

July 2, 2012

- As of 9:00am today (July2, 2012) the Anson County Jail (capacity of 60) held 51 inmates in Anson County; 0 inmates housed in the other County Jails; 0 inmate in DOC/Butner for medical/safe-keeping; and 0 inmates are awaiting transfer to DOC.

- The following is a breakdown of the Average Daily Population:

<u>Year</u>	<u>ADP</u>	<u>Total Booked</u>	<u>Booked/Month</u>
2003	51	1920	160
2004	54	1954	163
2005	58	2119	177
2006	55	2027	169
2007	55	----	----
2008	53	1707	142
2009	62	1919	160
2010	68	1483	124
2011	58	1669	139

- 2011 Totals**

January	59	113
---------	----	-----

February	61	136
March	56	144
April	59	170
May	59	145
June	53	162
July	58	124
August	66	177
September	62	126
October	57	136
November	60	132
December	46	104

4. 2012 Totals

January	40	117
February	45	125
March	48	158
April	45	146
May	48	146
June	55	138

Budget Amendment – SRF Raw Water Intake Project: to appropriate additional funding from SRF Revolving Loan (\$250,000.00) to cover additional cost related to the repair of the electrical systems at Water Filtration to complete the Raw Water Intake Project. This is addition to the original budget amendment in February for \$402,625.00 which will bring this additional project for the wiring to \$652,625.00.

AMENDMENT

Anson County North Carolina 2006-2007 North Carolina Revolving Fund Loan CDBG-ED Project and Stag Project

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that pursuant to Section 13.2 of Chapter 159 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, the following grant ordinance is hereby adopted:

Section 3. Revenues:

Increase:	SRF-Raw Water Intake	\$ 250,000
Total Increase:		\$ 250,000

Section 4. Expenditures:

Increase:	SRF-Raw Water Intake	\$ 4,784
Increase:	SRF-Raw Water Intake	\$ 245,216
Total Increase:		\$ 250,000

Adopted this 9th day of July, 2012.

Budget Ordinance – Revised – SRF Raw Water Intake Project: to revise earlier Budget Ordinance of February 7, 2012. Additional funds of \$250,000.00 needed to complete electrical project. New Loan amount will be \$550,000.00 with 0.0% interest rate for 20 years with yearly payments of \$27,500.00.

State Revolving Fund Loan
ELECTRICAL-ANSON COUNTY WATER TREATMENT PLANT

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that pursuant to Section 13.2 of Chapter 159 of the General Statutes of North Carolina, the following grant ordinance is hereby adopted:

Section 1: The project authorized is the 2011-2012 State Revolving Fund Loan for the Anson County electrical upgrades to the county's existing pump station located on Blewett Falls Lake, between the North Carolina Department of Environmental and Natural Resources, and the County of Anson.

Section 2: The officers of this unit are hereby directed to proceed with this project within the terms of the loan documents, the rules and regulations set forth by the North Carolina Department of environmental and natural Resources.

Section 3: The following revenues are anticipated to be available to complete this project:

<u>Authorization</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Fee Rate</u>	<u>Fee</u>	<u>Terms</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>
DWSRF Revolving Loan	\$ 550,000.00	+ (2%)	\$ 10,784.00	(0.000% interest for 20 yrs.	County
Local commitment water fund bal	<u>\$ 102,625.00</u>		\$ _____		
Total Sum	\$ 652,625.00		\$ _____		

<u>REVENUES:</u>	NCDENR SRF Loan	\$ 550,000.00
	County Funds	<u>\$ 102,625.00</u>
	Total Funds	\$ 652,625.00

Section 4: The following amounts are appropriate for the project.

EXPENDITURES

Construction Cost	\$ 594,341.00
Engineering Bidding	\$ 25,000.00
Inspections	\$ 17,500.00
Loan Administration	\$ 5,000.00
Closing Cost 2% of SRF loan	<u>\$ 10,784.00</u>
TOTAL FUNDS	\$ 652,625.00

Section 5: The Finance Officer, Robert Thomas, is hereby directed to maintain within the Grant Project Fund sufficient detailed accounting records to provide the accounting to the grantor agency required by the grant agreement(s) and federal and State Regulations.

