

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONER convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, May 5, 2015 beginning at 6:00 P.M. in the board room, Suite 209 of the Anson County Government Center.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair

- Bobby Sikes
- Dr. Jim Sims
- Vancine Sturdivant
- Jarvis Woodburn

Board members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer
Jeff Waisner, Parks & Rec.
Rodney Diggs, Emergency Management
Larry Newton, Assessor

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom welcomed those present to their first meeting in May. Chairman Baucom remarked that they were going into the budget season and expects they will meet regularly until the end of June. Chairman Baucom voiced that she was pleased to see so many present. Chairman Baucom felt that those present may have seen on the news that a Board of Commissioners was sued for having an Invocation before their meetings. Commissioner Sims shared that he had not heard about that law and felt we should not talk about it. Chairman Baucom wished that she had not heard about it and asked that we take a moment for silent prayer as they prepare their hearts and minds to do the business of Anson County. Commissioner Sims favored doing what we've always done until somebody tells us to stop. Chairman Baucom voiced that they would and called on Jeff Waisner to deliver the **Invocation**.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom asked to add a Budget Amendment for DSS Share the Warmth Program. Chairman Baucom asked to add Elaine Scarborough to Item 2, number e. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that she had a matter for closed session. Chairman Baucom reminded board members of their Ethics Policy and if they find they have a conflict of interest or the appearance of a conflict with anything we have to deal with this evening let it be known and they will work with it. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Sims, to approve the Agenda with the two additions. Motion carried unanimously

Chairman Baucom stated that she was going to go out of order here and asked Dr. Puryear to come forward. Chairman Baucom then read the following Resolution:

RESOLUTION HONORING THE RETIREMENT OF GEORGE MONTAGUE PURYEAR, DDS

WHEREAS, in June 1973 Dr. Bridger saw the need for another dentist in Anson County and offered Dr. Puryear office space to start his practice in Anson County; and

WHEREAS, in August of 1982 the building where they practiced burned and they along with many friends, braced the floor of the former Barker Apartments to hold their equipment and moved in temporarily and in early 1983 Dr. Puryear moved to his present location on Moore's Lake Road; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Puryear has been a member of the Anson County Chamber of Commerce, the Civitan Club, the Anson County Historical Society, the Anson County Arts Council, in addition to serving on the Anson County Board of Health, Anson Technical College Board of Trustees and answering calls from the local hospital where he cared for patients with dental problems and those in emergency situations;

WHEREAS, Dr. Puryear also was active in the Anson County School System, volunteering yearly through the Crest Program to do sealants and cleaning for the school children; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Puryear has been a member of First United Methodist Church since September 1973 and has served in many capacities along with serving as a Scout Master.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Anson County Board of Commissioners hereby acknowledge with deepest appreciation the many significant contributions made to this county and its citizens by Dr. Puryear and urge all citizens to join them in wishing him well in his retirement.

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Dr. Puryear commented that he hadn't said anything for 42 years and thanked the commissioners for the recognition. Dr. Puryear stated that he wanted to share this with his wife, Becky. Dr. Puryear noted the saying that behind very good man is a good woman and for all these year's she's been there. Dr. Puryear added that she worked in the school system but due to health reasons she has been at home. Dr. Puryear stated that now he has a chance to take care of her. Dr. Puryear received an applause and a standing ovation.

Appearances:

Victoria Whitt – Sandhills Mental Health: Ms. Whitt thanked board members for the opportunity to come before them. Ms. Whitt recognized Vice Chair Streater, adding that he was the person appointed to the Sandhills Center Board of Directors by the Anson County Board of Commissioners. Ms. Whitt shared that they were well represented on the Sandhills Center Board and they appreciate his service. Ms. Whitt noted that Vice Chair Streater was a faithful member, always there and they appreciate all he has done through the years. Ms. Whitt stated that she was here to submit their request for county general funding. Ms. Whitt stated that she does this every year and this year at their meeting on March 10 the board made the decision that once again, for the 11th year in a row, they were not requesting additional funding from

their counties. Ms. Whitt stated that she was here to request the same amount they requested last year and the past several years and that amount was \$55,000. Ms. Whitt reminded board members that all this money stays in Anson County just as with their other eight counties the funding the county gives stays in the county. Ms. Whitt explained that the funding goes to support the crisis walk-in clinic here that has been operated since 2009 by DayMark Recovery Services. Ms. Whitt noted that without the support of the counties they would not be able to have an actual brick and mortar place for people to go that are in crisis. Ms. Whitt stated that with the funding they are able to add some state and federal funding in order to support the program. Ms. Whitt shared with board members a handout showing during the course of the year the number of people that have been service through that program. Ms. Whitt called attention to the breakdown between Medicaid and indigent folks adding that they now manage Medicaid dollars for the state and the Medicaid dollars cover services for folks with Medicaid however those indigent clients would not have been served as timely as they were if not for the county funding they were able to put into this program. Ms. Whitt also stated that there are nine staff members at the Anson Unit and seven of those are Anson County residents. Ms. Whitt also shared a quarterly report with board members asking that if they have any leisure time they take the opportunity to review. Commissioner Sims thanked Ms. Whitt for not asking for more funding. Chairman Baucom thanked Ms. Whitt for all they do.

Phyllis Dunlap – Anson County Arts Council: Ms. Dunlap referenced the article in last week's paper saying they were very honored that the Town of Marshville has asked them to join them in having a 30th anniversary celebration of the filming of Steven Spielberg's *The Color Purple*. Ms. Dunlap stated that the Anson County Arts Council has brought other organizations on board and asked those present to check their website and Facebook page for a list of events. Ms. Dunlap felt *The Color Purple* event was just one more example of the kind of work the Anson County Arts Council does. Ms. Dunlap stated that they were at work not only in our schools, in their gallery and the Ansonia Theatre but in the community as well. Ms. Dunlap pointed out that they work to bring positive things to Anson County. Ms. Dunlap shared that whenever possible they partner with others to make their impact even stronger. Ms. Dunlap felt supporting the Anson County Arts Council helps the Arts Council support the citizens of Anson County. Ms. Dunlap asked board members to consider the positive impact the Arts Council has on this county and help them continue to be a strong force by including them in the budget for next year. Ms. Dunlap then shared a letter with each board members regarding *The Color Purple* ceremony. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that her husband was in *The Color Purple* in the railroad scene.

Daniel Weil: Mr. Weil was unable to attend tonight.

