

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, July 7, 2015 beginning at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair
Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
• Harold Smith
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer
Rodney Diggs, Emergency Management
Mike Sessions, Utilities Director

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom called the meeting to **Order**, welcoming those present. Chairman Baucom noted this was their first meeting in July and hopefully their only meeting. Chairman Baucom then read the following **Invocation**: We seek blessings on the task before us. Bless our efforts with clear insight, our deliberations with wisdom, our work with clarity and accuracy and our decisions with impartiality. Amen.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom asked if there were any changes, additions or deletions from the Agenda. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve as submitted. Motion carried unanimously.

Appearances:

James Bradford stated that he attended the budget meeting on June 16 and Chairman Baucom asked the question if anyone had ideas on how to cut budget cost. Mr. Bradford presented a presentation of things he thought should be done to cut cost. Mr. Bradford shared that in Afghanistan he served as a project manager and part of that involved realignment of various equipment and installing power generation equipment in a more efficient way in order to kill consumption cost. Mr. Bradford stated that he was able to quantify a lot of the savings and it spurred an energy efficiency program and it went throughout his company. Mr. Bradford feels the county needs a similar program. Mr. Bradford felt Mecklenburg County was about eleven years ahead of us as far as their environmental policies. Mr. Bradford feels we need to raise

awareness in the county of all the energy we are consuming. Mr. Bradford felt decreasing the consumption of electrical power, water, diesel, gasoline and natural gas would cut budget cost and some initiatives could be taken at low or no cost. Mr. Bradford voiced that he would like to see an energy awareness program throughout the county involving all county employees and working towards involving citizens of the county. Mr. Bradford felt his proposed steps would help to protect the environmental resources of the county and align with the Moratorium on Fracking and show that we have a concern for our environment and protecting our environmental resources. Mr. Bradford felt we needed to revise the county maintenance plan to include low to no cost solutions. Mr. Bradford felt we should evaluate our purchasing policy to include environmental conscious factors. Mr. Bradford felt we should work to provide incentives for county employees and citizens to propose energy efficient/cost savings ideas. Mr. Bradford stated that in 2004 Mecklenburg County adopted an Environmental Leadership policy and in 2007 they adopted an Environmental Management Plan. Mr. Bradford shared that from 2007 to 2009 they experienced a 12% decrease of electrical power consumption and therefore cutting \$200,000 from their budget cost. Mr. Bradford noted some things he has seen going through county buildings is personal space heaters, microwaves, coffeepots, refrigerators and other personal items plugged in at almost every desk. Mr. Bradford noted this was a heavy load and felt these items should be limited to common areas. Mr. Bradford stated that New Hanover County established policy in 2014 dealing specifically with this and it is outlined on his website. Mr. Bradford favors replacing fluorescent and incandescent lighting with LED lighting and there is almost no heat emitted from that lighting. Mr. Bradford favors use of low-flow showerheads, metered faucets and installing aerators in faucets to achieve lower flow rates. Mr. Bradford shared that he talked with Mike Napier, Maintenance Director for the school system, and found they adjusted the thermostats in the schools to 74 degrees and they've also shortened teacher work days to four days and cut the air conditioning off during the break. Mr. Bradford voiced that he would like to see this throughout the county. Mr. Bradford stated that in his personal life he tries to cut cost wherever he can and anything he thinks will apply to the county will be posted on his website. Mr. Bradford would like to see the county take on the initiative of an environmental sustainability policy and overall improving the county. Chairman Baucom thanked Mr. Bradford for his interest and presentation. Commissioner Sturdivant asked Mr. Bradford where he said the teacher workday was four days a week with Mr. Bradford answering Anson County. Chairman Baucom noted that was their summer schedule.

Chuck Horne: To be included in closed session.

