

I, Bonnie M. Huntley, certify that I am the Clerk to the Board of Commissioners of Anson County and that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the minutes of a meeting of said board held the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2015 as the same appears in the official minutes of said meeting, and that said action has neither been rescinded or amended.

WITNESS my hand and the official seal of Anson County this 10<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2015.

---

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC  
Clerk to the Board

**THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS** convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, February 3, 2015 beginning at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair  
Ross Streater, Vice Chair  
Bobby Sikes  
• Harold Smith  
Vancine Sturdivant  
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board  
Rita James, Data Processing  
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer  
Jeff Waisner, Parks & Rec. /Building Maintenance  
Rodney Diggs, Emergency Management  
Keith Gaskins, Building Inspections  
Mary Beck, Economic Development  
Landric Reid, Sheriff  
Holly Lambert, 911 Director

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom called the meeting to **Order**, adding that she was glad to see so many people here tonight. Chairman Baucom noted there was not a minister tonight and read the following from Cory Booker of Newark, New Jersey:

"Before you speak to me about your religion, first show it to me in how you treat other people; Before you tell me how much you love our God, show me how much you love all His children; Before you preach to me of your passion for your faith, teach me about it through your compassion for your neighbors. In the end, I'm not as interested in what you have to tell or sell as in how you choose to live and give."

**Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners:** Chairman Baucom asked if there were any changes or additions to the Agenda. It was noted that Commissioner Sims was absent due to some recent surgery and Commissioner Smith asked to remember him with a silent prayer. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to approve the Agenda as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

**Appearances:**

**Deneal Bennett – Audit Presentation:** Ms. Bennett presented each board member with a handout showing a three year comparison. Ms. Bennett thanked board members for the opportunity to do the county audit, adding that they enjoy working with our staff. Ms. Bennett did not give a detailed presentation but highlighted certain areas for board members. Ms. Bennett pointed out that this year the TDA was not presented as a special fund but a discrete component unit. Ms. Bennett noted that the TDA was legally a separate entity and the county levy's the occupancy tax but they are separate and not included with governmental funds. Ms. Bennett pointed out that our cash balance was up this year due to new procedures for collecting vehicle taxes. Ms. Bennett stated that the state now collects that tax and they are a little lax on how it is processed. Commissioner Smith asked where the TDA money comes from with Ms. Bennett answering occupancy tax. Vice Chair Streater asked if all Bed and Breakfast charge this with Ms. Bennett answering yes. Ms. Bennett shared that the only findings this year were in Medicaid and that was mostly due to the changes by the state through NCFAST. Ms. Bennett felt this would be rectified in the coming year. Ms. Bennett reminded board members that state law requires fund balance to be 8% and they like you to have 25% and Anson County's fund balance is 32.37%. Ms. Bennett added that statewide is 27.71%. Ms. Bennett stated that the water fund cash went up due to fewer debt payments and more capital contributions. Ms. Bennett referred to property tax collection noting that the statewide average was 95.5% and Anson County was at 92.41%. Ms. Bennett stated that the only things in the management letter are things that have been there before. Chairman Baucom stated that it sounds very good and it seems the county is well managed and takes good care of resources.

**Anson County Arts Council:** Phyllis Dunlap, President of the Arts Council asked board members to imagine Anson County without the Arts Council and the Ansonia Theater. Ms. Dunlap stated that they were here tonight to ask the commissioners to help fund this organization. Ms. Dunlap stated that the Ansonia Theater has a very positive impact on the surrounding region's perception of Anson County. Ms. Dunlap felt that the Ansonia Theater and the Arts Council had a significant economic impact in Anson County. Ms. Dunlap pointed out that many people come

from out of the county to see their events and they spend money here. Ms. Dunlap shared that hundreds of children in this county benefit from Arts Council programs. She noted that every school in the county has at least two presentations teaching core curriculum topics such as writing, science, black history and anti-bullying. Ms. Dunlap added that they provide encouragement to local artists such as jewelers, potters, wood carvers, painters and performing artists. Ms. Dunlap stated that they serve the wide diversity of our county as well as children and those from outside the county. Ms. Dunlap explained that for the last several years, all the work at the Arts Council and Ansonia Theater has been handled by a dedicated group of volunteers. Ms. Dunlap noted that donated money and grants are usually earmarked for specific programs and that money was needed for operating expenses such as utilities, maintenance and salary. Ms. Dunlap shared that in most other North Carolina counties, including Tier 1 low wealth similar sized counties the local government supports the Arts Council. Ms. Dunlap stated that their current overhead budget was approximately \$37,000 and asked board members to add a yearly line item in the upcoming budget in the amount of \$10,000 for the Arts Council. Ms. Dunlap noted that they would continue to raise funds for their programming. Ms. Dunlap commented that money follows value and if the board valued the economic impact arts make in Anson County and the value it adds to the quality of life they would fund it. Chairman Baucom voiced that the board would start developing the budget the last of March or April when they have a sense of what money will be like. Chairman Baucom asked Ms. Dunlap to leave a contact number. Ms. Dunlap stated that a number of people were present tonight in support of the Arts Council and asked them to stand. Commissioner Smith asked if there was a charge for their programs with Ms. Dunlap answering that school programs were free but there was a charge for some theater performances. Ms. Dunlap then handed signed petitions and letters of support to the County Attorney. Commissioner Smith then asked if their building was privately owned with Ms. Dunlap answering yes it was owned by the Arts Council. Chairman Baucom added they were a nonprofit.