Truett Wright – Tax Issue: Mr. Wright stated that in the past few weeks everything that you read in the paper has been about unpaid taxes, taxes due, etc. Mr. Wright stated that after doing an investigation he found the way county taxes are

collected is out of line. Mr. Wright stated that in once instance the more land you own the county gives you a huge discount. Mr. Wright stated that if you have two acres of land and your neighbor has 40 acres of land, that 40 acres gets a \$1,900 discount right off the bat. Mr. Wright felt if the county taxes were more evenly distributed for the large property owners and the small, we would have money and we would not have to have chemicals hauled in and dumped in a landfill that will eventually destroy our county. Mr. Wright noted his other issue involves the tax office. Mr. Wright explained that in 2009 he had property removed from his listing and placed in the name of the lease purchaser. Mr. Wright noted the county hand delivered the bill to them and when the lease purchaser told them he did not own the property her reply was nobody told her. Mr. Wright added that the tax department did not ask him if he had sold the property they just took it on their own to remove it. Mr. Wright said in 2011 a tax employee showed up to measure a building he was building and he asked if they were coming to measure why mail out forms in December to show improvements. Mr. Wright noted her answer was she was sent out by tax employee Williams. Mr. Wright said he told her the next time she comes out to come in a marked car and have some type identification to show she was from the tax department. Mr. Wright stated that in 2014 he had land in the farm program that was removed from the program on that tax employee's word. Mr. Wright stated that he went to the office about this and was told it was removed on William's say so. Mr. Wright stated that he was not contacted about this and asked the reason it was removed. Mr. Wright stated that the employee said he had horses on the property. Mr. Wright stated that he was selling hay to qualify his land in the farm program. Mr. Wright stated that he presented receipts to Mr. Newton to show he was selling hay but he would not accept them. Mr. Wright commented on his meeting with the Board of E&R on April 21st of this year and found they did not know enough about the land values to do anything. Mr. Wright feels we need to look at our tax program and figure out where we are going wrong. Mr. Wright shared that he talked with the state people in Raleigh and they stated that per state law land inherited or acquired that was in the farm program and stays in the farm program has no deferred taxes due until that land comes out of the farm program. Mr. Wright stated that in 2014 he paid \$588 in deferred taxes and the tax bill he got in November of 2014 was for deferred taxes in the amount of \$2700 because his land was removed from the farm program with no contact made with him. Mr. Wright stated that he expects something to be done about this. Mr. Wright added that he has been following the advice of a federal Judge who has become very interested in this case.

*Commissioner Sikes joined the meeting at this point.

Mr. Wright noted that Anson County ranked 84th in health care and Union County is ranked 4th. Mr. Wright felt it was time for everybody to take an interest in preserving our county for future generations. Mr. Wright felt board members had the power and authority and asked them to get started and save our county.

Phyllis Pope spoke saying she was brought up with a Code of Ethics and felt that might not mean a lot to many people but it means a lot to her. Ms. Pope stated that she would never remove someone from anything without notification. Ms. Pope added that if she sent a letter and it was not received in the mail she would send a registered letter or pick up the phone and call.

Elaine Scarborough: Ms. Scarborough presented a packet of information to board members. Ms. Scarborough, Executive Director of the Anson County Partnership for Children, shared that the Partnership was dedicated to helping make Anson County a better place to be a child and to raise a child. Ms. Scarborough shared that they have served children and families in Anson County since 1997 and have brought more than \$24 million into the county in Smart Start, More at Four, North Carolina Pre-K and private funding. Ms. Scarborough pointed out that the Partnership has requested funding from Anson County government only once previously and that was in 1996 before she was hired. Ms. Scarborough noted that the request for \$5,000 was required from local government in order to receive Smart Start funding for any county. Ms. Scarborough thanked board members for the support they pledged a few weeks ago through a Letter of Support for the Nurse-Family Partnership Program, adding that tonight she was requesting \$25,000 to help the Partnership bring this program to Anson County. Ms. Scarborough explained that the Nurse-Family Partnership, or NFP, was a nationally recognized community health program that works to transform the lives of low-income mothers pregnant with their first child. Ms. Scarborough stated that Anson County faces critical challenges to the health and well-being of first-time mothers and their babies. Ms. Scarborough then shared the following statistics for Anson County: 14.1% of babies born in Anson County in 2013 were preterm compared to 11.4% in North Carolina as a whole; 14.1% of babies born in Anson County in 2013 had a low birth weight compared to 8.8% in North Carolina as a whole; 16.8% of babies born in Anson County in 2013 were born to mothers who smoked during pregnancy compared to 10.3% in North Carolina as a whole; 53.8% of babies born in Anson County in 2013 were breastfed at discharge compared to 77.1% in North Carolina as a whole; 4.2% of mothers who gave birth in Anson County in 2013 were under 18 years of age compared to 2.1% in North Carolina as a whole; 21% of mothers who gave birth in Anson County in 2013 had less than a high school education compared to 17% in North Carolina as a whole. Ms. Scarborough pointed out that a child's first 2,000 days were critical to their future health and well-being. Ms. Scarborough noted it was during this period that children's brains are built and developed and it's what sets the stage for a healthy, prosperous life. Ms. Scarborough shared that the Nurse-Family Partnership Program works to improve these statistics by putting children on the path to success from day one. Ms. Scarborough added that the Nurse-Family Partnership Programs' registered nurses conduct regular in-home visits to help provide the care and education mothers need to get their children off to a healthy start. Home visits start during pregnancy and continue until the child is two years old. Ms. Scarborough remarked that during the home visits nurses work with moms to achieve three main goals: 1) to improve pregnancy outcomes, 2) to improve child health and development and 3) to improve

the economic self-sufficiency of families. Ms. Scarborough stated that across North Carolina, initial results from the North Carolina Nurse-Family Partnership Program have shown excellent results and offered the following: 89% of babies were born full term, 89% were born at a healthy weight and 72% of NFP mothers had no subsequent pregnancies at program completion (2.5 years). Comparatively, in a national study of low-income moms, 39% of pregnancies occurred within 18 months of a previous birth and 44% of mothers who entered the program without a high school diploma or GED have since earned one. Ms. Scarborough noted that another 26% were working to obtain one. Ms. Scarborough stated that with support from public and private funders, the Nurse-Family Partnership Program continues across the state and is currently serving families in 24 North Carolina counties and they would like to add Anson County to the list. Ms. Scarborough noted one reason the Nurse-Family Partnership Program has been able to expand across the state is because of randomized controlled clinical trials that show the effectiveness of the program. Ms. Scarborough pointed out the following as a result of the program: 79% reduction in preterm delivery for women who smoke, 59% reduction in child arrests by age 15, 56% reduction in emergency room visits for accidents and poisonings, 48% reduction in child abuse and neglect, 50% reduction in language delays of children at age 21 months, 32% fewer subsequent pregnancies, 83% increase in labor force participation by the mother, 20% reduction in welfare use and 46% increase in father's presence in the household. Ms. Scarborough noted that a RAND Corporation study found that every dollar invested in the Nurse-Family Partnership programs yields up to five dollars in return. Ms. Scarborough asked board members to please remember that this represents an opportunity for mothers in our community to have a second chance and it represents the potential to make real lasting changes here in Anson County. Ms. Scarborough noted that for children it is an opportunity to put them on a path toward success starting at birth and a fresh start for mothers. Ms. Scarborough thanked board members again for their support and their letter of support for the program and asked that they carefully consider the request. County Attorney Forbes asked if she said 4% of mothers in Anson County breastfed with Ms. Scarborough saying it was 54%. Chairman Baucom thanked Ms. Scarborough for her appearance.