Public Addresses to the Board:

Truett Wright: Mr. Wright shared a handout with board members prior to his talk. Mr. Wright stated that he was back to see if the board had discussed his problem and what solution they had found to what he believes to be harassment and personal vendetta from the tax office. Chairman Baucom called on Larry Newton to speak to this

issue. Mr. Newton stated that they reviewed the files associated with Mr. Wright and made some adjustments. Mr. Newton stated that it involved the splitting of his Mother's estate and some adjustments were made in the farm values of the property. Mr. Newton stated that they had issues with income being sufficient to keep it in the farm program and he feels like they have worked to have Mr. Wright on a path that rectifies the problems they had. Mr. Newton was hopeful the issues were settled and he doesn't know of any other adjustments to be made. Mr. Newton noted the issues in the value per acre and the appraisal issues here are dictated by the state and the way they appraise property. Mr. Newton added that it meets those standards. Mr. Newton shared that they have adjusted what they can and they are abiding by the appraisal standards given them by the state. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Wright what else needs to be done. Mr. Wright stated that last month the County Attorney said he needed to make a complaint and he has made his complaint and everyone has it in writing. Mr. Wright noted the issues started in 2009 and presented a copy to Mr. Newton. Mr. Wright was of the impression that Mr. Newton was not aware of the underlying problem and feels if he reads his handout it will help. Mr. Wright stated that in his area one person has 1.2 acres of land and for the .2 acres of land he is charged \$2500 per tenth and one-half mile away a person has .3 of an acre along with his house sight and he is charged \$1200 - \$1500. Mr. Wright stated that there seems to be a big variation in land prices, taxes and everything else and feels someone is not paying enough or someone is paying too much. Mr. Wright feels if we had a more uniform tax structure we would not have any problem with budgets. Mr. Wright noted that he may have to pay more taxes and that is fine but at the same token when the neighbor has twelve acres and he has eleven acres and his land value is \$1,000 more per acre that doesn't make sense. Mr. Wright felt it would be a big help to the budget if everyone paid a fair share of what they own. Mr. Wright felt this would help get Anson County started in the right direction. Mr. Wright feels we need all the help we can get and we're going backwards. Mr. Wright feels we are 20 years behind Mecklenburg and Union counties. Mr. Wright stated that there doesn't seem to be a solution to the problem. Mr. Wright feels everyone needs to do a little homework at night and get on the computer and do something to get our county going. Mr. Wright feels there should be standing room only at board meetings with people that are concerned about the county but nobody cares. Mr. Wright feels we are going in a hole every day and he would like to see that changed. Mr. Wright thanked board members for their time with Chairman Baucom thanking him for his presentation.

Closed Session: Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to go into closed session for Economic Development pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4).

In regular session, **Administrative Matters** was the next item for discussion.

Landfill Concerns: Mr. Sessions was here to address this issue. Chairman Baucom noted there had been questions and concerns lately regarding the landfill and

those hired by the county to observe what is placed in the landfill. Chairman Baucom then asked the duties of those hired to keep a check on the landfill. Mr. Sessions stated that they watch for hot loads and anything unusual. Mr. Sessions noted that the landfill does the same thing. Chairman Baucom asked for an explanation of hot loads with Mr. Sessions saying the load might have smoke coming out of it or something on fire. Mr. Sessions added that everything they look for the landfill is looking for it too. Mr. Sessions noted that if they saw tires coming in on a truck that was trying to be dumped in the landfill they would report that but the landfill would catch that as well. Commissioner Woodburn asked how we determine what is hazardous and non-hazardous with Mr. Sessions saying they can't take hazardous material. Commissioner Woodburn was aware of that and asked if there was anything in place for this with Mr. Sessions saying that would be with the landfill and not us as we have no control over that. Mr. Sessions shared that they would have a manifest to know where it came from but we would not. Commissioner Woodburn asked what would happen if a driver pulling up and they find something wrong with Mr. Sessions saying the landfill would send it away. Mr. Sessions was of the understanding they have done this before. Commissioner Woodburn asked if we would have any way of knowing that with Mr. Sessions thinking they would tell if asked but we don't get information like this. Mr. Sessions explained that the landfill has their own checks and balances and when they build a new cell they have their engineers and we have ours there to oversee and the state has to sign off on it. Commissioner Woodburn wondered if we had any way of knowing what is generated and what is directed there regardless of whether it comes from outside the county or inside the county. Mr. Sessions knows the state checked them back in the winter and everything was fine. Chairman Baucom asked how often the state checks with Mr. Sessions answering once or twice a year. Chairman Baucom asked if he got a report with Mr. Sessions answering no but he feels sure we could get one if we asked for it. Commissioner Sikes asked about the landfill that is closed and asked if anyone was keeping an eye on it. Mr. Sessions stated that he, along with SCS Engineers monitor the old landfill and we have about two more years to monitor the landfill in Lilesville. Commissioner Sikes stated that he was talking about the landfill in Polkton with Mr. Sessions saying that was just a cell that was covered up and anything they move from one cell to the other is under Waste Connections. Commissioner Sikes asked about the closed cells and if anyone was keeping an eye on them to make sure they are not washing away with Mr. Sessions saying Waste Connections was keeping an eye on them. Mr. Sessions noted the state will not allow the cells to wash away. Commissioner Sikes stated that he has pictures showing terrible washes. Mr. Sessions asked the date of the pictures with Commissioner Sikes saying some of them were in 2007. Vice Chair Streater asked if they plant grass on the cells once closed with Mr. Sessions answering yes. Mr. Sessions added that from everything he can find out this is a clean landfill compared to others.

