

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for a recessed meeting on Monday, February 22, 2016 beginning at 5:30 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209, in the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair

- Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Megan Garner, County Manager
Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Larry Newton, Assessor/Interim Planner

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom called the recessed meeting to **Order**, welcoming those present.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom asked if there were any changes to the Agenda. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to approve the Agenda as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Hearing: Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to open the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman Baucom stated that this was a hearing based on a request for a conditional use zoning. Chairman Baucom stated that she had asked County Attorney Forbes to briefly refresh our memories about the complexities of conditional use zoning and hearings. County Attorney Forbes reminded board members that they were finders of fact and they were also acting as judges, individually and collectively. County Attorney Forbes explained that they would hear evidence presented by the parties and also our planner and they will be able to ask each person questions or cross examination. County Attorney Forbes stated that the information they hear, noting that he did not see anyone in the audience who is not qualified to give information, but if anyone comes up and unfit information is there he will interrupt the proceedings. County Attorney Forbes again stated that the board was acting as finders of facts and they will vote yes to the conditional use or no to the conditional use at the end. Chairman Baucom noted this was the same type deal we went through when Strata wanted a conditional use permit for a site for solar panels in a residential community. Chairman Baucom noted that was about the time that the laws changed to require this kind of hoop jumping. Chairman Baucom stated that we want make sure that once this matter is settled that it has been done

following the proper process. Chairman Baucom stated that we've heard an explanation of this quasi-judicial process and if anyone who wants to testify in this matter should have signed up but she doesn't think anyone signed up. Chairman Baucom stated that if anyone wishes to speak and has not signed up to please do so now. Chairman Baucom then asked any board member who has any information or special knowledge about the case to please describe that information for the record so that interested persons will know and can respond. Chairman Baucom stated that board members should have a chance now to reveal any possible conflicts and withdraw from these proceedings if necessary. Chairman Baucom noted that conflicts include but are not limited to a member having a fixed opinion prior to the hearing that is not susceptible to change, undisclosed ex-parte communications, or a financial interest in the outcome of the matter. Chairman Baucom stated that if an objection is raised to a member's participation and that member does not recuse themselves or herself the remaining members shall by majority vote rule on the objection. Chairman Baucom stated that the first person we will hear from is the planning staff and called on Mr. Newton. Chairman Baucom noted that after Mr. Newton we will hear from the applicant and their witnesses and any opponents to the request. Mr. Newton shared that the Planning Board met January 7th and heard a request for a conditional use permit from Matthew Randall to allow ART Towing and Recovering LLC to be located at the intersection of Highway 52 and Ross Road. Mr. Newton further explained that on January 7 there was also a Public Hearing concerning the matter and no one spoke for or against. Mr. Newton stated that currently parcel 67800076280 is zoned R-20 and Mr. Randall is requesting that three acres of the western portion of this 5.98 parcel to be zoned to allow his business. Mr. Newton shared that Mr. Randall provided the planning department with a description of his business activity and a site plan. Mr. Newton noted that the planning board had copies of both. Mr. Newton shared that the planning board also had copies of our Zoning Ordinance that gives guidelines for granting or denying such a request. Mr. Newton stated that Mr. Randall spoke to the planning board and answered questions from board members concerning his business, saying he would be holding cars and it would not be a junk yard. Mr. Newton stated that the planning department expressed concern over the business being next to a residential home and the board was presented with a proposal for a landscaping screen to be installed along the northern property line that runs 420 feet of Mr. Lagrand's property. Mr. Newton added that this was the same type screening required if a cell tower was going into a residential area or something of that nature. Mr. Newton stated that after hearing from Mr. Randall and his answers to the questions, the planning board approved the conditional use permit with the landscaping screen as presented to be installed along the northern property line that runs 420 feet along Mr. Lagrand's property. Mr. Newton stated that the planning board makes a recommendation to the commissioners for the approval of the conditional use permit with the landscape screen. County Attorney Forbes then asked Mr. Newton if in his study and gathering of evidence for the conditional use permit did he believe the use would materially endanger the public safety if located in the area with Mr. Newton answering no. County Attorney Forbes then asked if the use meets all the required conditions and specifications with Mr. Newton answering yes. County Attorney Forbes then asked if the use would substantially injure the value of any adjoining property with Mr. Newton answering no. County Attorney Forbes asked if the location,