Public Addresses to the Board:

Dr. R. V. Liles, Jr. stated that his family has been here since 1723. Dr. Liles stated that he did not know exactly or how the Anson Sanatorium was built and he does not have a plan for saving it other than what he was going to say. Dr. Liles did not know who built the Sanatorium but it was completed about 1916. Chairman Baucom was of the opinion that Bobby Little's family was very involved in building the original hospital. Dr. Liles shared that about 1916 Dr. Charles Allen finished his surgery residency and the chief of surgery called him to his office and told him that he was good and could be the best in the country and asked why he wanted to go to some Podunk southern town to practice surgery. Dr. Liles shared that Dr. Allen felt those people deserved the best and he came to Wadesboro. Dr. Liles stated that about 1935-37 a local man by the name of Thelda Hightower had finished his pediatric surgery

residency and he came to work with Dr. Allen for about three years. Dr. Liles added that Dr. Smethie and McKinnon came in 1948. Dr. Liles stated that his information is that Bobby's grandfather left \$50,000 in his Will for a foundation or hospital fund and Dr. Charles suggested we have a bond issue not to exceed \$150,000 and with that Dr. Charles built what we call the new hospital which is next door to the Sanatorium. Dr. Liles shared that Dr. Allen told him there was not one penny of federal or state money in that hospital. Dr. Liles shared that Mr. Herman Hardison was the first Chairman of the Board of the new hospital that was built between 1951 and 1954. Dr. Liles stated that Ms. Finley was at Columbia and Dr. Allen sent for her and she set up the first nursing school and she graduated over 100 nurses. Dr. Liles referenced a book Lucy Davis put together with 13 ½ pages of doctor descriptions who were either born in Anson County or who practiced in the county or both. Dr. Liles felt for a county that is small in population, rural and relatively poor, if possible we should save the Sanatorium. Dr. Liles felt there would be no problem getting in on the Historical Properties. Dr. Liles shared that Dr. Puryear has been every active in the Anson County Historical Society and he almost single handedly has been keeping up the old Sneedsboro Cemetery. Dr. Liles thanked the board for their time. Chairman Baucom thanked Dr. Liles for his interest.

Roshunda Terry, the 4-H Agent for Anson County, introduced their newest member Samuel Cole, Jr. Ms. Terry stated that he was now their new 4-H Program Director for our only adjudicated court appointed youth program in the county, which is 4-H Youth Promise. Ms. Terry shared that Samuel was a native of Anson County and a graduate of Anson High School in 2007 and a graduate of UNC-Chapel Hill in 2011. Ms. Terry noted that he started April 27th and prior to coming here he was a math teacher and tutor. Chairman Baucom stated that they look forward to working with him and they know he will be successful.

Janet Dyson addressed the board saying she knows they hear a lot of complaining and she is trying to look at her situation from their side of the fence. Ms. Dyson challenged the board to look at these situations about zoning and the Ordinance from their side and look at the citizens coming to them asking for help. Ms. Dyson stated that so many people want things in the county like jobs and good things. Ms. Dyson mentioned zoning and noise with Chairman Baucom saying they have requested Ordinances on Nuisance and Loud Noises from other counties and they will be reviewing those to see where we can tighten or do something to help. Chairman Baucom noted that they do listen and they hear her and there are some things they can fix and some things they can't. Ms. Dyson felt there should be a consequence to the ordinance and fines. Ms. Dyson felt Cabarrus County had a good one. Ms. Dyson shared that Saturday and Sunday she had to leave home. County Attorney Forbes advised Ms. Dyson that she could go to the magistrate's Office and file a nuisance lawsuit or some other private action. County Attorney Forbes explained that the board represents the citizens of Anson County and she has the right to do this or hire an attorney to do this. County Attorney Forbes stated that she could also make the

complaint with the magistrate. Ms. Dyson stated that she tried that and was told it would not work. County Attorney Forbes asked if she hired an attorney to do this with Ms. Dyson saying she went to the magistrate's office and a major with the Sheriff's office was in there and told her that if she went to court she would probably lose anyway. County Attorney Forbes then asked if she filed a complaint with Ms. Dyson answering not after he said that. County Attorney Forbes urged her to seek the other remedies. Ms. Dyson asked why other counties and even the Town of Polkton have an Ordinance with a fine for violating the Ordinance. County Attorney Forbes noted we do have an Ordinance and you have to go to the magistrate to get it enforced. Ms. Dyson noted that was not what she was told. Ms. Dyson then felt we were not willing to change the Ordinance so at 4 o'clock in the morning when the radio is going they can call the Sheriff's office and have it resolved then rather than having to wait. County Attorney Forbes stated that the Ordinance addresses that you can call a noise complaint right then and if the deputy sees fit to do that at that time and they are in violation of the Ordinance then that is it. County Attorney Forbes stated that if they do this at another time and you can record then you can sue in private nuisance. Sheriff Reid was present and felt the County Attorney was correct. Sheriff Reid stated that he has personally answered her call and the dogs were not barking until they pulled in the driveway and he's never heard the music. Sheriff Reid shared that he sent officers there to talk with Ms. Dyson but they have never heard it. Sheriff Reid stated that he has a copy of the Cabarrus County Ordinance. Chairman Baucom felt we needed to get a decibel meter in order to measure with accuracy the noise level. Sheriff Reid stated that they did not have a decibel meter. Sheriff Reid pointed out that when they quietly go in the driveway the dogs are not barking. Commissioner Sturdivant asked the location of the music with Ms. Dyson answering it was coming from across the road. Ms. Dyson stated that she did have a consultation with an Attorney who told her the word nuisance carried more weight than noise. County Attorney Forbes again told her that she could sue in private nuisance. Ms. Dyson shared that she called the Sheriff's office a couple of weeks ago and the deputy she spoke with told her the only thing they could do is ask them to turn down the radio as they could not make them because there is no consequence to the Ordinance. Ms. Dyson shared that the Polkton Ordinance gives a warning the first time and the second time they are given a ticket.