Fire District Maps: Mr. Diggs reported that they finally got the final maps done today and the guy he needs to send them to in Raleigh is on vacation this week. Mr. Diggs reported that the maps would be mailed to Raleigh next week. Chairman

Baucom noted they were done with Mr. Diggs saying the rezoning districting that was done earlier was complete. Chairman Baucom asked if the entire county was covered with Mr. Diggs answering that the county was always covered. Mr. Diggs noted that all land in Anson County was in a fire district but there will be homes outside the five mile-six mile district and unless someone builds a fire department there is nothing that can be done.

Voting Delegate for NCACC: Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Sims, to nominate Commissioner Woodburn. Chairman Baucom stated that she spoke with Commissioner Woodburn earlier and he said he would accept it. Motion carried unanimously.

Contract Renewal – County Attorney: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to renew from December 2014 to December 2015. Commissioner Sims felt the board should also say thank you as he has done a wonderful job. Motion carried unanimously.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom shared that she received an email from Michelle Brock with SPCC and they have some land in Polkton that might be suitable for the new ACTS building. Chairman Baucom doesn't know where we are in the process and asked if it had been dropped with the Clerk saying it was back in the hands of DOT for their study. Chairman Baucom asked board members if they would like her to go look at it with Chairman Baucom thinking we own it. Commissioner Woodburn noted it belongs to SPCC and he understands when you turn off 74 to go to the school the land is located in the corner on the right side. Commissioner Sikes asked if we moved it to Polkton would it take more gas to serve the people. Commissioner Woodburn thinks it is about six acres. Chairman Baucom stated that she would take a look at it, take some pictures and bring a report. Commissioner Sims voiced that again we were getting on the highway with valuable land. Chairman Baucom stated that there was an ambulance park in Ansonville and asked Rodney what he knew about it. Mr. Diggs asked if she was talking about the fire department's ambulance with Chairman Baucom saying she did not know. Chairman Baucom shared that the person who called her said a child fell out of a tree in Ansonville and there was no driver for that ambulance unit so one had to come from Wadesboro. Chairman Baucom asked why there was an ambulance located there with Commissioner Sikes saying there was supposed to be one located there but it was out of service right now. Commissioner Sikes felt this was something we needed to look into. Mr. Diggs remembered the call saying the child fell out of a tree right after school got out and he doesn't think Ansonville's ambulance responded. Mr. Diggs thinks an EMS truck was Wadesboro responded. Mr. Diggs stated that the child was critical and they needed both people in the back of the truck so they had to wait for someone to come drive. Mr. Diggs explained that there were two people on the ambulance but the child was critical and they needed two sets of hands in the back. Commissioner Sikes stated that he and others from Ansonville were there but no one said they needed a driver. Mr. Diggs stated that a lot of people were