character and use of the property, if developed with the plan submitted and approved, would be in harmony with the area with Mr. Newton answering yes. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Randall if he had any comments or remarks with Mr. Randall saying he would be glad to answer any questions but it has been said three times. Chairman Baucom asked if any member of the board had questions for the applicant. No questions were asked. Chairman Baucom asked if there was any one present that opposes the request. There was no opposition voiced. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to close the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the conditional use permit with the landscape screen. Motion carried unanimously.

Closed Session: Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to go into closed session for Economic Development pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4) to discuss matters relating to the location or expansion of business in the area served by this body. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sims, that we approve the funds for NC 1 incentive grant for Project Blue provided they locate in Anson County and provide the stated number of jobs. Motion carried unanimously.

Administrative Matters:

Amended Contract for 2014/2015 Audit: Chairman Baucom voiced that she was not happy with this firm and asked Ms. James our options. Ms. James stated that she has not looked at the contract with County Attorney Forbes saying he was researching the contract and how much the county is bound to the contract and he will be prepared to present at the next meeting. Ms. James stated that Ms. Randall would be back next Monday and felt the County Attorney and Ms. Randall could get together then. Vice Chair Streater wondered if we needed to put them on notice with Chairman Baucom guessing they know since we have not signed and returned it to them. Vice Chair Streater felt we had no other choice since it was late. Chairman Baucom stated that it was late and felt the finance department had other issues. Chairman Baucom asked Ms. James if she was right but it looks like they picked up somebody else's audit and changed some numbers and she has questions about how valid the results are. Vice Chair Streater added that they came highly recommended. Chairman Baucom voiced appreciation for taking more time to research this.

Reactivating the Personnel Advisory Board: Chairman Baucom noted five names have been submitted for a three person panel. Chairman Baucom noted only one male was suggested and felt he should be selected. Ms. James stated that since she has been employed here she has seen occasions when the Personnel Advisory Board has had to get involved. Ms. James noted that one issue they found that was a stumbling block was the fact that members of this board did not understand local government. Ms. James

suggested if they appoint someone with HR it needs to be someone that can interpret our ordinance. Commissioner Sims suggested a training session. Ms. James stated that their job will be to determine that we are executing whatever by the ordinance. Ms. James stated that they needed the skills to do that and also any experience in local government or any government as long as it is government instead of private industry. Commissioner Sturdivant suggested Jeremy Wilson, Michelle Brock and Vivian Kellock-Huntley. Vice Chair Streater stated that he would like to have Frank Richardson on the board. Chairman Baucom stated that the ordinance calls for a three person panel. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if Mr. Richardson would be an alternate with Vice Chair Streater feeling we could have two or three alternates. Commissioner Woodburn felt this board would not have a lot of activity. County Attorney Forbes suggested changing the Personnel Ordinance. Commissioner Woodburn suggested going with the three that the ordinance proposes and see how it works and if we have to make adjustments after that we will. County Attorney Forbes asked if these three people were strong enough to stand up to someone and say you did wrong and your firing stands because that is what you are asking them to do. Chairman Baucom stated that we can change the ordinance and we may want to go to a five person board but we'll try this and see. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to appoint Jeremy Wilson, Michelle Brock and Vivian Kellock-Huntley as members of the Personnel Advisory Board. Motion carried unanimously.

Date for Joint Meeting with SPCC Board of Trustees: Chairman Baucom stated that they have suggested Tuesday, April 12th. It was noted that this was the second Tuesday which is not a good date for Vice Chair Streater. Vice Chair Streater voiced that he was fine with that. After discussion, board members agreed to April 12th at 6:00 PM at the Polkton Depot.

Date for Joint Meeting with the Board of Education: After discussion, board members agreed on April 7th as the date for the joint meeting.

Old Business:

Countywide Zoning: Chairman Baucom suggested moving this to the retreat Agenda.