Jeff Boothby, TDA: Mr. Boothby shared with board members that the Tourism Development Authority was moving forward with the project along with local stakeholders involving municipalities and local tourism related organizations. Mr. Boothby shared that they have been looking at a design group that is associated with DOT and over the next few weeks they will be meeting with local business leaders and some of the towns. Mr. Boothby was hopeful in the next month or so they will have a more specific proposal for the board that would talk about what TDA would like to see Anson County make a commitment on. Mr. Boothby stated that they were talking about way finder signs and getting on the branding. Mr. Boothby stated that the main thing they want to do is to be able to draw the 18,000 to 25,000 people a day that go down highway 74 to stop in Wadesboro and the other townships. Mr. Boothby shared

that they hope to see some beautiful signs and art work going up in the town and around the county that will help us be set apart from some of our neighbors as to why people should come here and spend money. Chairman Baucom commented that this idea has been talked about ever since there was a TDA and she is glad to see it getting off the ground.

Chairman Baucom called for a ten minute break. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if she could make an announcement before the break. Commissioner Sturdivant then recognized Marty who announced that Lilesville Fire Department was having an all you can eat breakfast Saturday for \$6 a plate.

After the break, **Anson County Vehicle Use Policy** was the next item on the Agenda. Chairman Baucom asked board members to call in their changes and they would be presented next month.

Anson Agri-Civic Center Update: Ms. Rywak stated that the facilities committee starting meeting in January. Ms. Rywak noted that at the March meeting they established a time line for moving forward and on April 2 she sent out the Request for Qualifications for architectural services for the new facility. Ms. Rywak reported that an actual packet was mailed to 11 architectural and engineering firms and it was also in both local papers for two weeks to meet the legal requirements for posting. Ms. Rywak stated that they have received six letters of intent, two inquiries and one no thank you. Ms. Rywak noted that April 30th was the deadline to send in questions. Ms. Rywak reported she received two and sent responses to everyone that sent a letter of intent so they would all be working with the same information. Ms. Rywak noted that May 15th was the deadline to submit their statements of qualifications for consideration and on May 18th the facilities committee will meet to review the applications. Ms. Rywak shared that she was currently working with Farm Service Agency, NRCS and Brown Creek Soil and Water to update the office space needs from the original plan that was done by Steve Allan in 2008. Ms. Rywak reported that May 29th was the facilities committee deadline to have a short list of who we would like to invite for an interview and presentation. Mrs. Rywak noted that once the short list is in place she plans to have the feasibility and program plan updated with the current most accurate space needs to submit to them. Mrs. Rywak reported that the plan is to give the firms the month of June to prepare their presentation, interview in July and we hope to have a recommendation for the board at the August meeting as to who the facilities committee recommends to be the architectural firm for the new Agri-Civic Center. Ms. Rywak reported that the capital campaign is currently at \$549,500 towards their 2.5 million dollar goal. Ms. Rywak shared a copy with board members of the breakdown of contributions. Ms. Rywak shared that she will be on medical leave starting June 2 for knee replacement surgery and her staff has been forewarned of what they will have to do while she is gone. Ms. Rywak stated that they anticipate Roshunda Terry will be acting county director while she is out. Commissioner Sims shared that he has had knee replacement and felt it would take Ms. Rywak a few weeks to get where she could

move fluidly and get around. Commissioner Sturdivant commented that she would be fine. Commissioner Woodburn commented that she was not old enough to have worn out a knee with Ms. Rywak stating that she had an injury in the eighth grade and had total reconstruction on her ACL and she's had orthoscopic. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that she recently had knee surgery and she came home and went dancing so don't worry about it.

Audit Contract for 2014/2015: Ms. Randall noted that the contract included in the packet was from the LGC. Ms. Randall referred to page 19 in the Agenda packet noting the price listed is per the bill approved by the board. Chairman Baucom asked if this had already been approved with Ms. Randall answering they approved the bid but the contract has to be approved for the June 30, 2015 audit. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the contract as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Health Insurance Renewal for 2015/2016: Ms. Randall shared that the management team as well as Chairman Baucom and Vice Chair Streater met with a representative from Blue Cross a couple of times and included what we felt were the best two options in the Agenda packet. Ms. Randall shared that if we continued with the current plan the premium per month would increase 28% and because of that we had to look at other options. Ms. Randall noted that option 1 shows a change in the co-pay for primary care doctors as well as specialist, urgent care visit and a fairly drastic change in the prescription drug plan. Ms. Randall stated that option 2 was a little more expensive and the only difference in this plan is the prescription drug plan. Ms. Randall noted that in everything they priced the prescription drug plan was changed as that seems to be where our major savings is. Commissioner Woodburn asked if all options were from Blue Cross Blue Shield with Ms. Randall answering yes. Vice Chair Streater asked if we were currently paying \$692 per month with Commissioner Sims thinking he saw \$800 and something. Chairman Baucom noted the original renewal rate was almost \$900 per month and Bonnie, Tiffany and Rita would argue with a sign post and got it down to what you see here. Chairman Baucom referred to prescriptions noting a member would pay up to \$100 a month for brand prescriptions and generics was a \$10 co-pay. Ms. Randall noted it could be up to \$100 and it should not be more than that. Vice Chair Streater asked if that was per prescription with Ms. Randall answering yes. Ms. Randall explained that if the retail price of the drug was \$75 they would pay \$75 but if it were \$125 they would pay \$100. Vice Chair Streater commented that at this rate the proposal would be for the county to continue to pay for health insurance as in the past. Ms. Randall felt with the rising cost in health insurance it might be time to set a cap at what they are willing to pay. Ms. Randall noted if we kept our current plan the cost would be \$886.80 per employee. Chairman Baucom felt as we get into the budget process we may have to look at some employee participation. Vice Chair Streater felt at this rate the county should be able to cover it. Vice Chair Streater felt next year we might have to do something but he didn't think we needed to this year. Chairman Baucom felt we needed to make a note that next year we will start early in the year and

get bids from different vendors. Vice Chair Streater added that Representative Brody said that in January he would see if he could get us on the state health plan. Vice Chair Streater shared that he talked with a Montgomery County Commissioner and found that Justin Burr got them on it and they are paying a little over \$400 a month per employee. Commissioner Woodburn asked if it was comparable coverage with Commissioner Sims answering yes. Ms. Randall was of the opinion that in order to sign our contract with BCBS we needed to approve an option fairly quickly. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to approve Blue Cross Blue Shield Option 1 on the plan. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom offered thanks to all three ladies.