there and they did not have to wait on a driver they just needed extra paramedics in the back to work with the child. County Attorney Forbes asked if they worked on the child rather than having someone drive the ambulance and not be able to work on the child with Mr. Diggs answering yes. Chairman Baucom asked if the ambulance in Ansonville belonged to the county with Commissioner Sikes answering it belongs to Ansonville but the county helps support it. County Attorney Forbes added that his father-in-law succumbed to the heat and they were afraid it was a stroke or heart attack about two weeks ago and his in-laws were very complimentary of the ambulance service in Polkton and how quickly they responded, how professional they were and how they got him to the hospital very quickly. Commissioner Sims stated that they were getting a lot of compliments on the station in Polkton. Commissioner Sikes noted they run a lot of calls there with Chairman Baucom saying our population center has shifted to the west. Chairman Baucom stated that June was a busy month with a lot of meetings. Chairman Baucom reported that she had a good trip to New York City and there is a lot of good stuff up there. Chairman Baucom stated that they arrived about 2:30 one morning on Amtrak and she saw what she thinks was a dead lady, homeless people sleeping on the floor in Penn Station and they went outside and there was a beautiful young woman high on drugs. Chairman Baucom shared that they saw some amazing sites but New York is not Anson County.

Consent Agenda: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve the consent agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

Minutes: Board approved meeting minutes dated May 21, 2015, May 27, 2015, June 2, 2015, June 8, 2015 and June 11, 2015 and closed session minutes dated May 21, 2015, June 2, 2015, June 8, 2015 and June 11, 2015.

Tax Releases:

Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments

7/7/2015 for June Month End							
20742-20750	Crowder John W	2006 thru 2014	642.57	Various	Real Taxes	Releases	Release per LN 01 Account
20741	Polkton Fire Dept	2014	176.46	14-4-12842	Real Taxes	Releases	Exempt
			\$ 819.03				
20740	Cantu, Barbara	2014	151.72	14-4-2506	Real Taxes	Refund	2004 Boat not removed from listing
20751-20755	Diane's Hair Care	2010 thru 2014	126.65	Various	Real Taxes	Refund	Double Billing
			\$ 278.37				
			1,097.40	*****			
Tax & Tag Together Refunds							
8426700	Burr, David A Jr		3.97	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
22765756	Carroll Hill, Stephanie		37.27	Vehicle Sold	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14093430	Crump, Anita Belinda		16.43	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15147062	Eddins, Chris Lee		4.98	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15408641	Gathings, Faye K		41.98	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19204459	Griggs, Danny J		2.67	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19205219	Griggs, Danny J		52.23	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14090920	Griggs, Danny J		35.01	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
201340	Helms, Donald W		69.27	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15071373	Henry, Joyce M		86.79	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14087996	Huntley Oil & Gas Co		25.70	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
200937	James, Nathan B		39.90	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19668844	Jarman, Emily		117.18	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
8649393	Lindsey, Pandora B		7.50	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
195799	McCormick, Joseph		5.20	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19204846	McManus, Sandra W		19.38	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15102640	Mims, Maranda C		34.64	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15281696	Phifer, Dennis B		163.53	Situs Error	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14089749	Rivers, Ashley E		50.44	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14086516	Rowell, Kenneth Lee		48.80	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
15488137	Teal, Micah K		30.99	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14088538	Timmons, Diane L		3.05	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19207529	Worsham, Sandra		11.26	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
207445	Worsham, Sandra		1.22	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
9173089	Worsham, Sandra		7.97	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
14089342	Wright, Leslie Hough		95.22	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
			\$ 1,012.58	*****			

Tax Collector's Report & Charge to Collect:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014

