

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, June 2, 2015 beginning at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair
Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer
Jeff Waisner, Parks & Rec.
Rodney Diggs, Emergency Management

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom called the meeting to **Order**, welcoming those present. Pastor Tim Adams, Harvest Ministries, delivered the **Invocation**.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom noted that Mr. Mehaffey would not be here this evening. Commissioner Sturdivant asked to add an item for closed session. Commissioner Sikes asked to add a discussion of the Solid Waste Management Ordinance. Commissioner Sims commented that he might have something later on. Vice Chair Streater asked to add a report on his visit to the hospital kitchen. Chairman Baucom placed this under Commissioner Concerns. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if she could make a report after the Chairman's Report with Chairman Baucom answering yes. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the Agenda with the additions and deletion. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Addresses to the Board:

Truett Wright asked board members if they had discussed any of the problems he talked with them about last month. Mr. Wright stated that every time he goes to the tax department and ask questions he gets a different answer. Mr. Wright voiced a concern that they never quote state law or rules and regulations in their answers. Mr. Wright added that they give smart snappy answers. Mr. Wright suggested board members visit Union County tax department to see the difference. Mr. Wright stated that they were friendly, helpful and every answer they give is a quote from state laws

and regulations governing taxes. County Attorney Forbes asked Mr. Wright if he had an issue with his tax bill and he went or was going through the appeals process. Mr. Wright explained that his problem was the fact that part of his farm was taken out of the farm program and he finally got that resolved. Mr. Wright shared that he talked with Joe Dutton to see if the property had been removed from delinquent taxes and he said it was highlighted to be removed but it had not been removed. Mr. Wright shared that one of the tax office employees took it upon herself to direct a fellow employee to remove his property without any notification or questions. Mr. Wright feels they take it upon themselves to do as they see fit. County Attorney Forbes asked if his complaint was that he was not getting the tax bill with Mr. Wright answering that he pays his tax bill. Mr. Wright noted that when they took it out of the farm program they said he owned \$2700 in deferred taxes but the farm produced income. County Attorney Forbes asked Mr. Wright if he filed an appeal and it was put back. Mr. Wright stated that he did not have to show he produced income rather he had to show he produced bales of hay. County Attorney Forbes asked if he was alleging a mistake on their part with Mr. Wright feeling it was not a mistake. County Attorney Forbes asked if he felt they did this on purpose with Mr. Wright saying yes. County Attorney Forbes asked if he filed a complaint with Mr. Wright saying how can you file a complaint when they give you no answers. Vice Chair Streater felt Mr. Wright was here because they were rude to him in the tax office adding that it was not only the tax office but other offices in county government are rude to people. Vice Chair Streater and Commissioner Sturdivant felt this was a problem the board needed to address. County Attorney Forbes asked if his property was put back in the farm deferment program with Mr. Wright answering yes after about two or three months of harassment. County Attorney Forbes asked if he harassed them with Mr. Wright saying they harassed him. Mr. Wright stated that when he asked them why it was removed their statement was he had horses on the property. Chairman Baucom asked if this was not a farm deal with Mr. Wright saying that according to the state equine is not considered livestock. Mr. Wright stated that he told them it was not the horses producing income it was the sale of hay. County Attorney Forbes stated that it appears from his research that they viewed his land as they are supposed to do and assessed that it had horses on it and horses are outside the farm deferment program. County Attorney Forbes added that he also had hay on there and they saw it as horse producing and he has the burden as the tax owner to produce documentation that he produces so much hay. County Attorney Forbes added that once he gave that to him there was an appeals process and it took a couple of months. Mr. Wright said it took four and one-half months. County Attorney Forbes said that they reassessed his property and retroactively reduced his taxes down to the proper amount. Mr. Wright noted the question was not the property tax but why his plan was taken out of the farm program with any notification or asking him to verify income. County Attorney Forbes asked Mr. Wright if they assessed the land without notifying him and that is what he did not like with Mr. Wright answering yes. County Attorney Forbes stated that he was not sure of the procedure on the notification process. Chairman Baucom stated that they would find out and this matter would be discussed later in closed session. Commissioner Sturdivant asked Mr. Wright which employee

gave him the snappy answers with Mr. Wright saying the ones that work in there. County Attorney Forbes injected that the board charged him with finding this out and he did find this out and now he was back asking another issue. County Attorney Forbes thought the issue was he was being overcharged by the amount of money and they retroactively changed the amount he owed. County Attorney Forbes noted that it now seems the issue is why was it taken out in the first place. Mr. Wright stated that had it not been removed they would not be listening to him. Again Mr. Wright said it was taken out on one person's say so and no contact was made about what was going on or anything. County Attorney Forbes stated that he was not sure of the process on this but he could find out. Chairman Baucom stated that her concern at this point was the snappy responses to a taxpayer. Chairman Baucom asked Mr. Wright if his property was subdivided with Mr. Wright answering yes. Chairman Baucom was of the understanding that at that point the classification changed and it was divided into smaller parcels and the tax value changed. Chairman Baucom voiced that they hear Mr. Wright and they would discuss this later in the meeting. Mr. Wright stated that he would be back next month to hear their answers and to see if anything can be changed. County Attorney Forbes asked Mr. Wright if he lodged a complaint with the department at the time with Mr. Wright asking who would he lodge it with. County Attorney Forbes answered anybody that would listen to him. Mr. Wright felt they were not handling the job and if you go from one side of the hall to the other you will get two different answers.

Administrative Matters:

Revision of Policy for Sick Leave Transfer: Ms. Randall stated that the current transfer of sick leave policy as listed in the Personnel Ordinance was on page 5 in the agenda. Ms. Randall stated that they were recommending it be revised as shown on the bottom of page 5. Ms. Randall shared that currently we accept an unlimited number of hours from another governmental entity when an employee comes to work here. Ms. Randall shared that this issue has been discussed between herself, Ms. James, Ms. Huntley and she thinks it has been mentioned to Chairman Baucom. Ms. Randall stated that they recommend we limit the number of hours to 240 rather than an unlimited number. Vice Chair Streater asked how other places treat this with Ms. James answering some limit it, some will not accept it at all and some accept a certain number of hours after three years. Vice Chair Streater asked why would we want to limit thinking these hours are used toward early retirement with Ms. James answering it sets the county up for liability in case that person becomes very sick and is out for long periods of time. Commissioner Sims voiced that the school board had a limit of 30 days on sick leave. Vice Chair Streater commented that if he was sick he would need these hours. Commissioner Woodburn voiced that this was different and not what the policy is addressing. Ms. Randall explained that we would limit it to 240 hours because that sick leave was earned somewhere else and technically your previous employer's responsibility. County Attorney Forbes added that you would be asking the county to pay for something that was earned somewhere else. Commissioner Woodburn voiced that he could see the reasoning behind the change. Vice Chair Streater stated that we

would be paying them for time they earned some other place with Mr. Randall answering correct. Chairman Baucom noted it was sick leave earned from another North Carolina governmental agency. Chairman Baucom thought in Section B they were saying that after one year of employment all of their hours would be added with Ms. Randall explaining it would just be the 240 hours and it would be added after they have been here for a year. Vice Chair Streater asked what happens if that other governmental agency paid them for their sick time with Ms. Randall saying that generally you are not paid for sick leave. Ms. Randall noted that if you retire from a previous entity your sick leave can be used to increase your benefit. Chairman Baucom stated that unused sick leave is added on to your years of service therefore we would be paying more retirement to them based on hours earned at another agency. Ms. Randall shared that their benefit would be increased but not necessarily what the county pays. Vice Chair Streater asked what if they earned it all here with Mr. Randall saying you would get an increased number of years of service when you retire. Commissioner Woodburn stated that earning it all here was not a problem it was those transferring in that the county is exposed to. Vice Chair Streater gave an example of Sheriff Reid who retired with 20 years with the highway patrol and asked if could bring that with Ms. James noting that was the reason for the addition of e at the bottom. Ms. Randall stated if he had sick leave hours and those hours were used to increase his service credit then we would not accept them because he is already getting the benefit of those hours with an increase in his years of service. Ms. James referred to b where it says we will accept those hours after one year that is because sick hours can be used for FMLA and you have to be here a year before you can do that. Ms. James explained that was why they added after a year so we don't inherit that liability. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to approve the policy as presented by staff:

Section 18. Sick Leave – Transfer from Other Agencies/Entities

Sick Leave earned from another North Carolina Governmental Agency and/or entity, will be accepted and transferred to Anson County according to the following provisions (Revised/Effective 07-01-2015)

- (a) Limit of 240 hours will be added or accepted provided verification is received from the previous jurisdiction.
- (b) The total number of hours accepted as transferred will be added to the record after one year of employment with Anson County.
- (c) Verification of said accumulated sick leave must be received in writing from the previous jurisdiction.
- (d) The transfer must be completed within three (3) years from the employee's last workday with the previous agency/entity.
- (e) Sick hours applied to the employee's retirement service credit with a previous agency/entity does not qualify for transfer to Anson County.

Motion carried unanimously.

Approval of New Fees for Health Department: Dr. Thompson stated that with the addition of a Gynecologist at the health department they are pleased to be able to expand some of their services to both child bearing age women and some older women in the county. Dr. Thompson noted the procedures and fees presented were

reviewed at the May meeting of the Board of Health and approved to be presented to the Board of Commissioners. Chairman Baucom asked about the new birth control method for \$700 with Dr. Thompson noting it was an intrauterine device and new on the market. Dr. Thompson stated it was a significant technological improvement from the old ones. Chairman Baucom asked if it required surgery with Dr. Thompson answering it was implanted in the uterus by the gynecologist in the office. Chairman Baucom noted they had done some thorough research by checking with other counties. Vice Chair Streater asked if they would be making money by doing this with Dr. Thompson saying he hopes so. Dr. Thompson shared that they know they will cover their raw cost of purchasing the device and when you add in the doctor and nurse's time and supplies they hope they will cover their cost. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to approve the fees as presented. Commissioner Woodburn commented that he thinks the health department is making some good advances and he thinks somewhere along the line and probably sooner than later something could be put in place that could be a win win for the health department and county employees. Commissioner Woodburn stated that most of the time when someone needs medical attention they go out of the county and they have to take time off from work anywhere from a half day to a whole day. Commissioner Woodburn felt that as the health department gets to where they are providing more services we should put something in place and let county employees go to the health department while they are on the clock. Commissioner Woodburn suggested we allow them up to an hour as an incentive to save time and save money and help the health department as well. Commissioner Woodburn thinks this will benefit everyone and thinks this needs to be looked at in the near future. Commissioner Woodburn added that the other side is what the county is facing with health care cost and we want to continue to pay that cost. Commissioner Woodburn feels this might be a way to keep cost down and keep our insurance cost lower because we would actually be providing a service to county employees ourselves. Motion carried unanimously.

Fire District Update: Mr. Diggs stated that in February the board approve the fire districts be changed and also adopted the six mile district. Mr. Diggs shared that we do not have a full time GIS person and the one that is there part time can't print maps for him. Mr. Diggs noted that Randy Gulledge has been working on this as his time permits and we also need to coordinate with Rita and Larry to pull the parcels to the new fire districts. Mr. Diggs is hopeful the maps will be ready to print tomorrow and once done he will talk with Rita and Larry to get the tax parcels moved to the correct fire district. Mr. Diggs stated that once this is done he will send them to Raleigh and they will handle the rest of it. Vice Chair Streater asked why the tax office doesn't have time to work with this with Mr. Diggs answering that they will, this is the GIS person as she can't do the maps. Vice Chair Streater asked for an explanation of can't with Mr. Diggs saying he asked her to print him a map of Wadesboro one day and she could not print it. Vice Chair Streater asked if she didn't know how or if she did not have the capabilities with Mr. Diggs saying she has the capability but he doesn't think she knows how. Commissioner Sikes felt we needed a new GIS full time person. Mr.

Diggs shared that Randy has found an easy way to pull the tax codes to give to Rita and Larry so they can make their changes. Commissioner Sikes asked why they had to change the districts thinking if Ansonville has some people that was more than five miles away why couldn't they just be added within the six mile difference without changing the boundaries. Mr. Diggs shared that their district did not change. Mr. Diggs stated that the six mile district will be drawn by ISO and the only fire district that actually changed was Wadesboro. Mr. Diggs stated the part they took in for Wadesboro was within five miles of Wadesboro's station whereas Morven and Gulledege it was six to seven miles. Commissioner Sikes asked if all other district boundaries would stay the same with Mr. Diggs answering the board adopted six mile districts so all those will stay the same. Mr. Diggs shared that Peachland and Polkton was wanting to split theirs so that will be a big one to work on. Mr. Diggs explained that currently they get one check and they have to split it between the two departments and they want their district divided where each one gets their proper check. Vice Chair Streater stated that he talked with a fireman from that area and he said if the board did not adopt the new districts that insurance could increase as much as \$1,000 for homeowners. Mr. Diggs stated that he understands there was a class action lawsuit with the insurance companies against the state and the state said they would just adopt ISO ratings and be done. Mr. Diggs stated that some departments in the county are a 6/9s rating and that means if you are within 1,000 feet of a hydrant you could be a Class 6 if that department is a 6 and that will lower your insurance ratings. Mr. Diggs stated that outside of five miles ISO sees it as you are not in a fire district even though everybody in Anson County is in a district, outside the five miles from the station they see it as you being in a Class 10 and homeowners insurance has been doubling and tripling. Mr. Diggs noted that now that we have adopted the six mile it is going to give them another mile but anything from the five to six mile area they can only be a Class 9s. Mr. Diggs added that fire hydrants play a big part of this and he knows they just put in a new line for all these chicken houses and we need to get the hydrants on GIS and put in the cad system so if there is a fire and they ask dispatch the location of hydrants they can pull them up and show the closest hydrant to the fire. Chairman Baucom asked if we needed to be looking at additional fire hydrants to cover people. Mr. Diggs shared that Vance Gulledege told him hydrants were put every 2,500 feet apart. Mr. Diggs stated that he rode the new line by Robinson Bridge Road and Teal Hall Road and he doesn't think the hydrants are 2,500 feet apart. Mr. Diggs added that fire trucks only carry 1,000 feet of large diameter hose which is what they use for the supply line. Mr. Diggs feels if we could get the hydrants close to every 2,000 feet apart it would be better. Mr. Diggs noted that Polkton Fire Department was a 9s rating but if they drop to a Class 6 then insurance in their district should come down some. Mr. Diggs noted that all departments are working to lower their ratings. Commissioner Sikes noted it was based on the amount of equipment you have, the amount of water you can carry and handling and training. Commissioner Sikes asked the rating for Ansonville with Mr. Diggs answering 6/9s. Vice Chair Streater asked about Morven with Mr. Diggs saying Morven was under suspension right now. Mr. Diggs explained that they have a year to correct what was found wrong. Mr. Diggs stated that actually we

have three departments in the county on suspension for a year from the last rating ISO did. County Attorney Forbes commented that it was mainly training with Mr. Diggs saying it was because now the state says that they may have several people who are members of two or three departments and the state says you can only be counted as a member for training hours at one department. Mr. Diggs stated that two of the three departments border each other and the firemen on two or three departments can only be counted in one department and they have to maintain 36 hours a year training. Vice Chair Streater asked which departments with Mr. Diggs answering Morven, Gullledge and Peachland. County Attorney Forbes noted the reason was if you have a person who is a member of Peachland and Polkton and he is required to have 10 hours if he gets 10 hours at one place he has to get another 10 hours at the other place so theoretically that person has to have 20 hours. Mr. Diggs explained that they have to have a minimum of 20 fireman with 36 hours a year. Mr. Diggs added that they can get the training wherever but he can only be counted at one department. Mr. Diggs noted that they used to look at it as Polkton and Peachland and now they only look at it as one department. Mr. Diggs noted that the chiefs have to decide which department the member is going to be accounted for. County Attorney Forbes stated that even though they have qualified personnel at one department they can't be counted for that department with Mr. Diggs saying the only way you can have a volunteer counted at a volunteer station is if he is a paid fireman. Mr. Diggs was hopeful the maps would be done tomorrow and he will get with Rita and Larry and get the tax stuff done and sent to Raleigh. Chairman Baucom asked him to send her an email when it's done. Mr. Diggs said he would send her an email when he sends it to Raleigh.

Economic Development Report: Chairman Baucom noted that Ms. Beck was not here tonight.

Presentation of the Fiscal Year Budget 2015/2016: Chairman Baucom commented that she knew this was a lot of work, a lot of plotting and planning and she knows Ms. James did a lot of it by herself but she had a lot of help. Vice Chair Streater commented that she was here all day Saturday with Ms. James answering yes. Chairman Baucom asked if that was the only Saturday she's worked with Ms. James saying it was a pleasure and they were glad they assigned them the duty. Ms. James stated that they were up to it and they delivered. Vice Chair Streater commented that Ms. James was doing a good job with Chairman Baucom voicing appreciation for her hard work. Ms. James asked board members to turn to the budget highlights noting that this tells everything that has happened, how they budgeted and their thoughts on this proposal budget. Ms. James then proceeded to highlight areas of the budget. Ms. James noted the general fund proposed budget this year was \$28,687,889 as compared to \$28,852,503 last year. Ms. James stated that ad valorem taxes have been increased due to the state implementation of vehicle tax and tag together. Ms. James stated that they were doing a much better job at it than we did. Ms. James stated that it was \$1.29 million in the budget for just vehicles and they also increased \$10,000 in DMV. Ms. James shared that we are the collection agency and as such we get a portion out of

what is collected for taxes and that has been a double win for us. Ms. James stated that they anticipated an increase of \$10,000 in building permits. Ms. James shared that they have gone above and beyond that in the current year. Vice Chair Streater asked why with Ms. James saying he would need to ask Keith. Chairman Baucom felt it was the poultry houses and it was noted that Dollar General was going to Lilesville. Commissioner Woodburn shared that they were doing an addition at Premiere Fibers. Ms. James stated that in the current year budget they budgeted \$65,000 for building permits and they have already collected \$90,243. Ms. James noted that they increased host fees by a little as we are pretty much maxed out on that. Ms. James stated that in expenses they did another \$157,500 as our next installment on the Ag Center design. Ms. James stated that right now they will have 50% of what they need to do the design. Vice Chair Streater noted that we have a \$334,000 payment on the ESC and if we take on another \$700,000 he wonders how we will pay for that. Ms. James stated that the debt on the ES Center was a debt of 15 years, which she feels is pretty aggressive. Ms. James stated that she would assume the debt on \$7.5 million would be more long term than 15 years. Vice Chair Streater asked what kind of financing should the committee be looking at to get it down to something affordable. Chairman Baucom stated that there have been conversations with Pee Dee Electric about hooking into some of their funding sources and it sounded optimistic that we could get a little bit of a grant from an organization. Vice Chair Streater wondered what we could afford to take on at this point. Ms. James shared that they have a tax increase included in the proposed budget. Ms. James stated that our expenses are pretty much the same and if our revenues don't increase we won't have a choice. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. James what range she felt we could take on with Ms. James saying it would depend on his opinion of how much he wants to raise the tax rate. Ms. James said we were not going to be able to do debt service without raising fees or borrowing the money. Ms. James cautioned that we have to be sure about the term and we could investigate bonds but she wasn't sure. Ms. James felt it was almost impossible with our current revenue structure to absorb debt without raising income by tax rate. Ms. James noted that in the proposed budget a penny on the tax rate \$150,400. Ms. James shared that if the debt service payment was \$300,000 a year we would have to raise taxes two cents to cover it. Ms. James reminded board members that reval was scheduled for 2018 and in the budget is the first part of funding to begin the process. Ms. James said if values were up that would be a good thing but if values go down during reval it puts a sticker into what our debt structure is. Ms. James explained that where a penny might have raised \$150,000 if values on property go down that penny on the tax rate might not yield but \$125,000. Chairman Baucom shared that the Ag committee was at the point of selecting an architect to do a design of the building. Chairman Baucom feels we've put in a lot of money already and doing it as we go along it will not be that kind of bite that when they go out looking for money to build it. Chairman Baucom stated that our agreement was that the Ag community would raise two million and we would work to get the other eight million. Chairman Baucom thinks we should be able to get a General Assembly allocation for this even if it's on a matching basis. Ms. James stated that funding for DSS has decreased by \$17,000, Elderly has decreased by \$7,365

and our funding for the health department increased by \$4,793. Ms. James stated that contingency was set at \$45,000 last year and they have \$67,000 in there for next year. Ms. James stated that payroll highlights talk about the request they had for new positions, showing a total of 19 new position request. Ms. James stated that some were frozen and continue to be frozen and the GIS Analyst is one of them. Commissioner Sturdivant asked for an explanation of an Environmental Deputy with Ms. James saying that was the position requested by Commissioner Sikes regarding landfill. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if it would come under the sheriff with Ms. James saying it had been told to them that we wanted that position to be deputized. Ms. James stated that Sheriff Reid suggested rather than calling them a deputy sheriff we call them Environmental Sheriff so they would have the power when they found illegal dumps and trash. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if all day long this man would ride looking for illegal dumps and write tickets with Sheriff Reid saying that would not be his suggestion. Sheriff Reid suggested he look for illegal dumps and not the landfill. Sheriff Reid stated that they could not do much at the landfill. Commissioner Sikes felt it worked fine like it was before and if they needed a deputy they could call. Chairman Baucom asked Sheriff Reid if he felt it would be appropriate to monitor all over the county for illegal dumping with Sheriff Reid saying yes and not the landfill. Vice Chair Streater felt someone was needed to clean up the trash piles all over the county. Commissioner Sikes asked if they had been like that for years or if they were just getting like that with Vice Chair Streater saying they've been like that for years. Ms. James felt this could be discussed in the budget hearings. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. James how many of the positions listed did she recommend funding with Ms. James saying they did not fund any at the top. Ms. James added that they did not fund any in the general fund in an effort to keep from raising taxes. Ms. James shared that Dr. Thompson and staff have developed a plan. Ms. James stated that they were hiring contracted workers and part time people to fill some of their needs and instead they have requested funding for the Environmental Health Specialist that was Tommy Pond. Ms. James shared that they would like to fill that position and they will use monies for the contract services and part time to fill the position and have another body to be able to do the duties. Dr. Thompson shared that for the last several years they have been dependent upon out of county contract staff, primarily from Richmond County Health Department but also Stanly County. Dr. Thompson stated that retired soil scientist were expensive but they work full time so when they come to do a restaurant inspection they have to come at night or weekends and are not here during normal business hours to respond to inquiries and when they do come at night and weekends the restaurant manager is not there. Dr. Thompson added that he has been fielding complaints about that but they will be able to have a much higher level of service and he thinks it will be cheaper than what they've been paying to hire all this expensive out of county contract people. Dr. Thompson feels it will be better to have this position filled and he budgeted out all the dollars for contract staff out of the county. Vice Chair Streater asked Dr. Thompson if he felt it would have been cheaper for us to have contracted the dog pound to another county than what we are doing. Dr. Thompson asked if he meant to run our animal shelter saying we didn't make any plans to do that.

Dr. Thompson asked if the question was would we consider contracting with someone else to manage our animal shelter with Vice Chair Streater saying not manage it but to take our animals once we pick them up. Commissioner Sikes asked the cost to operate the shelter and how many animals are we saving. Commissioner Sikes asked if it was costing us \$2,000 to \$3,000 every animal we save. Dr. Thompson stated that he has not looked at transporting the animals they pick up to an out of county shelter. Commissioner Sims was of the opinion that the community feels that the Animal Shelter was one of the best things that has been done by this board. Dr. Thompson feels that was pretty accurate. Dr. Thompson shared that the feedback on the shelter has been wonderful and they've gotten good support from Walmart donating food. Ms. James shared that our expenses in the proposed budget are \$275,000 with \$18,000 in revenue back from adoptions. Vice Chair Streater asked how much they did this year with Ms. James answering the budget was about the same thing. Vice Chair Streater asked how much revenue had they collected with Ms. James answering \$13,089. Vice Chair Streater asked what was budgeted with Ms. James answering we budgeted in 14/15 \$11,000 but Evonne thinks they can reach \$18,000. Ms. James stated that we budgeted \$11,000 and collected almost \$14,000 and they estimate \$18,000 for next year. Commissioner Sims noted this was a service area and not a profitable area. Commissioner Sikes asked the status of inspecting restaurants saying he doesn't like it when they go to inspect when the owner or manager is not there. Commissioner Sikes favors doing our own inspections during daytime work hours. Ms. James stated that the Tommy Pond position was in the proposed budget. Ms. Randall added that they requested an additional environmental specialist that was not funded. Vice Chair Streater asked why they needed two with Ms. James explaining that the employee that was just hired is the one that will be doing restaurant inspections. Chairman Baucom asked if that was all they would do with Ms. James saying that Mr. Kane was also over the animal shelter. Dr. Thompson shared that Mr. Kane was the soils man doing all the septic permitting and private wells, swimming pool, etc. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if he would also inspect restaurants with Dr. Thompson saying his authorization in food and lodging was pending and they hope to have him authorized in all areas by the end of July. Dr. Thompson noted his background was in soils with Vice Chair Streater asking why he can't do it all. Ms. James said it was budgeted for that. Chairman Baucom asked if they were talking about two positions or one with Ms. James saying they had one position that was frozen and then they requested a second one. Ms. James stated that they only gave them one position. Ms. James then turned to capital outlay saying the following items have been funded:

Board of Elections	63,000	10 new voting machines
Emergency Management	20,000	2015 Chevrolet Truck – grant will cover \$36,800
Inspections	25,000	2015 truck – existing 2 will be sold
EMS	98,000	Ambulance remount bi-annual cycle
Health Department	50,000	Emergency Medical Records Medicaid mandate
Courthouse Building	32,500	mandated per DOJ – walkway to link buildings
Law Enforcement Center	15,000	mandated per DOJ – bullet proof glass Jail

Ms. James noted the following was not funded:

Inspections	75,000	Permitting software
Animal Shelter	25,000	2015 truck
Parks and Recreation	150,000	pool renovations

Ms. James gave the following education highlights:

Public Schools		Requested	Funded
Current expense	3,816,315	3,694,598	same as FY 14/15
Capital Outlay	90,000	90,000	same as FY 14/15
Teacher Supplements	242,172	209,755	same as FY 14/15
.25% Sales Tax Allocation	-	325,000	new allocation for FY 15/16

South Piedmont Community College

Current Expense	914,000	560,629	same as FY 14/15
Capital Outlay	690,000	233,250	*same as FY 14/15

*50,000 recurring capital outlay allocation
 183,250 funded from fund balance since FY 11/12
 For FY 15/16 not funded from FB
 appropriation

Vice Chair Streater asked if SPCC had any students on the Polkton campus with Chairman Baucom answering they do now, noting that on Tuesdays and Thursdays the parking lot is full. Chairman Baucom shared that she had a conversation with Dr. Sidor and told him that it was going to be a very tight budget and he just closed up his little notebook and we chatted about something else. Ms. James then turned to the next page to talk about fund balance. Ms. James shared the approach they took to this was the position we are in we need to be very frugal and cognizant of how we are budgeting fund balance. Ms. James explained that when you budget fund balance you are saying I'm going to spend that cash. Ms. James said then you question how you will replenish that cash saying you can't unless you try back on some of the fund balance appropriated and that is what they've done here. Ms. Randall stated that in the proposed budget for 15/16 they reduced the amount they used to balance the budget from \$799,000 to \$675,000. Ms. Randall stated that they also tried to reduce some of the recurring cost that had been funded from fund balance in previous years. Ms. Randall noted there was one amount of \$183,250 that is something that will give additional capital that SPCC receives and it has been funded from fund balance since 11/12. Ms. Randall noted that in order to reduce our fund balance appropriation they actually propose that this amount is funded from cash this year. Ms. Randall stated that also under non-recurring you see that we purchased several items in past years using fund balance and they don't have any of those included in the proposed 15/16 budget. Ms. Randall stated that over all our fund balance appropriation would be \$1.1 million versus where it has been previously. Mr. Randall explained that at the bottom of the page if you go back to 11/12 you will see where our total appropriation was and that we actually used some fund balance and in 12/13 we projected we would use \$1.2

million and we actually contributed to fund balance. Ms. Randall noted the same was true in 13/14 where we projected we would use fund balance and we actually contributed to it. Ms. Randall noted that if we increase the amount we think we are going to use from fund balance we will eventually use it. Ms. James added that it will be a big hit that year so they are taking a breath back. Chairman Baucom asked to have that repeated. Ms. James stated that if we begin to use fund balance you will find that you have the effect that you should raise your tax rate rather than budgeting so much from fund balance. Ms. James felt we have been very lucky but you can see how we went from \$458,000 contribution to \$384,000 that year. Chairman Baucom asked if we knew what it would be this year with Ms. James answering no but we already know there are going to be shortfalls. Vice Chair Streater asked if it was about a million with Ms. James answering yes. Ms. James thinks the year ending 6/30/15 will be the year that we will use fund balance. Vice Chair Streater asked where this will put us with the state with Ms. James explaining that we have to have eight million undesignated. Ms. James shared that in this budget under ad valorem you will find that on 6/30/14 our undesignated balance was \$5.6 million. Ms. James stated that there were no increases in pay in this budget and the only increases you will find are for new hires that were hired at the hiring rate and are scheduled to complete probation and get an increase to minimum rate of pay for the grade. Commissioner Sims commented that he might be on the wrong side of the street but it seems to him that as much as possible we should follow Ms. James's way of thinking. Commissioner Sims feels she and Ms. Randall know more about it than anybody else and if we start making a lot of additions we'll have real problems. Ms. James stated that currently the tax rate is 76.7 and they are proposing to go to 83.5 which is a 6.8 cent increase to balance the budget. Ms. James noted that the budget was also prepared with the request from the fire districts where they wanted their rate increased from five cents to nine cents but at least they wanted the same as the Wadesboro fire district which is 7.6 cents. Commissioner Sims asked the difference in the tax rate from last year to this year with Ms. James answering 6.8 cents in addition to the 2.6 increase for fire districts. Ms. James shared that if you are in the Wadesboro fire district you would only see an increase on your property taxes for the county but if you are in any other fire district it will go up. Ms. Randall shared that based on the increase of 6.8 cents and the current yield of \$150,400 this increase generates \$1,022,720. Ms. James noted that if they did not raise the tax rate the budget should be short this amount. Vice Chair Streater felt the board needed to start budget sessions. Chairman Baucom asked board members to set dates to discuss this budget. The Clerk noted the budget Public Hearing was scheduled for June 11, 2015. Board agreed to meet again Monday, June 8th at 5:00 P.M.

Chairman Baucom called for a short break.

Chairman's Report: After the break, Chairman Baucom reported that with the County Manager applications the board found there were no viable applicants. Chairman Baucom noted that they would be starting over when they get through the budget. Chairman Baucom felt they've had two issues that have demonstrated the

need for zoning in Anson County. Chairman Baucom stated that she dealt with the motor cross situation Friday night from about 9:30 PM until 1:00 AM Saturday morning. Chairman Baucom asked if other board members were getting calls with Vice Chair Streater saying he had had some prior to Friday night. Commissioner Woodburn thought they were supposed to shut down at 10 PM but they went on until after 11 on Saturday. Chairman Baucom noted they had a wreck so they added another race. Chairman Baucom felt board members needed to look at the Noise Ordinance and the way the owners of the motor cross read it they were exempt from the time limit in noise. Chairman Baucom stated that in the Ordinance if you go past 9 PM you have to have a permit from this board. Vice Chair Streater commented that he thought they were closed now with Chairman Baucom saying that was her understanding. Chairman Baucom shared that she attended a presentation at New Tech last month and this is a lot of project based learning. Chairman Baucom stated that the project for one class was to design a Veterans Memorial section of Wadesboro Park off Morven Road. Chairman Baucom noted they did an excellent job and she wanted to alert board members that if the memorial comes to fruition we need to make sure they have an Anson County flag. Chairman Baucom asked the clerk if there were any left with the clerk answering no. Chairman Baucom stated that her husband had suggested that the Historical Society sell Anson County flags but it cost too much for them to get into this business. Chairman Baucom felt at some point the board needed to look at the feasibility of having maybe one-half dozen made. Chairman Baucom reported a phone call from Mr. Greene who was under the belief that the board approved the fire districts three years ago and he is still not getting his insurance discount. Chairman Baucom stated that after the earlier discussion she can see why. Chairman Baucom felt the board needed to follow up with this and make sure he gets a proper map. Commissioner Sikes noted that to get the discount his fire department has to hold a certain rating no matter how close he is. Chairman Baucom stated that it sounds like they have to have the capacity to serve the dwelling and be close enough to a fire hydrant and be within that designated limit.

Commissioner Sturdivant shared that she did the Walk A Mile In My Shoes with the homeless. Commissioner Sturdivant noted that they were out Union County but they also do it with Anson County and they did it May 29th. Commissioner Sturdivant explained that all proceeds go to help the homeless in Anson County. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that you do it through hygiene products and things of that nature. Commissioner Sturdivant thanked Bonnie saying she donated quite a bit of things and sometimes she tells people you don't realize how many folks are homeless in Anson County. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that they are trying to build this up to be a yearly event and she would like to see board members be a part of it next year. Chairman Baucom asked where they walked with Commissioner Sturdivant answering from Faison School to ARMS.

Commissioner Woodburn asked to go back to zoning saying he felt this was a real pressing need in the county. Commissioner Woodburn asked if the board could at

least authorize some county staff or maybe Centralina but get something before the board to look at. Chairman Baucom stated that we have a Land Use Plan that COG help with years ago. Chairman Baucom noted that they visited every township in the county and the clerk found her copy and she thinks that should be our starting point and maybe we could get COG to come down and walk us through revisions or things we might not have thought about then. Chairman Baucom stated that they have started work on the Monroe Bypass and they expect it to be completed by 2018 and she thinks if we don't want a continuation of the things we've already seen we need to get this county zoned. Chairman Baucom added that there seems to be a lot more interest in zoning. Ms. James shared that there is \$13,500 in the proposed budget to begin this. Commissioner Sims stated that we have the bypass on the move and Peachland and the western part of the county are probably going to need more water and Wadesboro is about out of water. Commissioner Sims commented that we have a big existing business man in the Lilesville area that says he is soon going to need more water and then we have dozens of smaller projects needing water and asked what was happening on the water and when were we going to start work. Chairman Baucom shared that she had a conversation with Mary Beck earlier in the day with Commissioner Sims asking where Ms. Beck was saying he saw her on the Agenda. Chairman Baucom shared that she had cataract surgery and it has not done well and she cannot drive at night so she is not here. Commissioner Sims commented that he would have been glad to go by and pick her up. Chairman Baucom understands that we are looking at all these projects together and she does not yet have a definitive idea of how much water Project X is going to need on a daily basis. Commissioner Sims feels we will have a tremendous amount of water need no matter how you look at it and feels we need to install a 30 inch pipe. Chairman Baucom felt if we were going to go with 30 we might as well go with 36 and not build it again. Commissioner Sims voiced that people are asking what is being done, what is happening and his answer is he doesn't know.

Consent Agenda: Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Meeting Minute: approved minutes dated April 7, 2015 and May 5, 2015 and closed session minutes of both dates.

Tax Releases:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014

May 31, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
Year 2014	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42
Year 2013	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28
Year 2012	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21
Year 2011	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59
Year 2010	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79
Year 2009	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22
Year 2008	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01	145,103.87
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List								
Year 2014	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80
Year 2013	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40
Year 2012	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71
Year 2011	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60
Year 2010	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42
Year 2009	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87
Year 2008	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46	107,874.15
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %								
Year 2014	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%
Year 2013	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%
Year 2012	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%
Year 2011	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%
Year 2010	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%
Year 2009	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%
Year 2008	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%
Year 2007	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%
Year 2006	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%
Year 2005	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%	95.85%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
Year 2014	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93
Year 2013	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68
Year 2012	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,437.51
Year 2011	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12	824,977.42
History of Past Due Mailings								
	10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Year 2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	Feb 2015		Mar 2015		Apr 2015		May 2015	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	135	57,447.52	133	57,433.93	133	57,568.43	126	57,435.54
Accts with Agreements	38	10,868.49	38	10,921.43	38	10,974.37	33	10,339.98
Accts in Foreclosure	270	119,382.48	269	119,735.52	349	171,475.46	345	159,674.03
Bankruptcies	84	49,994.11	80	48,140.94	80	48,121.93	79	9,790.09
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,849	3,010,606.91	9,688	2,961,358.28	9,513	2,870,652.38	8,945	2,729,833.76
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,950	1,504,270.24	3,682	1,371,366.41	3,096	1,125,888.30
**Red = AR Dollars	14,891	4,966,824.96	14,158	4,701,860.34	13,795	4,530,158.98	12,624	4,092,961.70
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	2	198.45	3	497.60	4	988.32	9	15,785.25
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	176,403.69	-	174,483.46	-	174,014.33	-	172,385.23
One Year Ago								
	Feb 2014		Mar 2014		Apr 2014		May 2014	
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	162	65,121.99	161	64,624.53	161	63,375.03	159	62,894.79
Accts with Agreements	42	10,839.08	42	10,894.38	42	10,949.68	42	11,004.98
Accts in Foreclosure	292	121,583.14	323	140,593.02	322	140,536.90	321	138,604.42
Bankruptcies	107	63,622.27	106	63,856.03	105	63,736.58	105	63,720.90
Remaining Accts Collectible	7,674	2,399,727.97	7,514	2,335,487.28	7,462	2,310,627.55	7,392	2,280,383.82
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	4,298	1,569,833.76	3,921	1,463,664.46	3,731	1,356,392.34	3,568	1,289,229.08
**Red = AR Dollars	12,575	4,230,728.21	12,067	4,079,119.70	11,823	3,945,618.08	11,587	3,845,837.99
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	11.30	1	100.00	2	1,469.72	2	6,328.67
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	246,568.72	-	247,325.02	-	247,684.16	-	248,117.33

Real Property Taxes

FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014
May 31, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	-
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	192,830.80	165,789.97
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,562.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,969.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62
Year 2009	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40
Year 2008	82,074.70	1,509,823.05	750,653.41	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01	145,103.87	144,764.28
Of Total Collections												
County Taxes & Late List												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	-
Year 2013	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2012	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2011	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2010	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97
Year 2009	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37
Year 2008	61,341.51	1,218,671.84	633,646.80	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46	107,874.15	104,520.34
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	N/A	13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	-
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.67%	94.09%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.76%	95.25%
Year 2006		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%
Year 2005		11.83%	17.64%	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%	95.85%	96.43%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	-
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86
Year 2012	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,437.51	734,822.47
Year 2011	32,692.26	9,407,190.90	10,421,780.20	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12	824,977.42	757,956.67
History of Past Due Mailings												
	11/08/11		02/14/12		10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,168	2,100,002.93	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings												
	Rate	Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	2014	1,986,444.95	96,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,496	10,200,268.90	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,015.76	12,354,531.28	2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64
Accounts Receivable by Type												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	146	58,557.10	138	56,347.02	137	56,005.40	134	54,573.34	137	56,699.13	137	57,252.93
Accts with Agreements	38	10,517.91	38	10,570.85	38	10,623.79	38	10,656.73	38	10,709.67	38	10,762.61
Accts in Foreclosure	284	124,480.83	284	124,945.31	284	125,488.79	284	126,131.91	284	126,725.03	280	125,132.62
Bankruptcies	86	49,852.64	86	49,885.75	86	50,140.88	86	50,170.30	84	49,523.13	84	49,713.49
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,314	3,315,383.46	10,554	3,214,994.13	10,456	3,196,514.42	10,332	3,154,562.37	10,219	3,138,674.40	10,044	3,119,842.57
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	19,809	12,649,107.79	17,050	10,916,704.93	15,693	10,160,994.53	14,759	11,760,366.24	12,633	10,116,105.89	5,727	2,274,382.40
**Red = AR Dollars	31,677	16,207,899.73	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,496,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$ \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	507.76	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-	1	205.82	6	2,999.11
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	181,954.95	-	179,531.80	-	177,825.76	-	177,932.25	-	178,176.06	-	175,691.44
Accounts Receivable by Type												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52	133	57,433.93	133	57,568.43	126	57,435.54	158	62,482.20
Accts with Agreements	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49	38	10,921.43	38	10,974.37	33	10,339.98	42	11,060.28
Accts in Foreclosure	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48	269	119,735.52	349	171,475.46	345	159,674.03	308	133,202.56
Bankruptcies	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11	80	48,140.94	80	48,121.93	79	47,990.09	104	62,839.62
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91	9,688	2,961,358.28	9,513	2,870,652.38	8,945	2,729,833.76	7,323	2,253,638.45
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,950	1,504,270.24	3,682	1,371,366.41	3,096	1,125,888.30	3,364	1,245,198.80
**Red = AR Dollars	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96	14,158	4,701,860.34	13,795	4,530,158.98	12,624	4,092,961.70	11,299	3,768,421.91
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$ \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	11	7,207.67	2	198.45	3	497.60	4	988.32	9	15,785.25	14	6,643.23
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	176,106.96	-	176,403.69	-	174,483.46	-	174,014.33	-	172,385.23	-	248,318.80

Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:

Fund Balance Calculation					
	<i>Last Year</i>	<i>Two Months</i>	<i>Last</i>		
<i>As of 05-31-15</i>	<i>Same Month</i>	<i>Ago</i>	<i>Month</i>	<i>Now</i>	
	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>
	<i>05/31/14</i>	<i>03/31/15</i>	<i>04/30/15</i>	<i>05/31/15</i>	
Available Fund Balance					
Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 10,759,214	\$ 12,166,964	\$ 10,923,209	\$ 10,351,380	
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 20,143	\$ 22,652	\$ 22,903	\$ 23,153	
Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ (396,668)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ 106,183	\$ 126,399	\$ 118,832	\$ 111,540	
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (16,779)	\$ (16,779)	
Less Encumbrances	\$ (351,160)	\$ (222,668)	\$ (219,271)	\$ (152,570)	
Total Available	\$ 10,091,478	\$ 12,047,111	\$ 10,828,893	\$ 10,316,724	
General Fund Expenditures					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total Available for Appropriation					
Total Available	\$ 10,091,478	\$ 12,047,111	\$ 10,828,893	\$ 10,316,724	
Total Expenditures	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total % Available Fund Balance	36.32%	41.75%	37.53%	35.76%	
Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC	8%	8%	8%	8%	
	\$2,222,645	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	
% Undesignated Fund Balance	28.32%	33.75%	29.53%	27.76%	
	\$ 7,868,834	\$ 9,738,911	\$ 8,520,693	\$ 8,008,524	

Budget Amendment – Economic Development: to adjust amounts budgeted to cover anticipated expenses for telephone services.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Economic Development Commission 11-4920 \$ 1,900

Total Increase: \$ 1,900

Section 2. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease: Leased County Building 11-4265 \$ (1,900)

Total Decrease: \$ (1,900)

Adopted this 2nd day of June, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Parks and Recreation: to recognize and appropriate donations received for purchase of athletic equipment for programs.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Parks and Recreation 11-6120 \$ 1,360
Total Increase: \$ 1,360

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Parks and Recreation 11-6120 \$ 1,360
Total Increase: \$ 1,360

Adopted this 2nd day of June, 2015.

Budget Amendment- Health Department: to recognize and appropriate revenues received to cover anticipated expenses for contracted service.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Health Programs 11-5110-5181 \$ 5,000
Total Increase: \$ 5,000

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Health – All programs 11-5100 \$ 5,000
Total Increase: \$ 5,000

Adopted this 2nd day of June, 2015.

Budget Amendment – ACTS: to adjust amounts budgeted to cover anticipated expenses for ACTS.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Transportation 11-4521-4523 \$ 6,460
Total Increase: \$ 6,460

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease: Leased County Building 11-4265 \$ (6,460)
Total Decrease: \$ (6,460)

Adopted this 2nd day of June, 2015

Circle G. Arena Fireworks Request: Board members approved their request.

Uptown Wadesboro, Inc. Request for Fireworks: Board members approved their request to have fireworks for the July Summer Jam and 4th of July Celebration on July 3, 2015.

Appointments:

Anson County Library Board of Trustees: Commissioner Sims commented that they need these appointments as they are having difficulty finding people that want to join them. Commissioner Sims encouraged board members to approve these as presented. Chairman Baucom shared that Lula Jackson has resigned her position and they are recommending the appointment of Peggy Hildreth to complete Ms. Jackson's term ending June 30, 2017.

Sandhill Regional Library System: Chairman Baucom stated that they are recommending the appointment of Fran Thomas for a term beginning July 1, 2015 and ending June 30, 2021. Commissioner Sims suggesting extending the terms with Chairman Baucom asking if that was our call or Sandhill. Commissioner Woodburn felt it was Sandhill. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the appointment of Peggy Hildreth to the Anson County Library Board of Trustees and Fran Thomas to the Sandhill Regional Library System. Motion carried unanimously.

Centralina Workforce Development Board: Chairman Baucom shared that Don Altieri was retiring after years of service and they are recommending the appointment of Priscilla Nunn as the Community Based Organizations representative. Chairman Baucom added that they are also recommending the appointment of Kristina Forbes as the Private Sector representative. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve both appointments. Motion carried unanimously.

Vice Chair Streater shared that he along with Jeff, Bonnie and Tiffany, went over to the hospital to look at the equipment in the kitchen but the condition that SanStone left some of the equipment, the only thing we found the county could use was an ice maker and we gave that to the jail. Vice Chair Streater shared that they left the deep fryer full of grease and it looked like one piece of equipment had been removed. Vice Chair Streater added that there were no pots or pans and with the shape and age of the equipment we don't think it is fit to try to sell to the public. Ms. Randall shares pictures of the equipment and space with board members. Vice Chair Streater wondered if the board wanted to give it to some non-profit organization but if they start tearing things away from the wall they will find asbestos. Vice Chair Streater did not think we had time to post it on GovDeals or that we would get enough out of it to bother with. Vice Chair Streater stated that Sylvester Bennett would like the refrigerator as they don't think things are worth selling. Mr. Waisner stated that they removed 1200 pounds of scrap stainless over four and one-half days and sold it for \$434. Mr. Waisner said it cost more to take it out and haul it up there. Commissioner Sims voiced a concern for someone tearing the equipment from the wall with the asbestos there. Chairman Baucom voiced that she did not want our folks in there. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to declare the all hospital kitchen fixtures as surplus. Vice Chair Streater asked if Mr. Bennett could get the refrigerator with Commissioner Sturdivant asking how many were in there. Vice Chair Streater answered one and he guesses they took the rest with them saying there were more than that at one time. Chairman Baucom commented that it did not belong to them and wondered why they were taken. Vice Chair Streater stated that he can't say they took them but they are not in there. Mr. Randall thought there were two and one with three sections was in the middle of the floor and one was between the walls. Vice Chair Streater noted there were no pots or pans or anything to cook with. Vice Chair Streater felt we should have taken a look at the kitchen before releasing them

from the lease. Commissioner Sturdivant suggesting giving one to a group called HOPE from the Peachland/Polkton area with Vice Chair Streater asking to give one to the Lemuel Center. Motion carried unanimously.

County Attorney Forbes mentioned that AT&T has some equipment on our water tank and we talked about it being in danger of falling. County Attorney Forbes stated that we have two options, we can keep it where it is and release them from their contract and we keep the equipment and all liability or they will remove it and they keep the equipment. County Attorney Forbes stated that the equipment does have some value and we did not pay for it as it was theirs anyway. County Attorney Forbes added that the value of the equipment could be upwards of \$40,000 if there is a market to be sold or if we could use it and he did not know of a market for it. County Attorney Forbes noted the cost of taking it down was a guess of about \$10,000 if we were to keep in and pay for it. County Attorney Forbes felt it would be best to have contractors take it down because of crane rental and liability. County Attorney Forbes again noted we had two choices – we can keep the equipment and we take it down or they assume the liability and take it down and keep the equipment. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, that we let them take it down. Motion carried unanimously.

Closed Session: Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to go into closed session for Personnel pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, condition of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to recess until 5:00 P.M. Monday, June 8, 2015. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 2 hr. 40 min.