ACTS Feasibility Study: The Clerk noted that at last month's meeting board members were going to visit the property. The Clerk shared that they need this approval in order to move forward with trying to seek funding for Anson County. The Clerk felt it would be 2017 maybe 2018 before funding was available. The Clerk noted that this doesn't mean you are going to do this project and board members can decide later where on the property they want to locate this facility but they need to approve the location. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if it was the property below the high school with the Clerk answering yes. Mr. Boothby felt with the Agri-Civic center having more land than they need even with a fairground he offered the idea of taking this ACTS transportation building and lumping it in with the Agri-Civic center and that may allow that project to move forward a little quicker or be expanded to a slightly larger scale to accommodate that which may save us six figures. County Attorney Forbes voiced that it may conflict with the federal funding. Ms. James offered that this project was 90% federal/state funding and it has to be independent. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to approve the site on the Anson High School Road as presented by the ACTS Feasibility Study committee. Mr. Bradford from the audience asked if a cost benefit analysis had been performed for the construction of this new facility as well as maintenance and upkeep cost over 20 years and then bounced against the rent cost for a 20 year period where we have no liability or maintenance or upkeep cost. County Attorney Forbes noted that was part of the study and the Clerk noting the current location could be sold any day. It was noted the present facility was too small as well as other things. Chairman Baucom shared that this program was a blend of state and federal funding and we will pay 10% but the cost of the land would cover our 10%. Chairman Baucom noted that they've done this all over the state and it is a good deal for Anson and the property has to be approved. Chairman Baucom shared that the group looked at property near the proposed Agri-Civic Center location but they have specific guidelines for the land to be used. Chairman Baucom likes the idea of it being off all that commercial property on 74 so we can reserve it for commercial use. Chairman Baucom stated that a lot of thought was put into this and the point was well taken. Motion carried unanimously.

Date for Budget Public Hearing: Chairman Baucom asked the optimum time for the Public Hearing with the clerk saying the June meeting will be on the 2nd, at

which time the budget will be presented. The clerk stated that General Statutes require 10 days public notice of the Public Hearing and suggested either June 11 or 16. Commissioner Sims commented that he would not be available the 16th with Commissioner Woodburn saying the 11th was a better date for him as well. Chairman Baucom shared that she would be out of town some in June and June 11th was good for her. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to hold the budget Public Hearing on June 11th at 6 PM. Commissioner Sikes voiced that he would prefer 9 PM. Motion carried unanimously.

Dates for Budget Workshops: Chairman Baucom noted that board members needed to set dates for meetings to discuss the proposed budget for 2015/16. Chairman Baucom added that this was done annually after the presentation of the proposed budget. Vice Chair Streater felt they might need a lot of them. Chairman Baucom asked if board members wanted to schedule one after the Public Hearing on the 11th with Commissioner Woodburn feeling they needed to. Chairman Baucom asked to schedule from there as needed. Vice Chair Streater felt if Rita had a rabbit in the hat they might not need those meetings. Ms. James commented that she was not wearing a hat today so there was no rabbit.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom noted that last month the board talked about putting an antenna on the water tank and they decided against it because it looked like it would not be good for the water tank. Chairman Baucom shared that apparently there has been further study and instead of putting it on the rail around the tank they want to put it on top of the tank. Chairman Baucom asked board members if that would be alright. Commissioner Sims commented that he would like someone like Mr. Streater, Mr. Waisner or somebody that knows about these type things to tell him about it. Ms. Randall shared that at the last meeting it was not the recommendation of Utilities Services, our tank maintenance company, to put the antenna up as proposed. Ms. Randall explained that it seems some wires were cross between Utility Services and AT&T and the drawings Utility Services had were not complete. Ms. Randall stated that they have since gotten the information they needed from AT&T and at this point it looks like Utility Services will approve it. Ms. Randall noted that the antennas there now are dangerous and the bolts are sheered and could fall in a storm. Ms. Randall stated that Utility Services is now saying it would be safe to add brackets to the tank as AT&T asked and they will remove the antennas currently on the handrails. Vice Chair Streater asked if Utility Services would give us a letter with this recommendation with Ms. Randall answering yes. County Attorney Forbes suggest the motion say subject to the letter. Commissioner Sims favored having the letter before voting and asked if we could say someone will be responsible if things don't go right. Vice Chair Streater suggested having the letter sent to the County Attorney and go from there. Ms. James suggested making it subject to the County Attorney's approval. Dr. Sidor was present and offered that the college has a water tank that does not hold water and offered that as an option if approved by the Trustees. Commissioner Woodburn noted the concern was the condition of the current antennas that have brackets and bolts that are sheered

and the antenna could fall and asked if that happened would there be damage to the water tank or is it exposure to liability if someone got hurt or property damage. Ms. Randall answered that it could damage other antennas already on the property and some of that is 9-1-1 related equipment. Commissioner Woodburn felt the other issue was if we have to act tonight we need to know we are going to get the letter and he understands it will be contingent on the County Attorney getting the letter and him approving the letter. Chairman Baucom asked what kind of liability we have with the current situation with those antenna's being somewhat iffy security wise. Chairman Baucom asked the County Attorney what kind of liability we would have if one fell off. County Attorney Forbes stated that it would depend on the negligence factor of it. County Attorney Forbes stated that if we allow someone to put something on there he believes we should show that we did nothing negligent we merely provided a sound tower that they incorrectly attached something to. County Attorney Forbes added that if our tower were to fall that would be our fault but if the antenna falls or if it causes our water tank to fall and it was because of their actions it would be his opinion it would be on them. Vice Chair Streater felt all this should be stated in a new contract. County Attorney Forbes answered yes and that was why he wanted to look at the indemnification language. Chairman Baucom asked if this includes them securing the insecure antennas with Commissioner Woodburn saying they would be removed. Chairman Baucom noted the options were to approve as is, not approve it at all or approve it on the condition that the County Attorney review it and says its ok. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, that we approve the installation of the new antenna based on the report from Utilities Service contingent on the County Attorney getting a copy of the letter indemnifying Anson County. Motion carried unanimously. Commissioner Sturdivant asked the revenue generated with Ms. Randall answering \$25,104. Chairman Baucom added that plan B would be to look at the water tower with a hole in it at the Lockhart-Taylor site.

Truck for Rodney: Mr. Diggs shared that we've received several big items from the state over the past few years and this year we received a 150KW mobile generator for the primary use of providing a shelter in a storm. Mr. Diggs added that we also received a 500 gallon fuel trailer. Mr. Diggs explained that with the two larger items he needs a 3/4 ton truck to pull these trailers. Mr. Diggs noted that over the past several years we have received right at \$100,000 worth of equipment from the state. Mr. Diggs stated that up next on the state grant program was either a mobile trailer type thing that turns into a house or shelter or a light tower. Mr. Diggs noted that we could be getting a \$57,000 truck for about \$20,000. Mr. Diggs explained that \$20,000 would be county funding and the rest would be paid through the Emergency Management performance grant. Commissioner Sims asked how often he thought he would use the truck with Mr. Diggs saying it would depend on storms requiring use of the generator but it was also mobile and could be used by the Sheriff at a crime scene if needed. Commissioner Sims asked if he had any other option other than buying a truck with Mr. Diggs answering no. Vice Chair Streater asked the cost to rent one when needed thinking it would be a lot cheaper with Mr. Diggs saying he did not know. Mr.