June 30, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68
Year 2013	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97
Year 2011	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62
Year 2009	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40
Year 2008	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01	145,103.87	144,764.28
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List								
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88
Year 2013	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2012	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2011	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2010	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97
Year 2009	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37
Year 2008	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46	107,874.15	104,520.34
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %								
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%
Year 2013	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%
Year 2009	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%
Year 2006	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%
Year 2005	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%	95.85%	96.43%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69
Year 2013	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86
Year 2012	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,437.51	734,822.47
Year 2011	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12	824,977.42	757,956.67
History of Past Due Mailings								
	10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Year 2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	Mar 2015		Apr 2015		May 2015		Jun 2015	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	133	57,433.93	133	57,568.43	126	57,435.54	156	66,626.81
Accts with Agreements	38	10,921.43	38	10,974.37	33	10,339.98	31	10,020.48
Accts in Foreclosure	269	119,735.52	349	171,475.46	345	159,674.03	336	156,519.15
Bankruptcies	80	48,140.94	80	48,121.93	79	47,486.15	82	51,541.69
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,688	2,961,358.28	9,513	2,870,652.38	8,945	2,692,137.70	8,056	2,606,297.61
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	3,950	1,504,270.24	3,682	1,371,366.41	3,096	1,125,888.30	2,779	1,067,099.20
**Red = AR Dollars	14,158	4,701,860.34	13,795	4,530,158.98	12,624	4,092,961.70	11,440	3,958,104.94
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	3	497.60	4	988.32	9	15,785.25	11	5,031.79
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	11,015.11
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	174,483.46	-	174,014.33	-	172,385.23	-	171,741.36
One Year Ago								
	Mar 2014		Apr 2014		May 2014		Jun 2014	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	161	64,624.53	161	63,375.03	159	62,894.79	158	62,482.20
Accts with Agreements	42	10,894.38	42	10,949.68	42	11,004.98	42	11,060.28
Accts in Foreclosure	323	140,593.02	322	140,536.90	321	138,604.42	308	133,202.56
Bankruptcies	106	63,856.03	105	63,736.58	105	63,720.90	104	62,839.62
Remaining Accts Collectible	7,514	2,335,487.28	7,462	2,310,627.55	7,392	2,280,383.82	7,323	2,253,638.45
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	3,921	1,463,664.46	3,731	1,356,392.34	3,568	1,289,229.08	3,364	1,245,198.80
**Red = AR Dollars	12,067	4,079,119.70	11,823	3,945,618.08	11,587	3,845,837.99	11,299	3,768,421.91
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	100.00	2	1,469.72	2	6,328.67	14	6,643.23
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	247,325.02	-	247,684.16	-	248,117.33	-	248,318.80

**Red = AR Dollars	12,067	4,079,119.70	11,823	3,945,618.08	11,587	3,845,837.99	11,299	3,768,421.91
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	100.00	2	1,469.72	2	6,328.67	14	6,643.23
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	247,325.02	-	247,684.16	-	248,117.33	-	248,318.80

Charge to Collect:

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY OF ANSON

To the Tax Collector of the County of Anson:

You are hereby authorized, empowered and commanded to collect the taxes set forth in the tax records filed in the office of the Assessor and in the tax receipts herewith delivered to you, in the amounts and from the taxpayers likewise therein set forth. Such taxes are hereby declared to be a first lien upon all real property of the respective taxpayers in the County of Anson, and this order shall be a full and sufficient authority to direct, require and enable you to levy on and sell any real or personal property of such taxpayers, for and on account thereof, in accordance with law

Tax Settlement:

2014 PROPERTY TAX SETTLEMENT									
FY 2014-2015									
June 30, 2015	2014 Collections Only			2014 %		2013	2012	2011	2010
	Levy	Collections	Receivables	Percentages	Inc(Dec)	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
ANSON COUNTY	12,345,652.36	11,588,016.67	757,635.69	93.86%	0.99%	92.87%	94.00%	93.75%	94.09%
Ansonville Fire District	68,536.05	64,353.55	4,182.50	93.90%	1.45%	92.45%	93.96%	93.33%	92.88%
Burnsville Fire District	68,445.38	63,860.36	4,585.02	93.30%	1.00%	92.30%	93.13%	93.25%	93.78%
Gulledge Fire District	56,515.41	51,231.92	5,283.49	90.65%	-0.06%	90.71%	91.45%	92.09%	92.12%
Lanesboro Fire District	94,459.59	88,389.36	6,070.23	93.57%	1.53%	92.04%	94.39%	92.01%	92.29%
Lilesville Fire District	154,633.54	146,636.40	7,997.14	94.83%	0.62%	94.21%	95.12%	95.10%	95.73%
Morven Fire District	65,138.65	58,647.23	6,491.42	90.03%	2.18%	87.85%	89.64%	89.03%	90.81%
Wadesboro Fire District	170,280.97	161,287.97	8,993.00	94.72%	2.04%	92.68%	93.93%	93.70%	94.40%
Totals:	13,023,661.95	12,222,423.46	801,238.49						
Current Year (2014) Receivables:			801,238.49		928,807.55	<i>Same information provided to compare to 2013 Tax Settlen</i>			
Prior Year (2004-2013) Receivables:			1,760,580.84		1,591,123.14				
A/L & Deferred (2015) Receivables:			19,581.35		18,712.78				
Total County Taxes, Late, & Fire Districts:			2,581,400.68		2,538,643.47				

**2014 MUNICIPAL PROPERTY TAX SETTLEMENT
FY 2014-2015**

June 30, 2015	2014					2013	2012	2011	2010
Municipal	Levy	Collections	Receivables	Percentages	% Inc(Dec)	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
Ansonville	109,281.90	91,816.73	17,465.17	84.02%	-0.31%	84.33%	83.94%	83.55%	84.91%
McFarlan	8,393.01	7,936.26	456.75	94.56%	2.42%	92.14%	91.47%	87.05%	93.48%
Morven	59,681.09	46,230.35	13,450.74	77.46%	-1.86%	79.32%	82.07%	83.99%	82.08%
Peachland	46,595.37	43,135.50	3,459.87	92.57%	1.30%	91.27%	93.24%	92.98%	93.06%
Polkton	95,031.97	84,810.67	10,221.30	89.24%	3.11%	86.13%	89.62%	91.83%	87.42%
Wadesboro	1,595,368.53	1,504,884.48	90,484.05	94.33%	1.18%	93.15%	93.85%	93.86%	94.14%
	1,914,351.87	1,778,813.99	135,537.88						

Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:

Fund Balance Calculation					
	Last Year	Two Months	Last		
As of 06-30-15	Same Month	Ago	Month	Now	
	As of	As of	As of	As of	
	06/30/14	04/30/15	05/31/15	06/30/15	
Available Fund Balance					
Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 9,111,641	\$ 10,923,209	\$ 10,351,380	\$ 10,019,219	
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 20,394	\$ 22,903	\$ 23,153	\$ 23,404	
Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ (38,006)	\$ 118,832	\$ 111,540	\$ (73,138)	
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	
Less Encumbrances	\$ (160,608)	\$ (219,271)	\$ (152,570)	\$ (125,252)	
Total Available	\$ 8,887,186	\$ 10,828,893	\$ 10,316,724	\$ 9,827,454	
General Fund Expenditures					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total Available for Appropriation					
Total Available	\$ 8,887,186	\$ 10,828,893	\$ 10,316,724	\$ 9,827,454	
Total Expenditures	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total % Available Fund Balance	31.99%	37.53%	35.76%	34.06%	
Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC	8%	8%	8%	8%	
	\$2,222,645	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	
% Undesignated Fund Balance	23.99%	29.53%	27.76%	26.06%	
	\$ 6,664,541	\$ 8,520,693	\$ 8,008,524	\$ 7,519,254	

Centralina Workforce Development Consortium – Articles of Association for 2015-2019: A copy is on file in the Clerk's office and by reference is hereby made a part of these minutes.

Electronic Transaction Report:

06/30/15

Utilities Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	5,054.02	145	21	22
Aug 2014	5,792.83	157	20	21
Sep 2014	7,574.87	167	20	21
Oct 2014	6,227.45	172	22	23
Nov 2014	5,493.81	167	16	17
Dec 2014	5,506.92	161	18	20
Jan 2015	7,855.71	203	19	20
Feb 2015	9,041.36	224	19	19
Mar 2015	8,865.09	243	22	22
Apr 2015	8,450.56	225	21	21
May 2015	8,241.29	216	19	20
Jun 2015	12,406.38	260	22	22
	90,510.29	2,340		

Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2014	29,374.72	989	35,307.02	501
Aug 2014	33,266.04	989	27,138.31	418
Sep 2014	32,521.26	988	43,811.45	384
Oct 2014	35,568.86	987	22,899.14	420
Nov 2014	26,244.60	991	23,917.04	394
Dec 2014	27,093.97	994	20,627.00	396
Jan 2015	30,099.77	1,001	45,731.56	486
Feb 2015	25,376.37	1,004	56,035.01	326
Mar 2015	26,380.98	1,008	19,679.11	340
Apr 2015	26,734.21	1,007	21,204.43	378
May 2015	28,152.41	1,018	25,807.19	417
Jun 2015	28,552.59	1,025	49,680.95	391
	349,365.78	12,001	391,838.21	4,851

Tax Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	2,598.77	20	9	22
Aug 2014	12,300.46	31	11	21
Sep 2014	3,746.65	14	9	21
Oct 2014	3,516.51	9	8	23
Nov 2014	4,104.99	13	9	17
Dec 2014	12,694.44	36	13	20
Jan 2015	5,534.54	18	7	20
Feb 2015	9,522.75	37	12	19
Mar 2015	14,710.80	45	11	22
Apr 2015	5,386.75	23	9	21
May 2015	15,673.84	58	15	20
Jun 2015	14,624.71	71	13	22
	104,415.21	375		

Health Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	1,314.91	24	13	22
Aug 2014	693.20	26	14	21
Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21

Health Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	1,314.91	24	13	22
Aug 2014	693.20	26	14	21
Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21
Oct 2014	847.08	18	11	23
Nov 2014	1,361.98	31	14	17
Dec 2014	1,423.62	21	13	20
Jan 2015	1,197.25	17	12	20
Feb 2015	466.26	12	8	19
Mar 2015	1,154.74	26	13	22
Apr 2015	918.80	16	10	21
May 2015	716.51	19	12	20
Jun 2015	1,026.10	21	14	22
	12,103.14	257		

Appointments:

Anson County Planning Board: Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to approve the reappointment of Mrs. Debra Hightower from District 6. Motion carried unanimously.

Sandhill Regional Library: Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to reappoint Leon Gatewood, Marilyn Allison and Johnie Pettiford as Trustees. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Concerns:

Commissioner Woodburn mentioned a concern from the health department. Commissioner Woodburn stated that things were trucking along well but one of the things mentioned at the last board of health meeting was the county website. Commissioner Woodburn noted there were a couple of different ways to get there and most people when they go to the county website they see the old site for the health department and felt we needed to do away with this one as the new one is much better. Mr. Gulledge explained that when that was done it was decided not to buy a domain name and to stand separately so you go to the county webpage and click on the department to get there. Mr. Gulledge stated that we had to keep the old website up for the finance pages to work but if you do a search sometimes it comes across the old page from the outside world but they changed the name to wwwold and most people don't know where it is. Mr. Gulledge stated that if we buy a domain name for the outside that will take care of it. Mr. Gulledge added the cost was about \$3 a year. Chairman Baucom asked if we had \$3 a year in the budget with Ms. James answering yes. Commissioner Woodburn feels this would be very beneficial to continue this. Chairman Baucom agreed and asked that it be done.

Chairman Baucom asked for a short break before going into closed session.

*Commissioner Smith left the meeting during the break.

After the break, motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to go into closed session for Personnel pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) and Economic Development pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4). Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, Commissioner Sturdivant asked board members if it would be alright with everyone if she calls Premiere Fibers in Ansonville to arrange a tour of the facility for board members. All agreed. Commissioner Woodburn stated that Premiere was basically the same as Hornwood it is just that Hornwood is always in the spotlight but you never hear anything about Premiere Fibers. Commissioner Sikes stated that they did one expansion about a year ago and now they are doing another. County Attorney Forbes commented that they make some fine socks.

Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to adjourn. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 2 hr. 25 min.