Minimum Housing Code for Rental Property:

Interlocal Agreements for Utilities: County Manager Garner shared that she met with Cindy Coto, Union County Manager last week, and she said they are working on their plant in Norwood. County Manager Garner stated that their timeframe for construction will begin in 2019 and 2020 and according to Ms. Coto they have already invested millions of dollars in this project and there is no backing out now. County Manager Garner informed board members that Ms. Coto said they would be weaning our contract so at some point in the future they will not be buying water from us anymore and that will be about 1.2 million dollars in revenue that we will be losing at some point in the future. Chairman Baucom stated that she hopes we have something that will pick that up.

Courthouse Security: Commissioner Sims felt Sheriff Reid was in a tight spot for personnel right now and didn't see how he could possibly take anyone off the road and put them in the courthouse. Commissioner Sims asked Sheriff Reid if he could wait until the new budget and put one armed person out front, one armed person inside and use security people for the rest of it. Sheriff Reid asked Commissioner Sims if he was talking about personnel already on staff or an additional person. Commissioner Sims felt the outside person would be new as they have someone inside. Commissioner Sims felt in order to not go deeper than one man he could use security people. Sheriff Reid said that would be fine but later on down the road he would like to have another staff person. Commissioner Sims felt security was as important as anything. Vice Chair Streater asked if this would protect the people at the courthouse when they were not having court with Sheriff Reid answering yes. Sheriff Reid noted that was one of the biggest concerns because here is no security for people walking in off the street. Sheriff Reid stated that if you know there is court on Monday, you could come in Friday afternoon and hide a gun anywhere upstairs and then Monday morning if you get mad at the judge you go out and get your gun and go back in the courtroom. Sheriff Reid noted they were talking about offering security at the front door every day. Sheriff Reid stated that his plan is when you first come in the front door you are going through the metal detector. Commissioner Sikes stated that he always has thoughts about the government center noting we have someone out front but someone could always come and go to the exit door and let anyone they want it. Sheriff Reid agreed that was a concern. Vice Chair Streater thought there were cameras in the stairwells. Vice Chair Streater stated that he thought 911 was monitoring the cameras with Sheriff Reid saying he would check into that. Sheriff Reid felt if they were at the emergency services building they would be in Randy Gullledge's office as he's never seen them in the 911 center. County Manager Garner stated that there was a program to access any camera in the county. Sheriff Reid stated that Mr. Hammonds has a monitor at his desk and he has a monitor in his office to watch everything that goes on in his office. Commissioner Sims asked if it was against the law to have a concealed weapon in the Administrative building with Sheriff Reid saying yes. County Attorney Forbes noted that they changed that law for certain people. County Attorney Forbes stated that we are required to have signs on our building because we have a state operated office in the building and if it wasn't for that it would be up to the commissioners as to whether or not they want to allow it. Sheriff Reid stated that you could have 100 signs up but if you don't have someone checking people as they come in the sign is no good. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if in order to secure both courthouses we were looking at two more people with Sheriff Reid answering he was looking for two to secure the courthouse. Sheriff Reid stated that for the Law Enforcement Center or smaller courthouse the doors were locked anytime court is not going on. Sheriff Reid stated that they could use security because just inside the security at the smaller courthouse is an armed bailiff. Sheriff Reid felt the administrative office building could use security adding that deputies were usually there or would come running when called. Commissioner Sims suggested when budget time comes we give him one additional man and let him rearrange his personnel as necessary and work with security people for additional help. Vice Chair Streater stated that he would like for Sheriff Reid and Ms. James to look to see