Section 6: Requests for funds should be made to the grantor agency in an orderly and timely manner as funds are obligated and expenses incurred.

Section 7: The Finance Officer is directed to report quarterly on the financial status of each project element in Section 4 and on the total grant revenues received or claimed.

Section 8: The Finance Officer is directed to include a detailed analysis of past and future costs and revenues on this grant project in every budget submission made to the board.

Section 9: Copies of this grant project ordinance shall be made available to the Finance Officer, if different from the County Clerk for direction in carrying out this project.

Adopted this the 9th day of July, 2012.

Commissioner Concerns:

Commissioner Woodburn – Update on NCACC Board of Director's

Meeting: Commissioner Woodburn shared that at the legislative goals conference they were informed that very few counties were actually submitting their legislative goals. Commissioner Woodburn stated that they asked if there was anything of concern from the last session of the legislature to submit a goal. Commissioner Woodburn shared that one thing that happened was contingency audits were actually put into law but being held off for a year and counties can no longer do this. Commissioner Woodburn stated that this was a concern for Mr. Newton. Commissioner Woodburn felt the board

may want to talk with our legislatures about this. Chairman Baucom asked if this meant we should not put money aside every year for our revaluation with Commissioner Woodburn explaining that it means you can't do a contingency audit whereas you can do discovery audits. Commissioner Woodburn stated that right now we could do a contingency audit and if there was any discovery then we would update the records for that particular entity and they pay taxes on it. Commissioner Woodburn feels you could also collect some back taxes to a certain extent. Commissioner Sims asked the difference in this and what we're doing now. Commissioner Woodburn stated that we won't be able to do this. County Attorney Forbes added that they had some people in the legislature who were influencing those people that had land they didn't want to pay taxes on. Commissioner Woodburn felt this was important and something we need to keep on the radar. Commissioner Woodburn stated that we could actually submit something to make it a legislative goal along with anything else we feel negatively impacts the county. Commissioner Woodburn stated that they moved the date from August to September. Commissioner Woodburn shared that he did not realize this but there are several board of commissioners that don't meet in July. Commissioner Sims wondered if the board should consider cutting eight to six or eight to four for appraisal periods. Chairman Baucom felt the board should have a retreat between now and the time they need to make a decision feeling there were probably a lot of things that impede us from doing our jobs and making this a great place to live. Commissioner Woodburn felt it was a good idea and the feedback from several of the counties attending was they actually do have a retreat. Commissioner Woodburn suggest each board member take a look at what has come from the legislature this year as well as stuff that is already in place that we feel may not be in our best interest and we would want the Association to take on. Commissioner Woodburn commented that it may or may not get elected as one of the top items but at least we would get our thoughts out there for discussion. Commissioner Woodburn also reported that two of the presenters at the conference this year were sending out surveys in hopes of getting input from attendees on what they want the seminars to cover. Commissioner Woodburn stated that one thing they were looking at was population trends and they were saying the trends in some areas were negatively impacting the counties. Commissioner Woodburn stated that the baby boomers were increasing in many areas and also just the change in the population in most areas.

Commissioner Sikes stated that one issue had already been discussed but he had been approached about the Field of Dreams at Polkton. Commissioner Sikes stated that they were supposed to have gotten back with him but they think they could get more people involved and need some type of help. Commissioner Sikes stated that he would try to find out more about this for the next meeting.

Chairman Baucom asked if there were any other matters to come before the Board. The Clerk mentioned that it was time for Cooperative Extension to give their annual report and they have suggested the October 2nd meeting. The Clerk stated that the meeting would be held at Cooperative Extension, starting at 5 PM with dinner and

their report, followed by our regular monthly meeting at 6. Chairman Baucom asked if the Board was meeting on September 4 with the Clerk answering yes. Board members agreed to the October date.

Commissioner Sturdivant stated that she had two Commissioner Concerns but the Clerk took care of them prior to the meeting.

Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 3 hours.