**Sheriff Reid:** Sheriff Reid stated that when he came on board as Sheriff he was surprised to find that if something happened to Holly there was no one to run 911 and asked to reclassify a senior telecommunicator, namely Tammy McCall, and add the title of assistance director. Sheriff Reid noted this was not an additional person just a reclassification. Sheriff Reid noted there would be a little increase in salary but it was within their budget. Chairman Baucom asked if the assistant would need any special certification or training with Ms. Lambert answering she had all the training necessary. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. Lambert when she went home if she had supervisors on duty with Ms. Lambert answering they have shift supervisors. Commissioner Sikes asked if Ms. McCall would continue in dispatch with Ms. Lambert explaining that she would move to the day shift but would fill in when needed. Commissioner Sikes then asked if this would create a vacancy since Ms. McCall works at night with Sheriff Reid stating that Ms. Spencer resigned and Ms. McCall would move into her position. Ms. Lambert explained that they had a floater position that was not filled and they would

work that into this position. Sheriff Reid pointed out that Ms. Lambert was on call twenty-four/seven. No decision was made at this time.

**Public Addresses to the Board:**

**Marion Jones** stated that he lives on the corner of Hannah Road and Joe Jones Road and voiced a concern for the noise that would be created by the planned motor sports park at the end of Joe Jones Road. Mr. Jones stated that when he was a young boy there was a local drag strip that was eventually closed down because of noise. Mr. Jones asked if the county was able to close down the drag strip before how can they issue a permit now for the motor sports park. Chairman Baucom explained that there is zoning one mile on each side of highway 74. Mr. Jones pointed out that Joe Jones Road was a dead end road and he heard estimates of two to six thousand people at some of the events. Mr. Jones voices a concern for the park hurting their property values. Mr. Jones asked the board for help in this matter. Chairman Baucom stated that the county's role was in permitting and because there is no zoning in this area she did not know what they could do to help. Mr. Jones referred to the Noise Ordinance with Chairman Baucom saying the Sheriff has agreed that it will be enforced. Chairman Baucom felt the other glimmer of hope was through the health department. Chairman Baucom noted if they sell food they will have to meet certain standards governed by the health department. Commissioner Smith asked how far this park was from Wadesboro City Limits with Mr. Jones saying that Joe Joes Road was six tenths of a mile from the city limits. Commissioner Woodburn was of the opinion that citizens think this board issued the permit for this when actually it did not come before this board. Mr. Gaskins added that the property in question was outside the town's ETJ. Chairman Baucom commented that those living in the area might want to go together to hire an attorney to file an injunction or clarify what kinds of tools are available to protect them. Commissioner Smith asked if all the neighbors were against this with Mr. Jones answering yes.

**Benjy Brasington** stated that the drag strip mentioned by Mr. Jones was closed down due to noise and health conditions. Mr. Brasington added that they did not have adequate bathrooms. Mr. Brasington was hopeful the health department made sure the land would perk for a sewer system for adequate bathrooms for the motor sports park in question. Mr. Brasington added that he knows about loud motors and they do make a large amount of noise unless they have mufflers and knew these folks would be disappointed if this continues to take place. Mr. Brasington felt the current road was not adequate and a helicopter may be the only way to get someone out. Mr. Brasington was of the opinion the Board of Commissioners was the ultimate law and not the state. Mr. Brasington added that drinking was a serious problem at race tracks. County Attorney Forbes offered that the laws currently in existence allow the permitting of this because there is no county wide zoning in Anson County.

**J. B. Watson** voiced that whatever decision made would not affect him as he was 88 years of age and in declining health but felt they did not need this in their

community. Mr. Watson noted that so many living there have invested their life savings in their homes and this would destroy property values.

**Cynthia Dutton** presented Chairman Baucom with a petition from the community opposing the park. Chairman Baucom shared that they petition the Anson County Board of Commissioners and Nolan Scarborough to halt and abandon the creation of the park. Chairman Baucom stated that they refer to the noise, traffic and they feel the park will negatively affect the environment of Anson County. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Gaskins if the land perked with Mr. Gaskins answering that they decided to go with port-a-jons which are permissible. Mr. Gaskins explained that they would have a signed contract with a vendor to service them and keep them clean and a copy of the contract will be on file in his office. Mr. Gaskins added that Mr. Kane would go out on Saturday's to inspect the mobile food units and check the temperature of the food and the hand washing stations. Commissioner Smith wanted to know how many present were against the park with about fifteen people standing in opposition. Commissioner Sikes stated he would support any rule or regulation against the park. Chairman Baucom stated that the board would make sure the rules and regulations were followed. Vice Chair Streater asked if EMS would be on standby with Mr. Diggs stating that they have talked with rescue but a decision has yet to be made. Sheriff Reid shared that he talked with Mr. Scarborough and found he is sanctioned under American Motorcycle and their rules say no alcohol. Sheriff Reid added that Mr. Scarborough will provide security but if something goes on his office will respond. Sheriff Reid voiced that they would enforce parking and it will have to be on park property.

**Ms. Weidner** asked if neighbors would be notified if a crime was committed. Ms. Weidner stated that when her generator was stolen no one came to see her. Ms. Weidner felt if this goes through that more police would be needed in their area and asked that they let neighbors know they are there so they will feel safe. Ms. Weidner felt when someone comes to work around their property they need to come door to door and tell them what they are going to do in advance. Sheriff Reid assured them that if there was criminal activity he would let them know.