if we have cameras in this building as he would like someone to watch them when we have a meeting. Sheriff Reid stated that he is usually here for meetings but he could have someone here every time we have a board meeting. Chairman Baucom shared that she understands a crowd will be at the next meeting about coal ash. Commissioner Sims then asked why someone would make a grand issue of coal ash when we don't have a problem. Commissioner Sikes stated because they think it is coming here. Vice Chair Streater stated that our landfill has been approved to be one it can come to. Commissioner Sikes asked if we could stop it with County Attorney Forbes saying from his research we can't stop it. County Attorney Forbes noted that we don't have control over the coal ash. County Attorney Forbes stated that he came to us to inform us that Waste Management was going to apply for the permit to be able to take it but it would be unlikely that we would get approved. County Attorney Forbes added that there were several places that were competitively trying to get it. County Attorney Forbes stated that the contract allows them to take in waste so long as it is categorized properly and the state has taken care of categorizing Duke's stuff properly. Commissioner Sikes asked if it is a danger where it's at why is it not a danger here with County Attorney Forbes saying the argument is where it was it was just sitting on the ground leaching into fields and here they say it will be in a protected liner that would not be leaching into the water. Commissioner Sikes felt that after so many years the liner would leak. Sheriff Reid asked if board members would like for everyone to be checked out at the door with a metal detector with board members feeling just having a deputy or two would suffice.

Plans for Updating the County Website: To be discussed at retreat.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom shared that Pee Dee WALL would be at the next meeting. Chairman Baucom mentioned Project Blue, saying she's never seen so much put on the table for a business to come here. Chairman Baucom stated that the partnership organized the meeting and are doing a great job for us. Chairman Baucom stated that we need to send him a letter tomorrow about the \$30,000. Commissioner Woodburn asked if he could amend his motion on this to amend to include over a three year period. Chairman Baucom felt that could be done. Commissioner Woodburn then amended his motion made earlier to include over a three year period. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom stated that she heard that some people were very upset that the board approved \$100,000 for the wayfinding project saying that she pointed out that we only approved the concept. Vice Chair Streater stated that it was free with Chairman Baucom thinking that if we had one of those signs that pointed to the Government Center that we would need to pay some on that and maybe one to the park would be a good investment.

Consent Agenda: The clerk reminded board members to include the releases given them prior to the meeting. Commissioner Woodburn asked if we sent notices and they came back and we just can't get up with them. Ms. James said they've checked and the person is no longer there and we mailed out the tax bills and they were returned and have been returned for all those years. Ms. James said they are just cleaning up the file.

Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve the consent agenda with the releases submitted tonight. Motion carried unanimously.

Minutes: approved minutes dated February 2, 2016.

Tax Releases:

Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments						
02/02/2016 for January Month End						
20805	Alltel		54.57	15-5-301	Real Taxes	Releases Fence/Storage Reported as Utility Billing
20802	Howell Robert L		442.79	15-1-8419	Real Taxes	Releases 2015 Exemption Not Calculated
20803	Little Burnette		65.72	14-2-10762	Real Taxes	Releases Land coded in Error
20804	Little Burnette		60.89	15-2-10726	Real Taxes	Releases Land coded in Error
			\$ 623.97			
			\$ -			
			623.97	*****		
Tax & Tag Together Refunds						
27819034	Buchannon Bianca		33.01	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
190013	Bullard Rebecca		72.30	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
19208899	Carpenter Clarence F Jr		14.90	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
28472373	Dirt 2 Dirt Inc		19.73	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
9077253	Evans Warren		25.56	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
14085819	Goodwin Jerry		1.49	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
24989363	Jones Deborah		3.41	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
20635929	Lassiter Donald		3.76	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
20196091	Little Lorenza		22.33	Situs	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
29371526	Mims Robert L		52.34	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
299731472	Nance Rebecca		8.27	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
9123357	Roberson Noyce		125.17	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
9324741	Schafer Elizabeth		15.57	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
206643	Teal Bernell		30.23	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
206781	Teal Bernell		31.64	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
20236149	Thomas Brandon		1.95	Situs	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
20355618	Warwick Rebecca		180.05	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
23946679	Warwick Rebecca		69.23	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund
			\$ 710.94	*****		

Tax Collector's Report:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2015-2016 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2015