Diggs also commented that at 3:00 o'clock in the morning he did not know how we could get one if there was a call for it. Vice Chair Streater asked the fuel mileage with Mr. Diggs saying he talked with the dealer and he's been told 14-15 miles to a gallon. Vice Chair Streater asked if the water department had anything that could pull this equipment with Mr. Diggs answering he did not know. Chairman Baucom was of the understanding that it would stay on the truck with Mr. Diggs explaining that the generator was on a trailer that would be pulled by the truck. Mr. Diggs did say that we could potentially have to return all this to the state if we don't have a way to pull it. Vice Chair Streater asked if we could check with the water department thinking the budget will be tight next year. Commissioner Woodburn asked if we could investigate all other options and see what other vehicles the county already has. Mr. Diggs then shared a handout with board members regarding the purchase of the truck. Mr. Diggs understands there will be no money from the general fund to purchase the truck. Ms. James felt an important thing to remember was that if we were to have a major occurrence in the county Mr. Diggs has to have a truck large enough to pull it to wherever we may have to open a shelter and it will require a truck this heavy to pull it. Motion by Commissioner Sims that we make this a priority in the budget after study and then make a decision. Motion seconded by Commissioner Woodburn. Commissioner Sikes asked Mr. Diggs if he had a deadline to purchase the truck with Mr. Diggs answering no but if it is not approved in this budget he will put it in the upcoming budget. Commissioner Sims asked to restate his motion: as we prepare our budget for the coming year I propose that we make this particular truck a priority in those discussions. Commissioner Woodburn noted his second still stands. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom commented that as we go through the budget process this will be a priority item after we do all the stuff we have to do.

Consent Agenda: Chairman Baucom reminded board members of the Budget Amendment for DSS that was added at the beginning. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to approve as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Minutes: approved minutes dated March 16, 2015, March 17, 2015 and March 21, 2015

Tax Releases:

Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments

5/5/2015 for April Month End							
20693-20695	Bishop, John	2014	1,504.74	27360-27362	Real Taxes	Releases	Qualified for Farm Deferment
20672-20681	Robinson, Augustus	05-2014	1,287.69		Real Taxes	Releases	MH Destroyed - Improper Billing
20696-20699	Rocky Pee Dee LLC	2014	5,775.56	27355-27358	Real Taxes	Releases	Qualified for Farm Deferment
20708-20711	Rocky Pee Dee LLC	2014	11,992.58	27339-27342	Real Taxes	Releases	Qualified for Farm Deferment
20704-20707	Rocky Pee Dee LLC	2014	6,672.53	27343-27346	Real Taxes	Releases	Qualified for Farm Deferment
20700-20703	Rocky Pee Dee LLC	2014	13,755.75	27351-27354	Real Taxes	Releases	Qualified for Farm Deferment
20682-20689	Royal, Franklin	06-2014	83.53		Real Taxes	Releases	MH Destroyed - Improper Billing
20690-20692	Thies, John	2015	71.73	27413-27415	Real Taxes	Releases	No Sale - Not recorded
			\$ 41,144.11				
			-		Real Taxes	Refund	
			-				
			41,144.11				*****
Tax & Tag Together Refunds							
13272782	Almond, Erskine	2014	118.72	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Over Assessment	
19205817	American Builders	2014	49.67	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
19205811	American Builders	2014	33.87	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
19205816	American Builders	2014	17.83	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
14085356	Armendariz, Kimberly	2014	31.00	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
10765942	Bennett, Takesha S	2014	24.94	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Vehicle Sold	
207747	Burns, Preston	2014	38.93	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
24219169	Cox, Marty P	2014	38.79	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
13233190	Dial, Melissa T	2014	177.57	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
13129813	Diggs, James L	2014	16.84	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
9386505	Diggs, William C	2014	3.92	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Vehicle Sold	
13233155	Gordon, Eddie F	2014	14.38	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
207741	Howard, Charlotte	2014	77.76	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
25576947	Howell, Joel Jr	2014	11.63	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
209209	Jordan, Sandra K	2014	51.79	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
13389589	Kelly, Steven D	2014	118.53	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
2022012	Leak, John H	2014	3.07	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
13815466	Martin, Patricia H	2014	63.93	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
22816762	McCall, Tamara	2014	6.98	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
13815427	Mejia, Bernardo	2014	77.08	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
13898219	Morgan, Raymond E	2014	34.41	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Over Assessment	
14092333	Phifer, Dennis B	2014	259.61	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
14085472	Powell, Rosie C	2014	9.59	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
19206139	Randall Transport Srvs	2014	21.13	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
13589961	Rivers, Delephine	2014	9.63	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Situs Error	
209093	Taylor, Jeremy D	2014	81.59	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Vehicle Sold	
19208587	Timmons, Diane L	2014	5.57	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Tag Surrender	
22207783	Wright, Joel R	2014	3.56	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	Vehicle Sold	
			\$ 1,402.32				*****

Tax Collector's Report:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014

April 30, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Year 2014	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89
Year 2013	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51
Year 2012	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23
Year 2011	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,989.93
Year 2010	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57
Year 2009	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33
Year 2008	750,653.41	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Year 2014	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19
Year 2013	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68
Year 2012	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62
Year 2011	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72
Year 2010	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71
Year 2009	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64
Year 2008	633,646.80	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Year 2014	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%
Year 2013	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%
Year 2012	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%
Year 2011	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%
Year 2010	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%
Year 2009	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%
Year 2008	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%
Year 2007	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%
Year 2006	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%
Year 2005	17.64%	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr
Year 2014	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39
Year 2013	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08
Year 2012	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.97
Year 2011	10,421,780.20	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12
History of Past Due Mailings	10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	
Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Year 2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64				
	Jan 2015		Feb 2015		Mar 2015		Apr 2015	
Accounts Receivable by Type	#	\$\$ Due Now						
Active Garnishments	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52	133	57,433.93	133	57,568.43
Accts with Agreements	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49	38	10,921.43	38	10,974.37
Accts in Foreclosure	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48	269	119,735.52	349	171,475.46
Bankruptcies	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11	80	48,140.94	80	48,121.93
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91	9,688	2,961,358.28	9,513	2,870,652.38
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,950	1,504,270.24	3,682	1,371,366.41
**Red = AR Dollars	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96	14,158	4,701,860.34	13,795	4,530,158.98
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	11	7,207.67	2	198.45	3	497.60	4	988.32
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	176,106.96	-	176,403.69	-	174,483.46	-	174,014.33
	Jan 2014		Feb 2014		Mar 2014		Apr 2014	
Accounts Receivable by Type	#	\$\$ Due Now						
Active Garnishments	163	65,729.97	162	65,121.99	161	64,624.53	161	63,375.03
Accts with Agreements	42	10,783.78	42	10,839.08	42	10,894.38	42	10,949.68
Accts in Foreclosure	293	121,207.40	292	121,583.14	323	140,593.02	322	140,536.90
Bankruptcies	112	68,092.61	107	63,622.27	106	63,856.03	105	63,736.58
Remaining Accts Collectible	7,780	2,435,402.50	7,674	2,399,727.97	7,514	2,335,487.28	7,462	2,310,627.55
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,112	1,913,233.73	4,298	1,569,833.76	3,921	1,463,664.46	3,731	1,356,392.34
**Red = AR Dollars	13,502	4,614,449.99	12,575	4,230,728.21	12,067	4,079,119.70	11,823	3,945,618.08
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	184.61	1	11.30	1	100.00	2	1,469.72
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	247,748.46	-	246,568.72	-	247,325.02	-	247,684.16

Real Property Taxes

FY 2014-2015 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2014

April 30, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	-	-
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,562.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,969.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62
Year 2009	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36	1,487,890.82	8,128,729.39	307,485.71	379,919.02	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40
Year 2008	82,074.70	1,509,823.05	750,653.41	705,888.42	1,308,422.04	7,772,676.85	477,271.59	361,778.44	243,148.97	131,934.01	145,103.87	144,764.28
Of Total Collections												
County Taxes & Late List												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	-	-
Year 2013	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2012	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2011	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2010	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97
Year 2009	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76	1,192,815.02	6,849,076.69	255,249.25	299,943.51	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37
Year 2008	61,341.51	1,218,671.84	633,646.80	574,997.79	1,046,831.34	6,643,082.02	382,989.15	288,841.07	193,174.13	99,314.46	107,874.15	104,520.34
Current Year (2014) Ad Valorem Collections %												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	N/A	13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	-	-
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.32%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.67%	94.09%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.76%	95.25%
Year 2006		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%	30.37%	84.55%	89.67%	91.88%	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%
Year 2005		11.83%	17.64%	21.77%	30.22%	84.70%	88.20%	91.88%	94.31%	95.09%	95.85%	96.43%
Current Year (2014) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties												
	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.05	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	-	-
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86
Year 2012	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70	8,777,582.71	1,851,666.78	1,408,474.10	1,143,229.63	999,483.29	896,470.87	786,437.51	734,822.47
Year 2011	32,692.26	9,407,190.90	10,421,780.20	9,872,181.97	9,108,774.55	1,922,422.15	1,726,814.58	1,155,588.56	962,100.93	877,303.12	824,977.42	757,956.67
History of Past Due Mailings												
	11/08/11		02/14/12		10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,168	2,100,002.93	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings												
	Rate	Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	2014	1,986,444.95	96,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,496	10,200,268.90	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52
Year 2010	0.767	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66
Year 2008	0.894	1,067,095,405	9,539,833.35	15,293.61	1,587,401.81	418,342.90	11,560,871.67	2008	2,036,138.47	80,919.31	104,766.86	2,221,824.64
Accounts Receivable by Type												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	146	58,557.10	138	56,347.02	137	56,005.40	134	54,573.34	137	56,699.13	137	57,252.93
Accts with Agreements	38	10,517.91	38	10,570.85	38	10,623.79	38	10,656.73	38	10,709.67	38	10,762.61
Accts in Foreclosure	284	124,480.83	284	124,945.31	284	125,488.79	284	126,131.91	284	126,725.03	280	125,132.62
Bankruptcies	86	49,852.64	86	49,885.75	86	50,140.88	86	50,170.30	84	49,523.13	84	49,713.49
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,314	3,315,383.46	10,554	3,214,994.13	10,456	3,196,514.42	10,332	3,154,562.37	10,219	3,138,674.40	10,044	3,119,842.57
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	19,809	12,649,107.79	17,050	10,916,704.93	15,693	10,160,994.53	14,759	11,760,366.24	12,633	10,116,105.89	5,727	2,274,382.40
**Red = AR Dollars	31,677	16,207,899.73	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89	23,395	13,496,437.25	16,310	5,637,086.62
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$ \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	507.76	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-	1	205.82	6	2,999.11
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	181,954.95	-	179,531.80	-	177,825.76	-	177,932.25	-	178,176.06	-	175,691.44
Accounts Receivable by Type												
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	137	57,448.75	135	57,447.52	133	57,433.93	133	57,568.43	159	62,894.79	158	62,482.20
Accts with Agreements	38	10,815.55	38	10,868.49	38	10,921.43	38	10,974.37	42	11,004.98	42	11,060.28
Accts in Foreclosure	270	118,877.13	270	119,382.48	269	119,735.52	349	171,475.46	321	138,604.42	308	133,202.56
Bankruptcies	84	49,911.91	84	49,994.11	80	48,140.94	80	48,121.93	105	63,720.90	104	62,839.62
Remaining Accts Collectible	9,959	3,052,338.73	9,849	3,010,606.91	9,688	2,961,358.28	9,513	2,870,652.38	7,392	2,280,383.82	7,323	2,253,638.45
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,144	2,002,416.23	4,515	1,718,525.45	3,950	1,504,270.24	3,682	1,371,366.41	3,568	1,289,229.08	3,364	1,245,198.80
**Red = AR Dollars	15,632	5,291,808.30	14,891	4,966,824.96	14,158	4,701,860.34	13,795	4,530,158.98	11,587	3,845,837.99	11,299	3,768,421.91
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$ \$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	11	7,207.67	2	198.45	3	497.60	4	988.32	2	6,328.67	14	6,643.23
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	-	176,106.96	-	176,403.69	-	174,483.46	-	174,014.33	-	248,117.33	-	248,318.80

Budget Expense Report and Fund Balance Update:

Fund Balance Calculation					
	<i>Last Year</i>	<i>Two Months</i>	<i>Last</i>		
<i>As of 04-30-15</i>	<i>Same Month</i>	<i>Ago</i>	<i>Month</i>	<i>Now</i>	
	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>	<i>As of</i>
	<i>04/30/14</i>	<i>02/28/15</i>	<i>03/31/15</i>	<i>04/30/15</i>	
Available Fund Balance					
Cash & Investments (General)	\$ 12,378,023	\$ 12,761,846	\$ 12,166,964	\$ 10,923,209	
Cash & Investments (22 Fund)	\$ 19,892	\$ 22,401	\$ 22,652	\$ 22,903	
Less Cash from General (other funds)	\$ (363,352)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
Less Liabilities (w/out deferred revenue)	\$ 107,334	\$ 130,960	\$ 126,399	\$ 118,832	
Less Deferred Revenue (from cash receipts)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (46,235)	\$ (16,779)	
Less Encumbrances	\$ (247,671)	\$ (232,930)	\$ (222,668)	\$ (219,271)	
Total Available	\$ 11,847,991	\$ 12,636,042	\$ 12,047,111	\$ 10,828,893	
General Fund Expenditures					
Total Expenditures (Adopted Budget)	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total Available for Appropriation					
Total Available	\$ 11,847,991	\$ 12,636,042	\$ 12,047,111	\$ 10,828,893	
Total Expenditures	\$ 27,783,058	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	\$ 28,852,503	
Total % Available Fund Balance	42.64%	43.80%	41.75%	37.53%	
Available Fund Balance Requirement Per LGC	8%	8%	8%	8%	
	\$2,222,645	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	\$2,308,200	
% Undesignated Fund Balance	34.64%	35.80%	33.75%	29.53%	
	\$ 9,625,346	\$ 10,327,842	\$ 9,738,911	\$ 8,520,693	
	04/30/14	02/28/15	03/31/15	04/30/15	
1100001100	12,378,023.09	12,761,845.85	12,166,963.93	10,923,209.18	

Electronic Transaction Report:

04/30/15

Utilities Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	5,054.02	145	21	22
Aug 2014	5,792.83	157	20	21
Sep 2014	7,574.87	167	20	21
Oct 2014	6,227.45	172	22	23
Nov 2014	5,493.81	167	16	17
Dec 2014	5,506.92	161	18	20
Jan 2015	7,855.71	203	19	20
Feb 2015	9,041.36	224	19	19
Mar 2015	8,865.09	243	22	22
Apr 2015	8,450.56	225	21	21
May 2015				
Jun 2015				
	69,862.62	1,864		

Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2014	29,374.72	989	35,307.02	501
Aug 2014	33,266.04	989	27,138.31	418
Sep 2014	32,521.26	988	43,811.45	384
Oct 2014	35,568.86	987	22,899.14	420
Nov 2014	26,244.60	991	23,917.04	394
Dec 2014	27,093.97	994	20,627.00	396
Jan 2015	30,099.77	1,001	45,731.56	486
Feb 2015	25,376.37	1,004	56,035.01	326
Mar 2015	26,380.98	1,008	19,679.11	340
Apr 2015	26,734.21	1,007	21,204.43	378
May 2015				
Jun 2015				
	292,660.78	9,958	316,350.07	4,043

Tax Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	2,598.77	20	9	22
Aug 2014	12,300.46	31	11	21
Sep 2014	3,746.65	14	9	21
Oct 2014	3,516.51	9	8	23
Nov 2014	4,104.99	13	9	17
Dec 2014	12,694.44	36	13	20
Jan 2015	5,534.54	18	7	20
Feb 2015	9,522.75	37	12	19
Mar 2015	14,710.80	45	11	22
Apr 2015	5,386.75	23	9	21
May 2015				
Jun 2015				
	74,116.66	246		

Health Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2014	1,314.91	24	13	22
Aug 2014	693.20	26	14	21
Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21

Sep 2014	982.69	26	15	21
Oct 2014	847.08	18	11	23
Nov 2014	1,361.98	31	14	17
Dec 2014	1,423.62	21	13	20
Jan 2015	1,197.25	17	12	20
Feb 2015	466.26	12	8	19
Mar 2015	1,154.74	26	13	22
Apr 2015	918.80	16	10	21
May 2015				
Jun 2015				
	10,360.53	217		

Budget Amendment – Emergency Management: to appropriate Hazmat Reimbursement and miscellaneous revenues for Emergency Management.

Amendment

Anson County Budget FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Emergency Management 11-4330 \$ 1,100
Total Increase: \$ 1,100

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Emergency Management 11-4330 \$ 1,100
Total Increase: \$ 1,100

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Public School Capital Outlay: to adjust budgeted funds from the State Board of Education Lottery Fund to the correct amount requested by the Anson County School System.

Amendment

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/2015

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FISCAL YEAR 2014/2015 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease: Public Schools – CO – 11-5912 \$ (74)
Total Decrease \$ (74)

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Decrease: Public Schools – CO – 11-5912 \$ (74)
Total Decrease \$ (74)

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Tax Collector: to recognize and appropriate vehicle tax and tag together interest collected for anticipated advertising expenses.

Amendment

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Tax Collector 11-4141 \$ 9,650
Total Increase: \$ 9,650

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Tax Collector 11-4141 \$ 9,650
Total Increase: \$ 9,650

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Budget Amendment – EPA Brownfields Hazardous Project: to adjust amounts budgeted to cover anticipated expenses for supplies.

Amendment

Anson County EPA Brownfields Grant Hazardous Project Ordinance

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the Anson County EPA Brownfields Grant Hazardous Project Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 4. Expenditures

Increase: Supplies \$ 3,500
Total: \$ 3,500

Section 4. Expenditures

Decrease: Travel \$ (3,500)
Total: \$ (3,500)

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Budget Amendment – EPA Brownfields Petroleum Project: to adjust amounts budgeted to cover anticipated expenses for supplies.

Amendment

Anson County EPA Brownfields Grant Petroleum Project Ordinance

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the Anson County EPA Brownfield Grant Petroleum Project Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 4. Expenditures

Increase: Supplies \$ 3,500
Total \$ 3,500

Section 4. Expenditures

Decrease: Travel \$ (3,500)
Total \$ (3,500)

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Budget Amendment – DSS: to appropriate funding for the Share the Warmth Program and the Energy Neighbor Program for the Anson County Social Services Department.

Amendment

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2014/15

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FISCAL YEAR 2014/15 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: Social Services 11-5310-5580 \$ 633
Total Increase: \$ 633

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: Social Services Administration 11-5310 \$ 633
Total Increase: \$ 633

Adopted this 5th day of May, 2015.

Appointments:

Region F Aging Advisory Committee: Chairman Baucom stated that they were asking to reappoint Joanne Clark as the delegate and Angela DePietro as the alternate. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Anson Agricultural Advisory Board: Chairman Baucom stated that they were asking to reappoint Todd Moore from District 4 (Sims), Shirley Crawford from District 3 (Streater) and Pearl Blount from District 7 (Smith). Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Concerns: There were none at this time.

Closed Session: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to go into closed session for Personnel per North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, condition of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, the clerk shared that Commissioner Sikes had requested a copy of our Noise Ordinance. The Clerk stated that she had requested copies from other counties and received 11. She then shared copies with board members along with a few Nuisance Ordinances. Commissioner Woodburn mentioned for information purposes that Richard Allen asked him about the Sheriff Department vehicles and one for Peachland. Commissioner Sturdivant asked the deadline to submit applications for County Manager with Chairman Baucom answering May 15th. Chairman Baucom felt board members needed to come back to look at the applications. The Clerk stated that on the 15th she would deliver to board members a book of applications for their review. Commissioner Sims voiced that from the 15th of June to probably the end he would be unavailable. Commissioner Woodburn suggested meeting the week of May 18th to review the applications. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to recess until May 21 at 6 PM. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 3 hr. 30 min.