**Sharolyn Carpenter** stated that she lives close by and no one is against Economic Development for Anson County but there is a lot of land with no neighbors living close by and wondered why they put this in a neighborhood and did not tell those living close by their plans. County Attorney Forbes voiced that this was a good example for county wide zoning.

Commissioner Sturdivant asked Sheriff Reid his policy if they park beside someone's driveway with Sheriff Reid answering if they park illegally or if they are a hazard to emergency vehicles getting in and out they would be towed. Sheriff Reid offered to host a community meeting with neighbors and the owner of the track at their convenience.

**Joe Bennett Jones, III** shared that he was a third generation to live on this road. Mr. Jones asked board members to visit other tracks to see how they run and operation to see if this will fit in this area.

**Beverly Getzen** spoke in support of the Arts Council and the theater. Mrs. Getzen was hopeful all board members took the time to read Amari's article in the Anson Record about the council and theater. Mrs. Getzen felt people of all ages and backgrounds benefitted from the exposure to the arts and crafts by the way they display local talent. Mrs. Getzen stated that this gives everyone the opportunity to join a musical performance or a live performance and be on stage with neighbors. Mrs. Getzen pointed out that nothing was free as it cost to turn on the lights, the sound system and just to unlock the doors. Mrs. Getzen added that some performance are free but they must remember that somebody paid for that to happen. Mrs. Getzen asked board members to imagine what life might be like if the theater was to close its doors. Mr. Getzen encouraged board members to put something in the upcoming budget for the Arts Council and theater.

Chairman Baucom called for a fifteen minute break.

After the break, motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to go into **closed session** for Economic Development pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4) to discuss matters relating to the location or expansion of business in the area served by this body.

In regular session, **Administrative Matters** was the next topic.

**Replanting the Airport Property:** Chairman Baucom asked if there was money in the budget for this with Ms. Randall saying it was not specifically budgeted but it could be. Chairman Baucom then asked if there was a motion to replant. Vice Chair Streater was of the opinion in replanting the government did most of that. County Attorney Forbes felt there should be federal subsidy allowed for this but he was not sure. Vice Chair Streater asked who could look into this for the next meeting with County Attorney Forbes answering Eddie Johnson would do that as part of his forestry duties. County Attorney Forbes added that Mr. Johnson was to get any and all grants and subsidies he could. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to table. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. Rywak if this fell under her department with Ms. Rywak saying the North Carolina Forest Service might be able to give information. Commissioner Woodburn didn't know if the County Attorney or some department heads in charge would let him research it and get the specifics and have it ready for the next meeting. Commissioner Sikes agreed that we needed to check and get all the help we can get. Ms. Rywak offered that Brown Creek Soil & Water Conservation District has worked with this in the past. Chairman Baucom shared that she felt another meeting would be needed this month and asked if they wanted to defer this to the next meeting or next month. Commissioner Sturdivant suggested next

month. Chairman Baucom noted the motion was to defer until next month. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Smith asked to go to page 30 while he was here. Commissioner Smith stated that they had called him about the appointment to the **Agricultural Advisory Board** and he talked with Mrs. Blount and she would like to serve her husband's term. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to appoint Pearl Blount to fill the vacancy for District 7. Motion carried unanimously.

**Fire District Rezoning and Adopting Six Mile Districts:** Chairman Baucom stated that apparently we have a fire district outside of the normal districts and they want to extend it. Mr. Diggs explained that the proposal was to extend Wadesboro Fire District to cover some residents that are outside their five mile area. Mr. Diggs noted it was mainly on Robinson Bridge Road and a few on City Pond Road. Mr. Diggs stated that currently the county has five mile fire districts that the state allows and this will include those on Robinson Bridge Road back over to Gatewood Station Road back over to 742 and then down 742 to cover Mayflower Road and City Pond Road and put this in Wadesboro's five mile district. Vice Chair Streater asked the reason for this with Mr. Diggs explaining that some homeowners have complained about their insurance doubling or tripling and this would put them within five miles of a fire department where they are not now. Commissioner Sikes asked about the other fire departments in the county with Mr. Diggs answering they have had few complaints other than Robinson Bridge Road but feels they may be coming. Mr. Diggs noted one in the Ansonville District adding that the six miles will cover this individual. Commissioner Smith asked if Gatewood Station was in this with Mr. Diggs noting that part of Gatewood Station Road will be in Wadesboro's district and the other part will remain with Morven. Commissioner Sikes questioned one on Ansonville-Polkton Road that is 5.1 miles from Ansonville and 4 from Polkton. Mr. Diggs stated that he did look at this but felt the six mile district would cover him. Commissioner Sikes asked if Ansonville would get a six mile district with Mr. Diggs answering if the board adopts six mile districts tonight it will be countywide. Mr. Diggs noted this was the only fire district they will be changing to get them in the Wadesboro five mile district. Vice Chair Streater asked if it was taking away from anybody with Mr. Diggs noting that Morven and Gullede would lose a little bit and he talked with both chiefs and they agreed. Chairman Baucom asked if they were already in the Gullede district were their insurance rates already lower with Mr. Diggs answering they were outside the five miles so their rates are considered a class 10. Chairman Baucom asked if anyone asked for this to be done other than Mr. Diggs with Mr. Diggs saying that about two years ago residents of Robinson Bridge Road came requesting this be done. Mr. Diggs shared that not having a full time person in GIS it has been hard to get this done. Commissioner Sikes added that he has had people coming to him wanting this done. Vice Chair Streater stated that taking someone from Gullede and putting them in Wadesboro they will pay a higher fire tax with Mr. Diggs pointing out that if they are outside the five miles they are considered not having fire protection. Mr. Diggs shared that residents of Robinson Bridge Road

said their homeowners tripled because they were not in the five mile district and they asked to be in Wadesboro's District if it was closer. Vice Chair Streater commented that he felt the board should have held a Public Hearing last year when they changed the amount Wadesboro could collect. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Diggs if he would extend the fire district a mile so it is within six miles of the fire department with Mr. Diggs answering that if approved tonight they would be going to six mile districts so if they are outside a five mile district now it will help reduce their homeowners insurance. Mr. Diggs pointed out that districts were determined by road miles. Vice Chair Streater asked if approve tonight would it be for the whole county with Mr. Diggs answering the six mile districts would be for the whole county. Commissioner Smith noted this was an advantage for the people. Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to approve six mile districts for the whole county and six mile districts on the map. Motion carried unanimously.

**Date for Joint Meeting with SPCC Trustees:** Commissioner Smith asked Commissioner Woodburn if he was on that board and if we needed to meet with them with Commissioner Woodburn answering yes. Chairman Baucom stated that they have suggested April 14<sup>th</sup>. Vice Chair Streater and Commissioner Smith asked if the meeting would be at the Polkton Depot with the Clerk answering yes and she would confirm the time prior to the meeting. Chairman Baucom noted it was usually 6:00 P.M. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

**Date for Planning Retreat:** Chairman Baucom suggested the 9, 15 or 16. Chairman Baucom noted that Saturday would be the 14<sup>th</sup> or the 21<sup>st</sup>. Chairman Baucom asked board members if they wanted to do it on Saturday or Tuesday. Commissioner Sturdivant asked the Chairman if she was talking about February with the Chairman answering yes. Commissioner Sturdivant noted the 14<sup>th</sup> was Valentine's Day. Commissioner Smith suggested the 17<sup>th</sup> with the Clerk asking the time. Chairman Baucom noted it would probably be between 6 and 9 PM. After some discussion board members settled on the 17<sup>th</sup> from 6-9PM. Chairman Baucom asked the whole management team to attend and wants the board to define how they are going to go about advertising, setting a budget for a new County Manager and nail down exactly what they are looking for. Vice Chair Streater commented that he thought the management team was doing a good job with Chairman Baucom in agreement.

**Salvageable Items in the Hospital Building:** Jeff Waisner was here to speak to this issue. Mr. Waisner stated that since the last meeting he learned that we did take ownership of the building and they secured the building last week. Mr. Waisner shared that a window had been knocked out and they boarded it up with sheetrock. Mr. Waisner shared that the city had a concern and he will share with them a key so if they have to get in for a fire or something they can get in the bottom. Mr. Waisner offered that there was a lot of stainless steel, metal and copper in the building that they could salvage and sell. Mr. Waisner stated that they took twenty-five door



knobs out and they are stored at their maintenance shop. Mr. Waisner added that there is also stainless steel on the corners by the elevators and they run us about \$225 each and they removed them last week for our use. Mr. Waisner noted a problem is storage as they don't have a place to store these items. Mr. Waisner stated that the doors in the building are four foot solid oak and cost around \$500 each and may be something we could sell on GovDeals as they may be 200 to 250 doors in the building. Commissioner Smith felt if the doors were solid they could be cut down and used. Vice Chair Streater felt Bonnie could sell them on GovDeals. Mr. Waisner stated their problem is they don't have the manpower or the tools to take some things down. Vice Chair Streater commented that we might need to find a place to store these items and let Mr. Waisner get what he can and let Bonnie sell the rest. Commissioner Smith felt there was no reason to store what we can't use and we need to let someone bid on it and sell it. Dr. Thompson offered that Carolinas Healthcare System has about 6,500 sq. feet of undeveloped space at the old Save-a-Lot and he thinks they may be willing to let us store some things in there. Dr. Thompson offered to work with Mr. Waisner to see if something could be worked out. Vice Chair Streater asked how long a lease they have on the building with Dr. Thompson answering they were six years into a ten year lease. Commissioner Sikes asked if the building was secure with Dr. Thompson answering yes and it is heated. Commissioner Smith asked if there was power in the old hospital building with Commissioner Sturdivant answering yes because of the kitchen. Mr. Waisner noted that the air handler works where the kitchen is located but the rest of the building has no heat or air. Mr. Waisner felt we needed to act quickly because the ceiling is falling down and the building is deteriorating pretty quickly. Chairman Baucom stated that she is hearing that we want to salvage anything we can use or sell with board members in agreement. Vice Chair Streater asked if they had the manpower with Mr. Waisner answering some of it they do not but they will do what they can. Vice Chair Streater asked board members if they want Bonnie to start listing these on GovDeals with Chairman Baucom feeling we need a way to take the doors down. Commissioner Smith stated that bidders will take them down. Commissioner Woodburn felt we needed to include that as part of the bid so they know they will have to take them down. Commissioner Sturdivant asked the date of demolition with Mr. Waisner thinking it was October 1. Chairman Baucom felt it would be neat to salvage some of the doors to use if and when we build a new building. Mr. Waisner said we could label the doors we want to keep. Commissioner Smith felt we needed someone to come in and bid on all of it, get the money and let them be responsible. Commissioner Smith stated that when you build a new building all that is in the contract unless you stipulate you will use something else. Commissioner Smith felt we had glass in there worth some money. Chairman Baucom felt the board has said salvage anything we can that we think we can sell or reuse.

Chairman Baucom shared that she was a little uncomfortable asking for this but the **First Methodist Church** in Wadesboro is celebrating its 225<sup>th</sup> year and asked for a Resolution commemorating those years. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve the Resolution. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Baucom thinks the first meeting was a Bishop Asbury who came to First Methodist Church a couple hundred years ago and she suspects they met under the steps of the courthouse. Chairman Baucom said there used to be a big space between the foundation wall and the steps going to the portico and she expects this was at the river somewhere. Chairman Baucom understood the first courthouse was at the river.

**Discussion of the State Health Plan:** Chairman Baucom shared that Representative Brody told us in December that the General Assembly had agreed for counties to go on the state health plan. Chairman Baucom felt one of our questions was if we didn't like it could be opt out. Chairman Baucom stated that Representative Brody called her this morning and said yes we could opt out of the state plan. Chairman Baucom noted that she has had a little experience with the state plan and she has trust issues with the state and of course there is a lawsuit now by the retired state employees association. Chairman Baucom stated that she had a conversation with Michael Kelly, who is head of the Risk Management Pools at the Association and he said this was not available to counties. Chairman Baucom is not sure if we got good information or not. The Clerk shared that she had a conversation with Neva and found that Marshville was interested. Vice Chair Streater was hopeful we would have an answer by the retreat.

**2015-2017 Audit Firm Consideration:** Chairman Baucom reported that she and Commissioner Sturdivant attended the Essential of County Government class recently and the subject of auditors came up. Chairman Baucom shared that they strongly encouraged that as board of commissioners we not to use the same auditor more than three years in a row. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that this came from an attorney and he referenced the General Statutes and everything. Chairman Baucom stated that the board has to choose a contract and asked what they wanted to do. Ms. Randall stated that per the board's request she sent out RFP's for audit services and she received two proposals, one from J. B. Watson & Co. and one from Thompson, Price, Scott, Adams & Co. Ms. Randall noted that J. B. Watson was local and our current audit firm and Thompson, Price, Scott, Adams & Co. was from Whiteville, North Carolina. Ms. Randall explained that the price for 2015 was supposed to be firm and the other two were to be a reasonable estimate. Ms. Randall added that J. B. Watson & Co. has been our auditor for fifteen years. Ms. Randall shared that she called the references listed from the other firm and they spoke very favorably saying they were easy to work with, they kept a consistent team and they never had any issues. Ms. Randall shared that she also called Richmond County even though they were not listed as a reference and they too spoke favorably. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if the amount listed was correct with Ms. Randall answering yes. Ms. Randall was of the opinion that both firms were qualified and would give us a quality audit and it boils down to do you want to stay local or look at cost. Commissioner Smith said there was a fifteen thousand dollar variance. Chairman Baucom voiced that she would prefer to stay local and keep the money here but she is very bothered by fifteen years repeated use of the same auditor. Ms. Randall stated that references given by the other firm

indicated they have audited Johnston County for eleven years and Columbus for nineteen. Ms. Randall shared that a couple of Finance Officers she spoke with did say they put their audit out for bid every three years. Vice Chair Streater voiced that he would like to deal locally but there was such a difference in price. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. James her thoughts and she said she and Tiffany had discussed this a lot and one thing they talked about was the distance factor. Ms. James stated that after talking with some of the counties she doesn't have that fear anymore. Ms. James added that just like our local office they have a great team but they have more CPA's. Ms. Randall shared that they have eleven governmental auditors and there would be four CPA's on the audit team that would work with us. Ms. Randall added that they were licensed in North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee. Commissioner Smith voiced that he has used this firm before and they are good people. Commissioner Smith commented that we would be saving forty-five thousand dollars. Chairman Baucom asked the number of auditors with J. B. Watson with Ms. Randall answering that Ms. Bennett is here the whole time they are performing field work and David Burns comes in usually for a week or so and they have two or three interns that are in and out. Chairman Baucom asked if the same person does our audits over and over with Ms. Randall answering yes. Commissioner Smith felt if this firm was recommended the board has to go with the lowest bid and asked the County Attorney Forbes if that was right. County Attorney Forbes noted there were some forms of discretion but that tends to be the policy. Ms. Randall added that the other firm audits eight counties whereas we are the only county our local firm does. Commissioner Woodburn felt that because they were a much larger firm that accounts for the lower price. Vice Chair Streater voiced that the board likes the Bennett's but for the citizens he would make a motion to recommend Thompson, Price, Scott, Adams and Co. of Whiteville to do our audit for 2015, 2016 and 2017. Motion seconded by Commissioner Smith. Commissioner Sikes asked why we should not keep the same firm for so long with Chairman Baucom saying they said if the same person does it over and over you get friendly with county personnel, it becomes very routine and it was just not good practice. Commissioner Sturdivant added that they will see things and let it slide. Commissioner Smith stated that his position was we were saving the taxpayers forty-five thousand dollars. Chairman Baucom felt that sometimes saving money ends up costing more. Commissioner Smith voiced that we were dealing with a quality product here. Motion carried unanimously. Ms. Randall added that the first year was supposed to be firm but the second two are to be reasonable estimates however we will have to approve the contracts as they come up because one governing board can't obligate another board. Vice Chair Streater asked if the price given would be the price with Ms. Randall answering yes. Chairman Baucom was of the opinion that at the end of three years they would want to move back to the local firm.

**Chairman's Report:** Chairman Baucom reported on some of the meetings she has attended recently.

\*Commissioner Smith left the meeting at this point.

Chairman Baucom noted that the Agri-Civic Center planning was coming along. Chairman Baucom shared that there was a meeting about a memory wall so a lot of thought is going into recognizing people and organizations that pony up to help pay for this building. Chairman Baucom noted there was a lot of thought and attention to detail. Chairman Baucom shared that when you decide to contribute you can choose a paver or a leaf on a giving tree with your name on it. Chairman Baucom told Commissioner Sikes if he wanted to tear a wall out of one of his barns they would use it and put his name on it. Chairman Baucom stated that the Anson County Partnership for Children is partnering with Montgomery County to share a nurse family partnership. Chairman Baucom stated that about a year ago the Partnership along with Commissioner Sturdivant and maybe the public schools sponsored the first 2000 days and what they learned is that a child learns more in the first 2000 days than they do the rest of their life. Chairman Baucom stated that we have a lot of young mothers and some with a live in daddy and some not and some are still living with their parents and this nurse would come in and visit the mother and child periodically for the first year of the child's life. Chairman Baucom noted this was available for first time mothers and she was not sure if there were income guidelines or not. Chairman Baucom shared that Essentials of County Government was a very good class, very comprehensive and it was at the Sheraton in Chapel Hill. Chairman Baucom stated that she and Commissioner Sturdivant attended the Martin Luther King event at Ebenezer. Chairman Baucom shared that we have the opportunity to get a new ACTS facility that will cost us ten percent of the overall cost. Chairman Baucom stated that it was not absolute certain that we will be chosen but if we don't go through the process we will not be. Chairman Baucom stated that they explored different properties that might be suitable. Chairman Baucom shared that they looked at county own property behind the high school, property on highway 74 and some on Wortham Street. Chairman Baucom noted this was for another meeting. Commissioner Sikes thought it was mentioned that if we furnish the land that might be our ten percent with Chairman Baucom answering yes. Chairman Baucom stated that Mr. Diggs had a hazmat planning meeting and planning for a drill. Chairman Baucom stated that there have been several requests for salary changes in the middle of the year and she will hold the line. Chairman Baucom stated that she was not promising anything to anybody and the board would deal with it at budget time. Chairman Baucom mentioned the recent Barn Blast saying Michael Parker was the auctioneer. Chairman Baucom stated that apparently they ran out of things to sell and they asked her to sell hugs for a dollar. Chairman Baucom explained that they auctioned off the hugs and it went to \$160 and she is now a professional hugger. Chairman Baucom noted she was real proud until Commissioner Sturdivant got up there and she pulled in over \$300. Commissioner Sturdivant said that she has been involved with this every year since Elaine Scarborough started doing this. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that she was not asking the board to put them as a line item in the budget but she wishes board members would get involved from their pockets. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that every child from zero months to five years old can get a book mailed to their house every month. Chairman Baucom felt the Barn Blast was one of the most successful fundraisers in Anson County. Chairman Baucom shared

that last year they pulled in over seventy thousand dollars. Vice Chair Streater added that Dancing with the Stars was successful too. County Attorney Forbes shared that his children get the books and they are absolutely great. Ms. Randall offered that when kids get old enough they love getting mail.

**Consent Agenda:** Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

**Minutes:**

**Tax Releases:** approved as follows:

<b>Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments</b>						
				<b>03/03/15</b>		
			-		Real Taxes	Releases
			\$ -			
			-		Real Taxes	Refund
			-			
			\$ -			
			-		Vehicle Taxes	Release
			\$ -			
			-		Vehicle Taxes	Refund
			\$ -			
			-		Vehicle Taxes	Adjustment
			\$ -			
			-	*****		
<b>Tax &amp; Tag Together Refunds</b>						
11765515	Crawford, Betty	2014	87.69	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
23335943	Francois, Cerika J	2014	66.27	Vehicle Sold	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
24322070	Gatewood, Alicia D	2014	169.04	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
19208649	Keesler, Ellehu	2014	5.35	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
190383	Keesler, Ellehu	2014	23.64	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
189591	Lindsey, Cynthia	2014	48.22	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
14086798	Lindsey, Cynthia	2014	29.99	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
14089530	Lindsey, Cynthia	2014	17.73	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
195360	Redfern, Fulton	2014	42.01	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
12124744	Rollings, Ronald	2014	69.83	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
12124656	Wright, George	2014	43.30	Over Assessment	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
			\$ <b>603.07</b>	*****		

**Tax Collector's Report:**

# Real Property Taxes

## FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014

### February 28, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)								
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02
Year 2010	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07
Year 2009	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02
Year 2008	82,074.70	1,509,823.05	750,653.41	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44
<b>Of Total Collections</b>								
County Taxes & Late List								
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Year 2014	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11
Year 2013	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68
Year 2012	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51
Year 2011	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83
Year 2010	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13
Year 2009	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51
Year 2008	61,341.51	1,218,671.84	633,646.80	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %								
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Year 2014	N/A	13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	89.58%	92.71%
Year 2006		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%
Year 2005		11.83%	17.64%	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74
Year 2012	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63
Year 2011	32,692.26	9,407,190.90	10,421,780.20	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56
History of Past Due Mailings								
	02/14/12		10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Year 2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	Nov 2014		Dec 2014		Jan 2015		Feb 2015	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	137	56,699.13	137	57,252.93	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52
Accts with Agreements	38	10,709.67	38	10,762.61	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49
Accts in Foreclosure	284	126,725.03	280	125,132.62	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48
Bankruptcies	84	49,523.13	84	49,713.49	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,219	3,138,674.40	10,044	3,119,842.57	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	12,633	10,116,105.89	5,727	2,274,382.40	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45
**Red = AR Dollars	23,395	13,498,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	205.82	6	2,999.11	11	7,207.67	2	198.45
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		178,176.06		175,691.44		176,106.96		176,403.69
One Year Ago								
	Nov 2013		Dec 2013		Jan 2014		Feb 2014	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	172	67,935.18	167	66,087.01	163	65,729.97	162	65,121.99
Accts with Agreements	42	10,673.18	42	10,728.48	42	10,783.78	42	10,839.08
Accts in Foreclosure	298	122,670.20	294	120,780.73	293	121,207.40	292	121,583.14
Bankruptcies	112	67,676.56	112	67,793.55	112	68,092.61	107	63,622.27
Remaining Accts Collectible	7,959	2,514,319.86	7,814	2,503,062.37	7,780	2,435,402.50	7,674	2,399,727.97
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	13,803	10,928,707.45	5,617	2,120,297.41	5,112	1,913,233.73	4,298	1,569,833.76
**Red = AR Dollars	22,386	13,711,982.43	14,046	4,888,749.55	13,502	4,614,449.99	12,575	4,230,728.21
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	-	-	7	6,987.36	1	184.61	1	11.30
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		252,295.66		251,420.12		247,748.46		246,568.72

**Real Property Taxes**  
**FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014**  
**February 28, 2015**

<b>(Total \$\$ Collections)</b>												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	-	-	-	-
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,562.02	8,676,116.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,969.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62
Year 2009	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40
Year 2008	82,074.70	1,509,823.05	750,653.41	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01	145,103.87	144,764.28
<b>Of Total Collections</b>												
<b>County Taxes &amp; Late List</b>												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	-	-	-	-
Year 2013	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2012	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,598.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2011	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2010	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97
Year 2009	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37
Year 2008	61,341.51	1,218,671.84	633,646.80	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46	107,874.15	104,520.34
<b>Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %</b>												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	N/A	13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	-	-	-	-
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	85.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%
Year 2006		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%
Year 2005		11.83%	17.64%	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%	95.85%	96.43%
<b>Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties</b>												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	-	-	-	-
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86
Year 2012	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,437.51	734,822.47
Year 2011	32,692.26	9,407,190.90	10,421,780.20	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12	824,977.42	757,956.67
<b>History of Past Due Mailings</b>												
	02/10/11	11/08/11	02/14/12	10/01/12	01/09/13	04/09/13						
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	4,771	3,526,519.23	2,168	2,100,002.93	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72
<b>Tax Scroll Billings</b>												
	Rate	Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,898,486	10,200,268.90	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	491,657.98	11,660,103.78	2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.73	102,880.57	2,193,751.66
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64
<b>Accounts Receivable by Type</b>												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	146	58,557.10	138	56,347.02	137	56,005.40	134	54,573.34	137	56,699.13	137	57,252.93
Accts with Agreements	38	10,517.91	38	10,570.85	38	10,623.79	38	10,656.73	38	10,709.67	38	10,762.61
Accts in Foreclosure	284	124,480.83	284	124,945.31	284	125,488.79	284	126,131.91	284	126,725.03	280	125,132.62
Bankruptcies	86	49,852.64	86	49,885.75	86	50,140.88	86	50,170.30	84	49,523.13	84	49,713.49
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,314	3,315,383.46	10,554	3,214,994.13	10,456	3,196,514.42	10,332	3,154,562.37	10,219	3,138,674.40	10,044	3,119,842.57
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	19,809	12,649,107.79	17,050	10,916,704.93	15,693	10,160,994.53	14,759	11,760,366.24	12,633	10,116,105.89	5,727	2,274,382.40
**Red = AR Dollars	31,677	16,207,899.73	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,498,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62
# \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	507.76	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-	1	205.82	6	2,999.11
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		181,954.95		179,531.80		177,825.76		177,932.25		178,176.06		175,691.44
<b>Accounts Receivable by Type</b>												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52	161	64,624.53	161	63,375.03	159	62,894.79	158	62,482.20
Accts with Agreements	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49	42	10,894.38	42	10,949.68	42	11,004.98	42	11,060.28
Accts in Foreclosure	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48	323	140,593.02	322	140,536.90	321	138,604.42	308	133,202.56
Bankruptcies	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11	106	63,856.03	105	63,736.58	105	63,720.90	104	62,839.62
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91	7,514	2,335,487.28	7,462	2,310,627.55	7,392	2,280,383.82	7,323	2,253,638.45
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,921	1,463,664.46	3,731	1,356,392.34	3,568	1,289,229.08	3,364	1,245,198.80
**Red = AR Dollars	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96	12,067	4,079,119.70	11,823	3,945,618.08	11,587	3,845,837.99	11,299	3,768,421.91
# \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	11	7,207.67	2	198.45	1	100.00	2	1,469.72	2	6,328.67	14	6,643.23
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:**



<b>Fund Balance Calculation</b>					
	<b>Last Year</b>	<b>Two Months</b>	<b>Last</b>		
<b>As of 02-28-15</b>	<b>Same Month</b>	<b>Ago</b>	<b>Month</b>	<b>Now</b>	
	<b>As of</b>	<b>As of</b>	<b>As of</b>	<b>As of</b>	
	<b>02/28/14</b>	<b>12/31/14</b>	<b>01/31/15</b>	<b>02/28/15</b>	
<b>Available Fund Balance</b>					
Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 13,550,083	\$ 8,661,967	\$ 13,055,333	\$ 12,761,846	
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 19,391	\$ 21,898	\$ 22,151	\$ 22,401	
Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ (684,902)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ 111,856	\$ 129,521	\$ 137,652	\$ 130,960	
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	
Less Encumbrances	\$ (376,105)	\$ (192,748)	\$ (219,845)	\$ (232,930)	
<b>Total Available</b>	<b>\$ 12,574,089</b>	<b>\$ 8,574,403</b>	<b>\$ 12,949,056</b>	<b>\$ 12,636,042</b>	
<b>General Fund Expenditures</b>					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
<b>Total Available for Appropriation</b>					
Total Available	\$ 12,574,089	\$ 8,574,403	\$ 12,949,056	\$ 12,636,042	
Total Expenditures	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
<b>Total % Available Fund Balance</b>	<b>45.26%</b>	<b>29.72%</b>	<b>44.88%</b>	<b>43.80%</b>	
<b>Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>8%</b>	
	\$2,222,645	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	
<b>% Undesignated Fund Balance</b>	<b>37.26%</b>	<b>21.72%</b>	<b>36.88%</b>	<b>35.80%</b>	
	\$ 10,351,444	\$ 6,266,203	\$ 10,640,856	\$ 10,327,842	
	02/28/14	12/31/14	01/31/15	02/28/15	
1100001100	13,550,083.42	8,661,966.81	13,055,333.18	12,761,845.85	

Electronic Transaction Report:

03/31/15

**Utilities Department Electronic Transactions**

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	5,054.02	145	21	22
Aug 2014	5,792.83	157	20	21
Sep 2014	7,574.87	167	20	21
Oct 2014	6,227.45	172	22	23
Nov 2014	5,493.81	167	16	17
Dec 2014	5,506.92	161	18	20
Jan 2015	7,855.71	203	19	20
Feb 2015	9,041.36	224	19	19
Mar 2015	-	-	-	22
Apr 2015	-	-	-	-
May 2015	-	-	-	-
Jun 2015	-	-	-	-
	<b>52,546.97</b>	<b>1,396</b>		

**Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders**

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2014	29,374.72	989	35,307.02	501
Aug 2014	33,266.04	989	27,138.31	418
Sep 2014	32,521.26	988	43,811.45	384
Oct 2014	35,568.86	987	22,899.14	420
Nov 2014	26,244.60	991	23,917.04	394
Dec 2014	27,093.97	994	20,627.00	396
Jan 2015	30,099.77	1,001	45,731.56	486
Feb 2015	25,376.37	1,004	56,035.01	326
Mar 2015	26,380.98	1,008		
Apr 2015				
May 2015				
Jun 2015				
	<b>265,926.57</b>	<b>8,951</b>	<b>275,466.53</b>	<b>3,325</b>

**Tax Department Electronic Transactions**

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	2,598.77	20	9	22
Aug 2014	12,300.46	31	11	21
Sep 2014	3,746.65	14	9	21
Oct 2014	3,516.51	9	8	23
Nov 2014	4,104.99	13	9	17
Dec 2014	12,694.44	36	13	20
Jan 2015	5,534.54	18	7	20
Feb 2015	9,522.75	37	12	19
Mar 2015	-	-	-	22
Apr 2015	-	-	-	-
May 2015	-	-	-	-
Jun 2015	-	-	-	-
	<b>54,019.11</b>	<b>178</b>		

**Health Department Electronic Transactions**

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	1,314.91	24	13	22
Aug 2014	693.20	26	14	21
Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21

Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21
Oct 2014	847.08	18	11	23
Nov 2014	1,361.98	31	14	17
Dec 2014	1,423.62	21	13	20
Jan 2015	1,197.25	17	12	20
Feb 2015	466.26	12	8	19
Mar 2015	-	-	-	22
Apr 2015	-	-	-	-
May 2015	-	-	-	-
Jun 2015	-	-	-	-
	<b>8,286.99</b>	<b>175</b>		

**Budget Amendment – Airport:** to appropriate unspent funds from previous project to the newly approved Taxiway Lighting (Design/Bid) Project.

**AMENDMENT**

Anson County Airport Project Ordinance

**BE IT ORDAINED** by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the Anson County Airport Project Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. Revenues

Increase:	Fed Grant DOA (36237.9.12.2)	\$ 62,280
Decrease:	Fed Grant DOA (36237.9.12.1)	\$ (62,280)
Total:		\$ ---

Section 2. Expenditures

Increase:	Design – 36237.9.12.2	\$ 69,200
Decrease:	Construction – 36237.9.12.1	\$ (69,200)
Total:		\$ ---

Adopted this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of February, 2015.

**Commissioner Sturdivant** announced that on February 14<sup>th</sup> Lilesville Fire Department will be having another all you can eat breakfast starting at 6 AM until 10 AM. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that the meals were six dollars each. Commissioner Sturdivant offered that this was a great breakfast and encouraged everyone to attend. Commissioner Sikes announced that Polkton Fire Department was having their barbecue this weekend.

Commissioner Sturdivant shared that while in class she heard other commissioners complaining and one said they only served one term and she sat down and gave thanks for our group. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that all the clerks and all the people up there knew Bonnie Huntley and Commissioner Woodburn. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that she bragged on our group.

Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to recess March 17, 2015 at 6:00 P.M. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC  
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 3 hrs.