January 31, 2016

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan
Year 2015	-	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07	2,012,016.84	8,868,948.85	248,408.65
Year 2014	202,181.68	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62
Year 2013	179,074.87	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73
Year 2012	165,789.97	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54
Year 2011	207,718.61	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53
Year 2010	132,015.62	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81
Year 2009	149,915.40	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List								
Year 2015	-	82,896.07	1,133,740.35	1,058,796.26	600,879.32	1,608,294.62	7,306,535.25	194,347.99
Year 2014	137,350.88	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45
Year 2013	121,642.85	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70
Year 2012	113,267.76	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82
Year 2011	121,655.06	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59
Year 2010	95,416.97	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32
Year 2009	112,505.37	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25
Current Year (2015) Ad Valorem Collections %								
Year 2015		N/A	9.95%	19.21%	20.23%	32.28%	87.29%	88.62%
Year 2014	93.87%		13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%
Year 2013	92.87%		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%
Year 2012	94.00%		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%
Year 2011	93.75%		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%
Year 2010	94.09%		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%
Year 2009	93.78%		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%
Year 2008	94.62%		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%
Year 2007	95.25%		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%
Year 2006	95.78%		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%
Current Year (2015) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
Year 2015	-	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90	8,902,579.70	1,673,108.72	1,497,555.15
Year 2014	757,635.69	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61
Year 2013	879,940.86	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41
Year 2012	734,822.47	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10
History of Past Due Mailings								
		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15		02/01/16
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25	4,971	5,195,083.07
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2015	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2015	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	125	53,821.20	123	53,155.45	119	51,907.86	122	54,698.44
Accts with Agreements	29	9,878.32	29	9,875.36	29	9,872.03	28	9,798.33
Accts in Foreclosure	296	142,992.48	287	141,355.37	284	141,113.64	284	141,438.19
Bankruptcies	65	43,691.49	64	43,131.14	50	36,131.00	50	36,238.92
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,459	3,318,053.75	10,397	3,305,130.91	10,231	3,262,867.93	10,188	3,225,321.13
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	14,859	12,806,708.70	12,598	10,833,373.59	5,371	2,078,709.55	5,008	1,898,071.68
**Red = AR Dollars	25,833	16,375,145.94	23,498	14,386,021.82	16,084	5,580,602.01	15,680	5,365,566.69
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	3	1,297.24	13	3,993.05	8	3,177.24	3	1,515.46
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		92,716.93		92,431.10		90,083.48		89,664.66
One Year Ago								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	134	54,573.34	137	56,699.13	137	57,252.93	137	57,448.75
Accts with Agreements	38	10,656.73	38	10,709.67	38	10,762.61	38	10,815.55
Accts in Foreclosure	284	126,131.91	284	126,725.03	280	125,132.62	270	118,877.13
Bankruptcies	86	50,170.30	84	49,523.13	84	49,713.49	84	49,911.91
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,332	3,154,562.37	10,219	3,138,674.40	10,044	3,119,842.57	9,959	3,052,338.73
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	14,759	11,760,366.24	12,633	10,116,105.89	5,727	2,274,382.40	5,144	2,002,416.23
**Red = AR Dollars	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,498,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62	15,632	5,291,808.30
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	-	-	1	205.82	6	2,999.11	11	7,207.67
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		177,932.25		178,176.06		175,691.44		176,106.96

**Red = AR Dollars	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,498,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62	15,632	5,291,808.30
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	-	-	1	205.82	6	2,999.11	11	7,207.67
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		177,932.25		178,176.06		175,691.44		176,106.96

Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:

Fund Balance Calculation					
		<i>Last Year</i>	<i>Two Months</i>	<i>Last</i>	
	As of 01-31-16	Same Month	Ago	Month	Now
		As of	As of	As of	As of
		01/31/15	11/30/15	12/31/15	01/31/16
Available Fund Balance					
Cash & Investments (General)		\$ 13,055,333	\$ 8,922,629	\$ 13,308,636	\$ 14,972,262
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)		\$ 22,151	\$ 24,661	\$ 24,913	\$ 25,169
Less Cash from General (other funds)		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)		\$ 137,652	\$ 131,614	\$ 117,603	\$ 124,748
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)		\$ (46,235)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)
Less Encumbrances		\$ (219,845)	\$ (229,087)	\$ (184,413)	\$ (200,200)
Total Available		\$ 12,949,056	\$ 8,833,038	\$ 13,249,960	\$ 14,905,200
General Fund Expenditures					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)		\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937
Total Available for Appropriation					
Total Available		\$ 12,949,056	\$ 8,833,038	\$ 13,249,960	\$ 14,905,200
Total Expenditures		\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937	\$ 28,574,937
Total % Available Fund Balance		44.88%	30.91%	46.37%	52.16%
Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC		8%	8%	8%	8%
		\$2,308,200	\$2,285,995	\$2,285,995	\$2,285,995
% Undesignated Fund Balance		36.88%	22.91%	38.37%	44.16%
		\$ 10,640,856	\$ 6,547,043	\$ 10,963,965	\$ 12,619,205

Electronic Transaction Report:

01/31/16

January 2016 Report - Board Meeting of 02/02/2016

Utilities Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	9,257.27	240	22	22
Aug 2015	9,231.86	222	19	21
Sep 2015	11,410.83	258	20	21
Oct 2015	10,214.59	237	22	22
Nov 2015	8,065.55	231	18	18
Dec 2015	9,921.50	248	21	21
Jan 2016	8,596.42	241	17	19
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	66,698.02	1,677		

Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders				
Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2015	34,663.23	1,025	26,761.68	402
Aug 2015	40,673.22	1,034	38,545.29	444
Sep 2015	35,905.69	1,033	91,810.57	449
Oct 2015	35,832.17	1,037	43,604.22	436
Nov 2015	29,806.94	1,032	27,601.78	349
Dec 2015	27,513.45	1,037	67,591.40	458
Jan 2016	26,849.78	1,045	64,206.31	452
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	231,244.48	7,243	360,121.25	2,990

Tax Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	7,592.91	33	8	22
Aug 2015	15,009.86	53	14	21
Sep 2015	4,025.84	19	10	21
Oct 2015	6,062.44	30	10	22
Nov 2015	1,990.57	9	6	18
Dec 2015	23,329.04	60	16	21
Jan 2016	17,846.38	43	11	19
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	75,857.04	247		

Health Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	1,047.96	20	13	22
Aug 2015	1,300.81	28	14	21
Sep 2015	584.55	17	7	21

Appointment: Planning Board – District 4: Commissioner Sims offered the name of Garrett McLendon as the member from District 4. Commissioner Sims added that he has some experience with the Planning Board in Peachland. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to approve the appointment. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Sturdivant noted this was prom season and each year her organization, Faith Based Center of Hope, collects prom dresses and shoes for the less fortunate young ladies that wish to go to the prom but are not financially able. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that Sheriff Reid was on the committee along with Mark Hammonds and Thursday they will take dresses and shoes to the Early College. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that two weeks from now they will take them to the high school and if you know anyone that may have a dress or a pair of shoes they would like to donate have them contact her. Commissioner Sturdivant added that you don't know how good it makes a girl feel to go to the prom all dressed up.

County Manager Garner asked if the board did anything with the amended contract for the audit with the clerk answering no. County Manager Garner asked board members to keep in mind that if they approve it, it is not extending the current fiscal year it basically just wraps up what they completed at the last board meeting. Chairman Baucom asked if they approve it are they locked into them for next year's audit with County Manager Garner answering no. County Attorney Forbes stated that the board would only be approving what they have done. Chairman Baucom asked Ms. James if she was confident it was right with Ms. James answering yes. Ms. James stated that there were some areas she knows needs to be checked out, noting that she was not a CPA like Tiffany. Ms. James stated that Tiffany comes back next week and they might want her to look at it before March 1 and you could approve it then. Ms. James stated that Tiffany goes over it with a fine tooth comb more so than she does. County Attorney Forbes stated that he would be more prepared to tell how we are bound to the contract. Chairman Baucom stated that they failed to perform and that bothers her.

*Commissioner Sikes let the meeting at this point.

Vice Chair Streater shared that he might have a problem with March 5, the date for the retreat. Chairman Baucom stated that they would revisit the date for the retreat at the March 1st meeting.

Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:
Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time:

