

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, November 1, 2016 at 6:00 P.M. at Cooperative Extension, 501 McLaurin St., Wadesboro, North Carolina.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair
Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
Harold Smith
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Megan Garner, County Manager
Bonnie M. Huntley, Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Cary Garner, Finance Officer
Scott Rowell, ACTS Director
Landric Reid, Sheriff

Other: Scott Forbes, County Attorney

Chairman Baucom asked if we had someone to bring the **Invocation** with Commissioner Sturdivant suggesting Reverend Doctor Bernice Bennett.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Vice Chair Streater asked for a closed session with board members only. Commissioner Smith asked that he add to that with Vice Chair Streater adding that he wants the County Manager to join them. Commissioner Smith asked when he wanted that to come with Vice Chair Streater answering they could do it at the end of the meeting. Vice Chair Streater noted it would be personnel. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the agenda with the addition. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Hearing – ACTS FY 18 Community Transportation Application: Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to open the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In the Public Hearing, Scott Rowell stated that it was time to do the FY 18 application for the administrative and capital budgets for ACTS. Mr. Rowell noted the only change in the budget is that they are losing just a little over \$5,000 in federal and state money so the budget will decrease next year. Mr. Rowell explained that he's had to pull the Duke Energy bill

from the admin budget and put it in the operating budget to have somewhere to pay it because that is about how much they are cutting from their budget. Mr. Rowell shared that he received a spread sheet that shows that over the next three years his budget will decrease \$37,000. Mr. Rowell noted this would put them back prior to the 2005 budget amounts. Mr. Rowell added that this means that next year they will have to pull either the rent or the liability/collision vehicle insurance and the following year they will have to pull the one they left in. Commissioner Sims asked the number of vehicle they were operating now with Mr. Rowell answering 15. Chairman Baucom asked how could he pull insurance with Mr. Rowell answering they will have no choice as they are not giving them the money to cover it and they will have to put it back in the operating budget. Mr. Rowell said that in 2006 they had them take it out of the operating budget and put it in the admin budget and gave them federal and state funds to help cover the cost of the insurance. Mr. Rowell explained that they have been paying 85% of the rent since October 1999. Mr. Rowell stated that they were changing the formula and the way they distribute money and his numbers will go down. Mr. Rowell said from what he hears across the state everybody's budgets are going down. Commissioner Sims asked where the \$11,000 for one budget and the \$5,000 from the other would come from, adding that this was the county's share. Mr. Rowell answered that it would come from the general fund and we will make up what they can in fares and charges to different funding sources like Medicaid, the nursing homes, Union County and Mecklenburg County. Mr. Rowell noted that all the places they are billing now, that money goes into the general fund to make up for what they have to use. Commissioner Sims voiced that he does a good job and thanked Scott for it and asked him to estimate how much he could come up with in extra money. Mr. Rowell asked what he meant by extra money with Commissioner Sims saying money that would cover part of the \$16,000. Mr. Rowell said he didn't have a number to give him right now as that is two and three years down the line but he'll be working on it for the next time he comes. Vice Chair Streater asked how much he collected from Medicaid with Mr. Rowell answering some years it's been close to \$300,000 and it's been sliding down the last few years when they started changing the rules as to how they provide Medicaid transportation. Vice Chair Streater asked if we were doing all the billing that could be done with Mr. Rowell answering yes. Mr. Rowell added that as far as he know, they will start direct billing in January and instead of billing Anson County DSS they will go into the state system and do direct billing to NC Tracks in Raleigh. Mr. Rowell noted that they would still have that communication between ACTS and DSS. Mr. Rowell stated that they would give them the trips and they would provide the trips and bill them and give them the paper work that we did provide the trips, they will approve it and we will send to NC Tracks and get paid. Vice Chair Streater asked how much of that do we contract to other sources with

Mr. Rowell answering probably \$1200 to \$1500 a week. Commissioner Woodburn mentioned the question from Commissioner Sims about making up the money we will be responsible for and Mr. Rowell answered that it would be two or three years down the road to figure it out and asked if we know what we brought in from the last period and did that cover with Mr. Rowell saying he doesn't know if he had any surplus as he doesn't see all of the auditor's report but he has not come up in the red that he knows about. Commissioner Sims spoke saying his recollection is it's covered. Vice Chair Streater felt if we were collecting that much money we should really be making money not counting federal and state money. Mr. Rowell doesn't think they are making money, adding that he's always been told they didn't need to make money but we need to try to break even. Mr. Rowell said he hasn't had any one to tell him they are going in the hole. Commissioner Sikes asked if the patients they haul have to contribute or pay anything with Mr. Rowell answering that some do. Mr. Rowell noted the ones that are Medicaid do not pay and the ones that don't have anything ride in the general transportation do have to pay. Commissioner Woodburn asked if rides were a sliding scale with Mr. Rowell answering it depends how far the trip is. Commissioner Woodburn asked that as far as disadvantage business enterprises it seems there are several ways they can find out but the first bullet is not checked and it is asking if we inform them of contract opportunities. Commissioner Woodburn asked Mr. Rowell if we do that and how do we do that. Mr. Rowell explained that they look to see if there are disadvantage businesses doing the business they need to contract with. Mr. Rowell added that they contract with Janitorial Services and he's tried to get them to get registered for years and they don't take the time or trouble to do it. Mr. Rowell stated that all he can do now is look on the DOT website and see what he can find. Commissioner Woodburn asked if we had a mechanism to inform people what is available as far as disadvantage business enterprises is concerned with Mr. Rowell saying he can give them information to reach out to DOT to get registered but he can't make them. Mr. Rowell said he does make people aware of this and right now they only have one registered business that they regularly contract with and that is their drug and alcohol program. Chairman Baucom asked if there were any other questions. Mr. Rowell pointed out another thing in the Public Hearing was the 5310 second year for Elderly and Disabled Transportation through the Council on Aging. Mr. Rowell referred to a letter he had from the RPO giving their support. Mr. Rowell stated that they could not have an official letter ready by this meeting but they will submit one later this month. Mr. Rowell stated that they are asking for \$50,000 just like last year. Mr. Rowell noted that Mrs. Bennett would be administering this grant. Mrs. Bennett spoke saying it was a great program. Chairman Baucom asked if there were any other questions or comments. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant,

seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to close the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to approve the ACTS FY 18 5311 Admin & Capital application and the FY 18 5310 Elderly and Disabled application for the Council on Aging. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom thanked Mr. Rowell saying he provides opportunities for people who otherwise would be housebound.

COMMUNITY TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM RESOLUTION FY 2018 RESOLUTION

Applicant seeking permission to apply for Community transportation Program funding, enter into agreement with the North Carolina Department of Transportation, provide the necessary assurances and the required local match.

A motion was made by Commissioner Sims and seconded by Commissioner Smith for the adoption of the following resolution, and upon being put to a vote was duly adopted.

WHEREAS, Article 2B of Chapter 136 of the North Carolina General Statutes and the Governor of North Carolina have designated the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NDCOT) as the agency responsible for administering federal and state public transportation funds; and

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Department of Transportation will apply for a grant from the US Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration and receives funds from the North Carolina General Assembly to provide assistance for rural public transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of these transportation funds is to provide grant monies to local agencies for the provision of rural public transportation services consistent with the policy requirements for planning, community and agency involvement, service design, service alternatives, training and conference participation, reporting and other requirements (drug and alcohol testing policy and program, disadvantaged business enterprise program and fully allocate costs analysis); and

WHEREAS, Anson County hereby assures and certifies that it will provide the required local matching funds; that its staff has the technical capacity to implement and manage the project, prepare required reports, obtain required training, attend meetings and conferences; and agreed to comply with the federal and state statutes, regulations, executive orders, Section 5333 (b) Warranty, and all administrative requirements related to the applications made to and grants received from the Federal Transit Administration, as well as the provisions of Section 1001 of Title 18, U. S. C.

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Chairperson of Anson County Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to submit a grant application for federal and state funding, make the necessary assurances and certifications and be empowered to enter into an agreement with the NCDOT to provide rural public transportation services.

I Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board, NCCCC, Clerk to the Board do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the minutes of a meeting of the Anson County Board of Commissioners duly held on the 1st day of November, 2016.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION CONSOLIDATED CAPITAL CALL FOR PROJECTS PROGRAM RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Article 2B of Chapter 136 of the North Carolina General Statutes and the Governor of North Carolina have designated the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NDCOT) as the agency responsible for administering federal and state public transportation funds; and

WHEREAS the North Carolina Department of Transportation will apply for a grant from the US Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration and receives funds from the North Carolina General Assembly to provide assistance for public transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, NCDOT has been designated as the State agency with principle authority and responsibility for administering capital projects for small urbanized and rural areas; and

WHEREAS, Anson County hereby assures and certifies that it will comply with the federal and state statutes, regulations, executive orders, and all small administrative requirements related to the applications made to and grants received from the Federal Transit Administration, as well as the provisions of Section 1001 of Title 18, U. S. C.

WHEREAS, Anson County understands and agrees that capital project requests will be funded with 5310, 5311 (RTAP or ADTAP), 5339, state funds or a combination thereof. The applicant agrees they will adhere to the compliance of the grant used to fund the project.

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Chairperson of Anson County Board of Commissioners is hereby authorized to submit a grant for federal and state funding, provide the required local match, make the necessary assurances and certifications and be empowered to enter into an agreement with the NCDOT to provide public transportation services.

I Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC, Clerk to the Board do hereby certify that the above is true and correct copy of an excerpt from the minutes of a meeting of the Anson County Board of Commissioners duly held on the 1st day of November, 2016.

Appearances:

Alex Sewell and Marc Sessions: Marc Session, Fire Chief for the Town of Wadesboro, thanked board members for allowing him to come back and speak with them. Mr. Session noted that several months ago he spoke to the board asking for their support in an endeavor they've looked at for three years that is about 45 days from reality. Mr. Sessions announced that they should be breaking ground on a fire station by January 1, 2017 and thanked the board for their support. Mr. Sessions noted it has been a long time coming. Mr. Session stated that he was not here tonight with just good news as he was here to ask for one more bit of support from the board. Mr.

Sessions then asked board members to consider waiving their permit fees for the building permits. Mr. Sessions gave his solemn word as their Fire Chief and a Code Official to work with Mr. Gaskins extensively to try to make his job easier during this process. Mr. Sessions said it would save him a tremendous amount of money if the board would consider the request. Commissioner Sims asked the amount of money he was talking about with Mr. Sessions answering that by his determination and math he thinks approximately \$2600. Mr. Sessions was under the impression the fee was \$.25 a square foot and they are building a 10,500 square foot building. Commissioner Smith asked if he was asking them to waive the fee for the inspection to go on at no charge with Mr. Sessions answering yes. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sims, to waive the permit fees for the new building. Commissioner Sikes spoke saying he was all for it and asked if it would put us in a bind for other things later on about people wanting to drop the fees. Commissioner Smith pointed out this was for a municipality. Chairman Baucom commented that the county might decide to build something in the town and we'd want them to waive the fee. Commissioner Sims stated the reason he seconded the motion is because he thinks Wadesboro and the county need to have a very good cooperation with each other and there will be times we will come to them for something. Mr. Sessions shared that some of the commissioners have seen their plans and he feels they are putting up a facility that the board will want to come to on regular business and to hold meetings if necessary. Mr. Sessions stated that on the day of Hurricane Matthew he had water in five different spots in the building and he still has fans blowing trying to mitigate the problem. Mr. Sessions added that they were trying to fix things for the community. Chairman Baucom stated that this will be a nice project and will anchor that corner. Vice Chair Streater asked if the old building would be taken down with Mr. Sessions saying he hopes by November 20th the former Tollison building will be down. Chairman Baucom asked about the shack that was a beauty shop with Mr. Sessions saying they would be first. Chairman Baucom asked about where Dr. Constantian use to be with Mr. Sessions saying they hope to tear that down in phase 2 of the project but they have to continue to operate during the construction phase. Chairman Baucom asked about where the fire trucks are with Mr. Sessions saying that was undetermined at this point. Mr. Sessions noted that they want to do some studying to see if the space could be utilized for something like storage or office space if needed for other entities within the town. Chairman Baucom felt that was a fairly solid building with Mr. Sessions answering that that portion of the building was solid. Mr. Sessions said you could follow the water line. Motion carried unanimously.

Cary Rodgers: Mr. Rodgers thanked Anson County as a whole for allowing him to be here and live here for the last nine years. Mr. Rodgers then

introduced his wife saying they experienced a tragedy back in April when they lost their three year old baby. Mr. Rodgers said it was very tragic for him and his ministry but they continue to go forward and they believe the word of God and they believe they will see her again. Mr. Rodgers stated that since that time he has had an opportunity to write and presented a gift to each board member from that endeavor. Mr. Rodgers noted the book was called *The Forgotten Commandment* and a King James Version. Mr. Rodgers noted he came to Anson County to be a missionary not just for coal ash. Mr. Rodgers then had his son present a copy of the book to board members and staff. Mr. Rodgers then offered a copy to those in the audience. Mr. Rodgers stated that unfortunately he has to talk about the coal ash issue. Mr. Rodgers stated that the last time he came to talk there was discussion about the resolution and then it was brought to his attention because he missed September's meeting, that the board signed an extension with the landfill. Mr. Rodgers said when they mentioned that they had signed an extension it was his understanding that someone said on the contract it said they would not accept coal ash. Mr. Rodgers was a little taken about and even though he was not excited about the extension itself, he thought this was a good start if it actually says we will not accept coal ash. Mr. Rodgers explained that he did a public document request and it doesn't say exactly we will not accept coal ash. Mr. Rodgers said that on the contract it says that coal ash may be only accepted for disposal at Anson Landfill if the coal ash meets the acceptance criteria for special waste listed within the operating permit and approved by Anson County. Mr. Rodgers said this does not sound like we will not accept coal ash to him. Mr. Rodgers knows we are on the alternative list but they are just trying to protect our community. Mr. Rodgers noted that several members have given their disapproval for coal ash coming and he can bring the petitions again if needed. Mr. Rodgers stated that he did not know what they needed to do as a community to convenience the board that the community already made a decision that they don't want it. Mr. Rodgers asked again that the board revisit the resolution. Commissioner Sikes was of the opinion the board had not approved the contract with County Attorney Forbes thinking they have. County Attorney Forbes asked to speak to the reason that language is in there. Commissioner Smith asked Mr. Rodgers where he came from with Mr. Rodgers answering that he grew up in Charlotte. Commissioner Woodburn spoke saying he remembers the contract and they approved the statement that the landfill was not to accept coal ash and he didn't know how that changed. Chairman Baucom felt those words were inserted into the contract and if anything else has changed she was not aware of it. Mr. Rodgers stated that he knows the board does all they can to protect the community and he wants to give them kudo's for what they said last month. Mr. Rodgers stated that he took the board at their word and he told other individuals that you took a step in the right direction by putting on the

contract that you would not receive coal ash. Mr. Rodgers stated that he felt betrayed or lied to. County Attorney Forbes spoke saying the language was in there and it was very prudent and it forbids any acceptance of coal ash now or in the future or for as long as that contract is in existence without the approval of the board. County Attorney Forbes said something could change and you don't need to deal in absolutes when anything could change, therefore what you've done is use prudent language to protect the citizens of Anson County to prevent coal ash from coming. County Attorney Forbes noted it could not come unless the county commissioners approve it therefore it is not coming without their approval and to speak in such absolutes like we are never going to do something. County Attorney Forbes stated that at one time people never thought about using x-rays around human beings and now we use them in hospitals all the time and can you imagine if they had passed some type resolution or some type of law or rule that could have said we never will do something. County Attorney Forbes felt the language was very prudent, it protects the people and if conditions change or if something does change it does give the county the lead way whether to approve or to continue to deny such. County Attorney Forbes felt dealing in absolutes was extremely dangerous in government and this does protect the people prudently. Mr. Rodgers asked if we were saying that at some time in the future that coal ash will become safe, adding that he was just trying to understand the legalities of what he was saying. County Attorney Forbes said if something were to change with Mr. Rodgers asking for an example with County Attorney Forbes saying he could not think of anything because it would be theoretical physics right now. County Attorney Forbes stated that landfills were a lot safer now than they were 20, 30 or 40 years ago and if technology were to evolve to where you could use something like coal ash or other substances, they would bring it to the board and the board would make that decision. Mr. Rodgers felt it still made sense to put together a Resolution so the community could see this board's direction. Mr. Rodgers noted that a Resolution was not law but they would all feel more comfortable with that. Commissioner Smith voiced that he saw nothing wrong with the resolution as is. Commissioner Smith stated that it was spelled out in there that they will not accept it unless they get permission from this board. Chairman Baucom felt that was the contract and the resolution has no legal authority and a contract does. County Attorney Forbes said with deep respect for the concern Mr. Rodgers has, he would advise the board not to pass a resolution at this point because they have already made a statement on something and any facts that are present in the future would not be covered under the resolution but they are under the contract that has been signed. County Attorney Forbes felt this gives the board the lead way to make their determination on the facts that exist at that time in the future not to predict or forecast something that may come about in the future or may not. County Attorney Forbes is of the belief that

the language is sufficiently protected of the community at this point. Vice Chair Streater felt a resolution would be something the board would adopt but the contract is something that the county and the landfill have signed. Mr. Rodgers realizes the resolution is not legally binding but it would just be confidence from the community that the board is against coal ash. Again Vice Chair Streater felt the contract would tell them that with Mr. Rodgers saying for lay people it doesn't say that and he doesn't see it as that but he knows it has to get approval and wondered how the community would know if coal ash was coming in or not. Chairman Baucom stated that a lot of people have trust issues with any political body and she understands his skepticism but she also thinks that as the contract is worded it gives the board a pathway. Chairman Baucom noted that they don't know what the status of coal ash will be. Chairman Baucom stated that it may be turned into gold or diamonds and it may continue to be of grave concern but when you go to the voting booth and vote for people you put your trust in those people and sometimes we choose wisely and sometimes we don't. Chairman Baucom thinks what they have done in the contract nails it and if it's his opinion that this board or any competent body is going to wholesale approve a toxic substance to come in here without any parameters on it then he has to be real unhappy. Commissioner Sikes felt what he was reading was the contract they wanted the board to sign but we put no coal ash. Mr. Rodgers said the County Attorney explained that this was the signed contract and it does not say no coal ash. County Attorney Forbes again stated that it does say no coal ash without specific approval of this commission. Chairman Baucom voiced appreciation to Mr. Rodgers for his concerns. Mr. Rodgers asked if he had the wrong contract with Chairman Baucom saying it sounds like it but she doesn't know what he has. Chairman Baucom then asked that someone get him a copy of the contract that was signed. County Manager Garner spoke saying Mr. Rodgers made a public records request and a scanned copy of the contract was emailed to him about a week or two ago. Mr. Rodgers then shared a copy of the contract he had with Chairman Baucom where she read from the last page: "It may only be accepted for disposal at the Anson Landfill if the coal ash meets the acceptance criteria for special waste listed within the operating permit and is approved by Anson County". Mr. Rodgers understands. Commissioner Sims felt there was a slight problem here in that he's much older than Mr. Rodgers but he assured Mr. Rodgers that he would never vote for coal ash to come into this county.

Public Addresses to the Board:

Wilbert Cash addressed the board thinking his matter was for closed session. Vice Chair Streater explained that his matter was personnel and could not be discussed in open session. Chairman Baucom noted they would have a closed session later in the meeting.

Administrative Matters:

Vehicle Replacement Cost for Sheriff's Office: Chairman Baucom asked the Sheriff if he needed some new vehicles with the Sheriff replying yes. Chairman Baucom asked how many he wanted with some saying don't ask that. Commissioner Sims voiced that seven was what was in the information. Chairman Baucom stated that he was asking for seven vehicles at a cost of \$225,000 plus including radio's, equipment, decals, tags, taxes and registration. Chairman Baucom asked who we were paying the taxes to with Mr. Garner answering the state. Vice Chair Streater asked if this was the normal rotation with Mr. Garner asking to give a little history. Mr. Garner explained that in year ended 2011 we did seven, year ended 12 we did six, year ended 13 we did six, year ended 14 we did five and year ended 16 we did six. Mr. Garner felt this was right in line and six seems to be the common average. Commissioner Sikes asked how old were the vehicles with Mr. Garner answering that the actual proposal was for new vehicles and called on the sheriff to answer what they would be replacing. Sheriff Reid shared that two vehicles were over 100,000 miles and five vehicles by April will have 100,000 miles. Sheriff Reid noted that one of the vehicles they are asking for is a pickup truck and will be a K-9 unit. Sheriff Reid noted that one was an SUV Ford Explorer for the Sergeant. Commissioner Sims mentioned that one was a 4x4 special vehicle with Sheriff Reid saying that was the Ford Explorer. Sheriff Reid stated that by the end of next year he wants all of his road sergeants to have SUV's. Sheriff Reid noted the reason was because they could carry extra equipment. Sheriff Reid stated that five of the vehicles were Dodge Chargers. Commissioner Sikes mentioned that several years ago we had rotation on five years and thought the board voted to make it six years making a vehicle be used for six years. Board members agreed. Sheriff Reid stated that was all good but they aren't making automobiles like they used to. Sheriff Reid said they have vehicles breaking down around 100,000 miles. Sheriff Reid added that highway patrol was having the same problem. Sheriff Reid stated that they were actually looking at the cheapest vehicles they can find and when you do you get what you pay for. Vice Chair Streater asked if we were getting what was on state contract with Sheriff Reid answering yes and it was the cheapest vehicle you could find. Sheriff Reid added that they used the Sheriff's Association procurement program last year and he thinks they paid about \$300 less than those on state contract. Chairman Baucom asked if this money was in the budget with County Manager Garner answering the debt service would be budgeted in the upcoming fiscal year. Vice Chair Streater asked the cost of vehicles in the past with Mr. Garner answering year ended 2011 it was \$189,000 for seven, year ended 2013 it was \$186,000 for six, year ended 2014 it was \$185,000 for six, year ended 15 it was \$161,000 for five and the year just ended it was \$188,000 for six. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if this included all the equipment with Mr. Garner answering yes.

Commissioner Sikes asked when we sell the old vehicles what would they bring with some saying it varies. Vice Chair Streater asked if we were selling the vehicles we were replacing or selling other vehicles with the clerk saying it depends what vehicles the sheriff turns over for sale. Sheriff Reid reminded board members that they also gave away some vehicles. Chairman Baucom asked if there was any way to reuse the equipment. Sheriff Reid noted these were law enforcement vehicles and the more miles they have the less money we will get. Chairman Baucom asked if there was a way to reuse the equipment and asked if they trade them in with the equipment. Sheriff Reid answered that they could reuse it but installment prices will be higher because they will have to take equipment from one vehicle and install it in another. Sheriff Reid added that they can reuse a lot of it. Commissioner Woodburn asked if we sell vehicles with equipment in it with Sheriff Reid answering no. Sheriff Reid explained that we have given away vehicles with the equipment in them but they take all the equipment out of those we sell. Chairman Baucom asked if he reuses any of the equipment in the new cars with Sheriff Reid answering they have used some things but not a lot. Sheriff Reid stated that this was just what he inherited and they can try to use some of it. Sheriff Reid said he understands about trying to cut the price with Chairman Baucom saying not only that but not to waste it. Chairman Baucom said if they could sell the parts that would suit her. Commissioner Smith spoke saying they are asking if he could not take the surplus with the manufacturer and get a trade in on the new equipment. Sheriff Reid stated that there was one company they were looking at that may be able to do this. Vice Chair Streater asked if we were putting new equipment in the new cars that were being wrecked with Sheriff Reid answering no, they were just being repaired. Sheriff Reid added that they take all the equipment out of cars that are totaled and the insurance company picks them up. Sheriff Reid stated that some that have been totaled had good tires and they took them off and replaced them with bad tires from another vehicle to save money. Commissioner Sims felt there was only one cost and that was installation as you were going to have to take it out either way. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if any of the vehicles being replaced had been wrecked with Sheriff Reid answering not that he knows of. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the request. Motion carried 6 to 1 with Vice Chair Streater opposed.

Sandhills Center Quarterly Report: Chairman Baucom commented that she noticed they were short of money and asked what they would do about that. Chairman Baucom stated that they were \$2,000,000 short of what they collected and asked Vice Chair Streater if they have reserves. Vice Chair Streater answered they don't have a loss as they have millions in fund balance. Mr. Garner stated that this was a pass through report that

statutorily he has the responsibility to make sure it is provided to the board. Mr. Garner referred to the middle section at their Division of Mental Health in the third revenue section, the \$4.3 million that is highlighted and then look up two lines the actual year to date, he suspicions that this is state pass through. Mr. Garner noted their budget was \$18.2 million and they actually received \$1.5 so that number really should be closer to 4.5 so if that \$3 million extra was there, then on the state side they would be in line with their spending and when you couple that with the Medicaid side, they would actually have generated a surplus. Vice Chair Streater asked Mr. Garner if he had talked with Victoria about this with Mr. Garner answering he had not. Mr. Garner imagines this has to do with the first quarter of the state and their reimbursement. Mr. Garner imagines that after this report they recognized some of those revenues for the first quarter. Vice Chair Streater asked to table this report and have Victoria and the finance people from Sandhills contact Mr. Garner in the morning. Mr. Garner added that he would be concerned if this were the 3rd quarter.

Contract Renewal for the County Attorney: Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Smith that it be approved with the same percentage of increase as all other county employees. Motion carried unanimously.

Resolution Designating Signing Authority to the County Manager: Chairman Baucom asked if this meant she did not have to come sign stuff anymore with County Manager Garner answering she would still have to sign some but there would not be as much. County Manager Garner stated that we currently have no formal guidelines at all giving the County Manager any authority to sign any contracts, purchase order or anything whatsoever. County Manager Garner stated that the proposed resolution spells out what the County Manager would be able to sign and it would have to be reported back to the board monthly at every meeting. Commissioner Smith felt this should apply only to the current County Manager because they don't know what might happen down the road. Vice Chair Streater voiced agreement. County Manager Garner explained that the board would be able to repeal this at any time, modify it or change the language however they see fit. Vice Chair Streater agreed this would be just for County Manager Garner and if she was not here tomorrow they would not have the same authority. County Attorney Forbes added as long as they repeal it. County Manager Garner stated that they could spell out that this would be for as long as she is the County Manager. Vice Chair Streater asked to change the last paragraph to read as long as she is County Manager. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if she would be signing away a lot of money without the board knowing it with County Manager Garner answering no. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant,

to approve the Resolution with the noted change to the last statement. Motion carried unanimously. Commissioner Smith voiced that the board was giving the County Manager permission to sign contractual agreements to receive money and he thinks the board ought to discuss that before she signs off on somebody renting from us to see if they need to go up or whatever. Vice Chair Streater asked if this would just be items approved in the budget with County Manager Garner answering yes, budgeted appropriations. Commissioner Smith mentioned item 4 with County Manager Garner noting one example would be our lease agreement for the ACTS building. Commissioner Smith asked if this has happened in the past or she had something from other counties with County Manager Garner answering that this resolution was based off Richmond County's. County Manager Garner noted that this process was started in 2013 with the previous County Manager and it doesn't appear that anything has ever been approved. Commissioner Woodburn added that it also says this has to be budgeted as well so it doesn't look like anything can be approved that is not within the budget. Vice Chair Streater commented that some County Manager's signed things the board didn't know about. Chairman Baucom asked where they were now with Vice Chair Streater saying they were not here anymore. County Manager Garner noted that this does require reporting to the board. Vice Chair Streater asked that in #4 they put she only. Commissioner Smith asked the County Manager if she felt like with all her other responsibilities she needed this with County Manager Garner answering that currently she does not have the authority to sign anything. Commissioner Smith asked with all the other departments did she want to take on this. Vice Chair Streater voiced that other County Manager's in the past have been doing this and never brought it to their attention. Motion carried unanimously.

**RESOLUTION TO DESIGNATE SIGNING
AUTHORITY TO ANSON COUNTY MANAGER**

WHEREAS, the duly appointed County Manager serves in an official capacity as Anson County's Budget Officer and she has been authorized to act on behalf of the Anson County Board of Commissioners to execute certain instruments as necessary and reasonably required to conduct County business; and

WHEREAS, the Anson County Board of Commissioners has determined that it is reasonably necessary to authorize the County Manager to execute certain other documents to facilitate the smooth and expedient operation of County Government.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Manager is hereby authorized to execute contractual documents under the following conditions:

- 1) She may execute contracts for construction or repair projects that are within budgeted departmental appropriations and do not require formal competitive bid procedures.
- 2) She may execute contracts for:
 - A). purchases of apparatus, supplies, materials, and equipment that are within budgeted appropriations;
 - B). leases of personal property for a duration of one year or less and within departmental appropriations;
 - C). lease of personal property for more than twelve consecutive months if the annual expense is less than \$25,000 and within budgeted departmental appropriations;
 - D). service contracts within appropriations or contracts twelve months or less;
 - E). contracts that require and have received approval by the Board of Commissioners.
- 3) She may execute grant agreements to or from governmental units and other public, private, and non-profit organizations that are within budgeted appropriations, unless a Grantor requires approval and execution by the Board of Commissioners.
- 4) She may execute contracts, as the lessor or lessee of buildings, land, equipment, vehicles, heavy equipment and other property provided that such leases are of one year duration or less and that funds therefore are within budgeted appropriations.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that no later than the date of the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Commissioners, the County Manager shall report to said Board any contracts, agreements, or other actions taken pursuant to the authority granted by this Resolution.

FINALLY BE IT RESOLVED, that this Resolution will remain in effect so long as Megan M. Garner is serving as County Manager.

Adopted this the 1st day of November, 2016.

Designating Authority to County Manager to Review and Possibly Update Ordinances and Policies: Chairman Baucom asked how this would work with County Manager Garner answering that there has been some discussion on how many of our policies and ordinances have not been updated in quite sometime and some are still typewriter like paper so

we discussed finding the ones that need to be updated and bring the revisions to the board for any approval or Public Hearings necessary. County Manager Garner asked the board to charge her to review these one by one and provide revisions for consideration. Commissioner Smith felt that was her duty anyway with Vice Chair Streater feeling there was a bunch of stuff in our personnel manual that needs to be changed. County Manager Garner noted the Personnel Ordinance would be a prime example. Vice Chair Streater noted that he, along with Chairman Baucom and County Manager Garner, have talked about some things. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to approve. Vice Chair Streater asked if all changes would be brought before the board for approval with County Manager Garner answering yes. County Manager Garner noted if it was an Ordinance there would have to be a Public Hearing with proper advertisement. Motion carried unanimously. Chairman Baucom thanked the County Manager for taking this on with Vice Chair Streater saying it would be a big job.

Budget Calendar for FY 2017/2018: County Manager Garner stated that her hopes in doing this budget calendar is to get the budget process started earlier in the year not just internally but to bring it to the board earlier so work sessions can be held and the Public Hearing will be held earlier and it would not be about adopting the day before the new fiscal year begins. County Manager Garner presented a proposed calendar for review and asked board members to set a retreat date tonight. County Manager Garner said they would be doing more on an in depth budget review, particularly for each department. Vice Chair Streater asked if at the retreat they would go over some of the things they want in the new budget with County Manager Garner answering it would be to set the priorities for the board for the upcoming year and the Board of Education wanted to have some time with you to discuss more in depth some of their capital needs. County Manager Garner asked the board to set that date so she could communicate that to the schools and they could start some of the planning on their end. Chairman Baucom favored having two retreats with the first one being where they set priorities and goals, what is it they want to be able to do this coming year in that budget, what are the things they think will move the county ahead the most and get the most bang for those dollars. Chairman Baucom stated that after that they would need another to work with the numbers once they've set the priorities. Vice Chair Streater asked if that would be the one where they would listen to people like the Board of Education and some departments. Chairman Baucom felt that would be at the first retreat. Commissioner Sturdivant said she would like to send out a paper to every department and ask them what they expect or what they want for the first retreat. Chairman Baucom felt they needed to know their greatest needs. Commissioner Smith felt the manager could take care of

that. County Manager Garner stated that when she sends out the individual department budgets this year she hopes to have each department list their top three priorities with no guarantee we will be able to fund it but what do they view as their top three priorities for the upcoming fiscal year so we can look at it from that perspective. Chairman Baucom stated that while we are talking about meeting with the school board, she read the minutes from the last meeting and asked if the school system did not have a comprehensive facilities plan. County Manager Garner feels they do and it comes to this board every five years. Commissioner Sims voiced that they were required to turn one in every so often and years ago it was every five years but he doesn't know what it is now. Chairman Baucom commented that there was grave concern in the community about the condition of the buildings and felt they need to give the board a chance and present a road map to go by. Chairman Baucom felt they needed to know where to put the money if they have a little extra every year to chip away at these things. Chairman Baucom asked if it was our turn to have the dinner for the school board with County Manager Garner answering yes. Commissioner Sturdivant voiced that there was a great need everywhere. Chairman Baucom feels the county needs a comprehensive plan for what to do at these campuses and that is for them to do and not us. Vice Chair Streater stated that at the last meeting he addressed the letter that was sent to us by a person that would not sign it. Commissioner Smith stated that if they bring us notice we might put some bonds out and feels that is the only thing to do to bring the buildings up. Vice Chair Streater asked Ms. James how were we looking on bonds right now with Ms. James answering we don't have any. Vice Chair Streater then asked about the 911 Center with Ms. James explaining that was debt service where we borrowed the money and we are making annual payments. Vice Chair Streater then asked the amount of debt service out there with Ms. James answering very little. County Manager Garner thinks, that including the enterprise fund, it was in the seven hundred thousand range for this fiscal year. Mr. Garner noted that the payment on the outstanding debt was \$829,000 for this year. Mr. Garner noted that includes what is outstanding now and what we were just talking about. Mr. Garner stated that the reports generated in-house, to include long term and short term, we only report the long term to the LGC, but internally we use this document to capture all the data. Mr. Garner stated that \$829,000 would include the sheriff vehicles that we buy on a three year revolving. Mr. Garner stated that when he comes back in December with a quote to finance these vehicles we would not make the first payment for a year. Mr. Garner added that they were getting ready to make the first payment in the next ten or twelve days for the ones purchased last year, but that is in this number. Mr. Garner stated that next year roughly \$60,000 would fall off and we'd put about \$65,000 in its place for the vehicles. Mr. Garner again stated that the debt service payment this year for everything was

\$829,604.85. Vice Chair Streater asked if that was the government center and everything with Mr. Garner answering yes. Commissioner Smith commented that we have to do something about the high school. Vice Chair Streater asked Commissioner Sims the cost of a new high school with Commissioner Sims guessing around \$30,000,000. Commissioner Sturdivant voiced that she wishes Superintendent Freeman was here and at the last meeting he spoke about what happened with the school at Ansonville when Matthew came through. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that they have emergency money but certain things happen at the school and then the power is off and feels it will take a lot of that money. Commissioner Sturdivant stated that she tries to go to a school every week and encouraged board members to visit the high school. Commissioner Sturdivant shared that there was no air whatsoever in the gym but you go to the other schools and you are comfortable. Commissioner Sturdivant added that in the winter it is freezing. Commissioner Sturdivant commented that we've put more money at the dog shelter. Commissioner Sims stated that the high school has trouble with the gymnasium and the Physical Ed building and some other things but your school as a whole that really needs some work on it is the middle school on highway 52. Vice Chair Streater wondered if, with the amount of students we have now, it would be better to build one school for all of them. Commissioner Sturdivant disagreed saying we need to build for growth. Commissioner Sturdivant asked board members to go with her to a meeting and see how many of our kids are going to school other places. Commissioner Sims stated that when he left 20 years ago, he had about 5200 and he thinks they have about 3500 to 3700 now. Commissioner Smith asked if the physical plant was the same as it was 20 years ago with Commissioner Sims answering yes. Chairman Baucom noted it was 20 years older. Vice Chair Streater asked board members if they thought a bond package would pass in Anson County with Commissioner Sturdivant feeling it would. Chairman Baucom felt there was only one way to find out. Commissioner Woodburn commented that if they plan to do something like that they need to plan it out and involve the community and get it out there before we do it. Commissioner Woodburn felt if you just throw it out there is no telling what will happen. Chairman Baucom agreed the board needed to plan to do it. Commissioner Sims shared that when they did the new schools many years ago, they contacted every household in the county in multiple ways. County Manager Garner asked if the board could set a date for a retreat in January that would be ideal. County Manager Garner reminded board members that January 16th was a holiday. After discussion, it was agreed that January 28th was a good day. Motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, to meet on Saturday January 28th for a planning retreat. Motion carried unanimously. County Manager Garner asked if the Board of Education would be at the 28th retreat with Chairman Baucom feeling the board needed to

meet first and set their priorities for the county. Commissioner Sturdivant wants department heads to be there and the school board at the next retreat. County Manager Garner then asked if they would set a date in February for the Board of Education. After discussion, motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to set February 11th as the date to meet with the Board of Education. Motion carried unanimously. County Manager Garner then asked for a motion to approve the entire calendar as presented. Vice Chair Streater mentioned that they would set dates as needed for budget work sessions in May and June. Motion by Commissioner Sturdivant, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the budget calendar as presented and to include January 28th and February 11 as retreat dates. Motion carried unanimously.

Budget Calendar
Fiscal year 2017-2018

Event	Date
Operational budget process begins	December, 2016
Board of Commissioners Retreat	January 28, 2017
Retreat to meet with Board of Education	February 11, 2017
Operating Budgets due back from Departments	February 28, 2017
Individual Department Budget Reviews	March j20-24, 2017
FY18 Budget Presented to Board of Commissioners	May 2, 2017
Budget work Sessions	May and June, 2017
Budget Public Hearing	May 22, 2017
Budget Ordinance Adoption	June 6, 2017

Manager's Report: County Manager Garner stated that the Clerk handed out to each board member information on a work session sponsored by the NCACC, The Stepping up Initiative. County Manager Garner stated that this is dealing with mental illness and reducing the number of people with mental illness in the jail. County Manager Garner noted this was scheduled for December 6th in Pinehurst. County Manager Garner stated that Wadesboro's Christmas Parade is on December 1st at 4 PM and gave each member a registration form. County Manager Garner stated if they were interested to let Bonnie know and she will get them signed up. County Manager Garner reported that Ansonville Fire Department's BBQ was this Saturday, November 5th. County Manager Garner shared that Anson County was one of ten counties eligible to apply for Golden Leaf funding and the letters of inquire are due November 4th. County Manager Garner stated we could apply for up to a million and one-half. County Manager Garner noted that any project in the county would not exceed a million and a half. County Manager Garner noted that Anson County schools were submitting an application for the full million and one-half to extend their STEM Initiative at the middle school. Vice Chair Streater asked if they were the only ones to apply with County Manager Garner answering that for this particular round

of funding they were only doing it in certain districts. County Manager Garner added that it was very restrictive on what you could apply for and the Agri-Civic center did not meet the requirements for this pot of money. County Manager Garner stated that they may be eligible for the Golden Leaf year round cycle.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom noted that Anson County has been named as the most generous county in North Carolina. Chairman Baucom thinks we showed that with the supplies sent to the east and she would like to commend Robbie Hill. Chairman Baucom voiced that she doesn't know who else took leadership for getting all the supplies together but it was just a phenomenal effort. Commissioner Sims stated that Robbie drove one of his big trucks down there constantly. Chairman Baucom commented that one day he went twice. Commissioner Sturdivant voiced that he was still doing it. Chairman Baucom stated that the county, in its generosity in sending supplies, this is just a great place to live. Chairman Baucom noted that Thanksgiving was coming up and the board wishes each citizen good friends, good food and much more to be grateful for. Chairman Baucom mentioned that county employees would get a couple of days off to rest and relax. Chairman Baucom noted that she was going to mention the Ansonville parade and BBQ.

Chairman Baucom called for a short break.

After the break, **Old Business** was the next topic for discussion.

Interlocal Agreement for Utilities: Chairman Baucom noted they were working on it.

Countywide Zoning: Chairman Baucom reported that she, the County Manager and Larry Newton had a good meeting. Chairman Baucom feels one of the things we all should consider that was a part of the discussion was solar and other types of energy generation. Chairman Baucom feels we need to be careful with this because first of all the solar people and the power generating people get a deep cut on property tax and it's taking land out of use for other purposes such as farming or residential or whatever. Chairman Baucom stated that it does have the ability to cut the tax base and taking residential property or farming property out of service. Chairman Baucom realizes that agricultural use gets a lower tax rate but it's producing a product and feels we need to be careful. Vice Chair Streater shared that he was on the nominating committee at Pee Dee Electric but the government issued orders that they have to come up with renewable energy in so many years and the windmills and solar things are things the government is telling them they have to do. Vice Chair Streater

asked how are we going to control that with Chairman Baucom saying she doesn't know we can control anything, she is just saying we've got to be wise in how we deal with it. Chairman Baucom noted we were cutting our tax base and taking land out of productivity. Commissioner Smith felt they could locate anywhere and it would be hard to regulate them because the federal government is passing rules. Chairman Baucom felt there was a lot to consider as we go through the zoning process and they have another meeting set and she will get the date to board members in case they want to attend. Chairman Baucom asked the County Manager the date of the next meeting with County Manager Garner thinking it was November 16th. Commissioner Woodburn said that was right. Chairman Baucom told Commissioner Woodburn he needed to be there with Commissioner Woodburn saying the first meeting was really good and there are things we need to be particularly aware of.

Plans to Update County Website: Chairman Baucom commented that when we do our budgeting we need to have a budget for this and asked if we have any money set aside for updating it. County Manager Garner did not think so. County Manager Garner shared that we have two interns assisting IT with this. Chairman Baucom feels we need to have some money available.

Consent Agenda: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve. Motion carried unanimously.

Meeting Minutes: approved minutes dated October 4, 2016.

Tax Releases:

Property Tax Releases/Refunds/Adjustments

11/01/2016 for October Month End						
21478	Smith Darrius	221.62	16-6-16246	Real Taxes	Releases	DW Correction
		221.62				
				Real Taxes	Refunds	
		-				
Tax & Tag Together Refunds						
19943664	Allen Anne L	18.81	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
198453	Altmans of Whiteville	36.67	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
24082783	Altmans of Whiteville	41.16	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
28993805	Altmans of Whiteville	274.76	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
30573776	Blair John P	7.02	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19205120	Burr Gary	13.74	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
200082	C & A of Greenville	24.10	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
25005138	C & A of New Bern	19.06	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
34073806	Helms Charles E	16.80	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
31937420	Henry Antonia	153.84	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
33215128	Lindsey Hester	10.52	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
33848446	Little Lee Brutus	6.28	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
19204888	Pegram Bobby	34.68	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
26736882	Pickett Willie	94.49	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
32083369	Wilson Barbara	14.62	Tag Surrender	Vehicle Taxes	Refund	
		\$ 766.55	*****			

Tax Collector's Report:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2016-2017 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2016
10/31/2016 Meeting of 11/01/16

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Year 2016	-	-	-	-	85,138.29	1,418,707.25	1,121,783.09	875,504.06
Year 2015	177,188.17	180,034.42	106,010.82	122,949.93	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07
Year 2014	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47
Year 2013	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88
Year 2012	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94
Year 2011	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68
Year 2010	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List								
Year 2016	-	-	-	-	57,502.76	1,109,580.41	920,317.10	710,248.15
Year 2015	127,089.32	128,226.36	70,761.31	78,232.41	82,896.07	1,133,740.35	1,058,796.26	600,879.32
Year 2014	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89
Year 2013	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87
Year 2012	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84
Year 2011	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68
Year 2010	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64
Current Year (2016) Ad Valorem Collections %								
Year 2016					N/A	9.62%	17.58%	19.76%
Year 2015	92.91%	93.48%	93.76%	94.10%		9.95%	19.21%	20.23%
Year 2014	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%		13.93%	20.03%	21.00%
Year 2013	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%
Year 2012	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%
Year 2011	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%
Year 2010	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%
Year 2009	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%
Year 2008	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%
Year 2007	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%
Current Year (2016) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
Year 2016	-	-	-	-	8,930.38	10,139,418.36	9,249,405.45	10,823,179.90
Year 2015	932,466.16	857,832.30	821,557.65	776,672.15	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90
Year 2014	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90
Year 2013	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80
History of Past Due Mailings								
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25	4,971	5,195,083.07
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2016	0.801	1,390,724,920	11,139,716.07	44,275.60	1,881,106.01	829,114.92	13,894,212.60	
Year 2015	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2016	2,248,304.20	107,296.98	203,294.13	2,558,895.31				
Year 2015	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	219	113,900.06	217	112,995.79	215	112,444.85	210	112,005.43
Accts with Agreements	21	7,533.85	21	7,568.16	21	7,477.47	21	7,511.78
Accts in Foreclosure	233	107,680.74	232	107,854.54	231	108,027.10	230	107,271.10
Bankruptcies	58	38,335.82	58	38,326.25	57	38,284.64	57	38,267.40
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,652	3,873,109.54	11,510	3,816,247.74	11,400	3,797,710.51	11,312	3,788,020.00
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	26	9,774.63	17,894	12,573,383.71	16,033	11,497,133.92	15,037	13,231,587.87
**Red = AR Dollars	12,209	4,150,334.64	29,932	16,656,376.19	27,957	15,561,078.49	26,867	17,284,663.58
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo								
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	3	1,691.88	3	1,335.12	4	1,770.43
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)	None		None		None		None	
One Year Ago								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	133	57,669.24	127	53,990.15	126	53,075.78	125	53,821.20
Accts with Agreements	29	9,835.40	29	9,883.16	29	9,880.92	29	9,878.32
Accts in Foreclosure	302	145,815.27	299	144,934.83	296	142,898.26	296	142,992.48
Bankruptcies	61	42,790.75	60	42,610.64	58	41,738.08	65	43,691.49
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,842	3,485,818.71	10,682	3,409,488.71	10,582	3,365,980.29	10,459	3,318,053.75
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	58	17,979.25	17,733	12,212,063.09	15,841	10,999,113.87	14,859	12,806,708.70
**Red = AR Dollars	11,425	3,759,908.62	28,930	15,872,970.58	26,932	14,612,687.20	25,833	16,375,145.94
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo								
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
Accts Given to ParaLegal	6	1,067.57	7	2,581.35	9	4,376.61	3	1,297.24
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		93,702.34		93,327.29		93,226.91		92,716.93

**Red = AR Dollars	11,425	3,759,908.62	28,930	15,872,970.58	26,932	14,612,687.20	25,833	16,375,145.94
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	6	1,067.57	7	2,581.35	9	4,376.61	3	1,297.24
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		93,702.34		93,327.29		93,226.91		92,716.93

Real Property Taxes

FY 2016-2017 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2016

October 31, 2016

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2016	85,138.29	1,418,707.25	1,121,783.09	875,504.06	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2015	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07	2,012,016.84	8,868,948.85	248,408.65	716,505.43	177,188.17	180,034.42	106,010.82	122,949.93
Year 2014	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47	1,705,171.82	7,948,195.47	370,053.62	357,819.90	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68
Year 2013	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88	1,026,213.73	8,867,344.01	303,777.73	411,460.82	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87
Year 2012	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94	1,545,771.18	8,245,555.45	591,530.54	394,773.55	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97
Year 2011	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68	1,057,582.02	8,676,118.24	273,110.53	759,834.02	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61
Year 2010	64,025.06	696,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32	1,834,033.24	8,467,126.13	313,652.81	740,139.07	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62

Of Total Collections	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
County Taxes & Late List	57,592.76	1,109,580.41	920,917.10	710,248.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2016	82,996.07	1,133,740.35	1,058,796.26	600,879.32	1,608,294.62	7,306,535.25	194,347.99	546,925.63	127,089.32	128,226.36	70,761.31	78,232.41
Year 2015	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89	1,379,948.94	6,666,713.31	286,653.45	276,307.11	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88
Year 2014	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87	847,994.08	7,496,040.03	238,884.70	321,251.68	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85
Year 2013	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84	1,246,234.94	6,965,653.63	474,125.82	311,770.51	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76
Year 2012	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68	865,688.02	7,272,885.47	222,718.59	623,556.83	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06
Year 2011	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64	1,479,716.19	7,135,832.26	256,020.32	571,966.13	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97

Current Year (2016) Ad Valorem Collections %	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2016	N/A	9.62%	17.58%	19.76%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2015		9.95%	19.21%	20.23%	32.28%	87.29%	88.62%	92.22%	92.91%	93.48%	93.76%	94.10%
Year 2014		13.93%	20.03%	21.00%	31.94%	85.20%	87.23%	89.15%	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%
Year 2013		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%	25.52%	85.89%	87.53%	89.84%	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%
Year 2012		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%	28.32%	84.88%	88.50%	90.66%	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%
Year 2011		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%	24.84%	84.15%	85.77%	90.48%	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%
Year 2010		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%	27.10%	84.77%	86.61%	90.64%	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%
Year 2009		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%	26.88%	84.98%	87.03%	89.23%	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%
Year 2008		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%	29.04%	85.89%	89.03%	91.28%	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%
Year 2007		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%	25.78%	79.39%	90.58%	92.71%	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%

Current Year (2016) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Year 2016	8,930.38	10,139,418.36	9,249,405.45	10,823,179.90	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Year 2015	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90	8,902,579.70	1,673,108.72	1,497,555.15	1,023,815.29	932,466.16	857,832.30	821,557.65	776,672.15
Year 2014	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90	8,429,004.50	1,835,844.56	1,582,856.61	1,345,562.54	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69
Year 2013	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80	9,209,218.73	1,743,978.92	1,540,704.41	1,255,224.74	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86

History of Past Due Mailings	02/14/12		10/01/12		01/09/13		04/09/13		05/01/15		02/01/16	
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	4,559	3,637,092.32	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25	4,971	5,195,083.07

Tax Scroll Billings	Rate	Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	Utilities	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed
Year 2016	0.801	1,390,724,920	11,139,716.07	44,275.60	1,881,106.01	829,114.92	13,894,212.60	2016	2,248,304.20	107,296.98	203,294.13	2,558,895.31
Year 2015	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	2015	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,695.91	1,635,582.81	504,015.76	12,354,531.28	2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.81	113,513.96	2,121,552.29
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	2010	1,809,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76

Accounts Receivable by Type	Jul-16	Aug-16	Sep-16	Oct-16	Nov-15	Dec-15
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	219	113,900.06	217	112,995.79	215	112,444.85
Accts with Agreements	21	7,533.85	21	7,568.16	21	7,477.47
Accts in Foreclosure	233	107,680.74	232	107,854.54	231	108,027.10
Bankruptcies	58	38,335.82	58	38,326.25	57	38,284.64
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,652	3,873,109.54	11,510	3,816,247.74	11,400	3,797,710.51
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	26	9,774.63	17,894	12,573,383.71	16,033	11,497,133.92
**Red = AR Dollars	12,209	4,150,334.64	29,932	16,656,376.19	27,957	15,561,078.49
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	-	-	3	1,691.88	3	1,335.12
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		-		-		-

Accounts Receivable by Type	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16	Apr-16	May-16	Jun-16
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	122	54,698.44	208	95,433.00	238	107,688.56
Accts with Agreements	28	9,798.33	26	8,796.37	26	8,837.35
Accts in Foreclosure	284	141,438.19	281	141,202.99	276	139,380.29
Bankruptcies	50	36,238.92	54	38,419.92	54	38,475.05
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,188	3,225,321.13	9,709	3,082,486.47	9,565	3,051,530.68
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	5,008	1,898,071.68	3,574	1,304,909.42	3,317	1,249,953.32
**Red = AR Dollars	15,680	5,365,566.69	13,852	4,671,248.17	13,476	4,595,865.25
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	3	1,515.46	6	3,391.96	9	3,953.48
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		89,664.66		88,810.86		87,884.04

Electronic Transaction Report:

10/31/16

October 2016 Report - Board Meeting of 11/01/2016

Utilities Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2016	5,551.88	113	19	20
Aug 2016	4,985.57	108	23	23
Sep 2016	7,051.52	107	19	21
Oct 2016	5,643.34	105	18	21
Nov 2016	-	-	-	-
Dec 2016	-	-	-	-
Jan 2017	-	-	-	-
Feb 2017	-	-	-	-
Mar 2017	-	-	-	-
Apr 2017	-	-	-	-
May 2017	-	-	-	-
Jun 2017	-	-	-	-
	23,232.31	433		

Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2016	34,411.34	1,066	40,086.27	408
Aug 2016	41,378.41	1,077	24,124.50	357
Sep 2016	38,684.44	1,081	30,110.56	446
Oct 2016	38,373.71	1,092	28,879.83	428
Nov 2016	-	-	-	-
Dec 2016	-	-	-	-
Jan 2017	-	-	-	-
Feb 2017	-	-	-	-
Mar 2017	-	-	-	-
Apr 2017	-	-	-	-
May 2017	-	-	-	-
Jun 2017	-	-	-	-
	152,847.90	4,316	123,201.16	1,639

Tax Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2016	1,081.88	14	10	20
Aug 2016	12,986.69	34	12	23
Sep 2016	10,112.58	37	12	21
Oct 2016	11,699.15	31	9	21
Nov 2016	-	-	-	-
Dec 2016	-	-	-	-
Jan 2017	-	-	-	-
Feb 2017	-	-	-	-
Mar 2017	-	-	-	-
Apr 2017	-	-	-	-
May 2017	-	-	-	-
Jun 2017	-	-	-	-
	35,880.30	116		

Health Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2016	2,312.07	23	11	20
Aug 2016	1,763.63	18	11	23

	35,880.30	116		
Health Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2016	2,312.07	23	11	20
Aug 2016	1,763.63	18	11	23
Sep 2016	1,091.34	23	13	21
Oct 2016	1,668.60	24	16	21
Nov 2016	-	-	-	-
Dec 2016	-	-	-	-
Jan 2017	-	-	-	-
Feb 2017	-	-	-	-
Mar 2017	-	-	-	-
Apr 2017	-	-	-	-
May 2017	-	-	-	-
Jun 2017	-	-	-	-
	6,835.64	88		

Resolution for Centralina COG:

**RESOLUTION OF SUPPORT FOR CENTRALINA MOBILITY MANAGEMENT
and VOLUNTEER TRANSPORTATION SERVICES
NCDOT Consolidated Capital Call for Projects
FY 17-18 Grant Application**

WHEREAS, Article 2B of Chapter 136 of the North Carolina General Statutes and the Governor of North Carolina have designated the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) as the agency responsible for administering federal and state public transportation funds; and

WHEREAS, the North Carolina Department of Transportation, Public Transportation Division (PTD) has principal responsibility and authority to administer funds from the US Department of Transportation, Federal Transit Administration; and

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Section 5310 Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Program is to improve mobility for seniors and individuals with disabilities by removing barriers to transportation services and expanding the transportation mobility options available in rural and small urbanized areas of North Carolina; and

WHEREAS, the Centralina Council of Governments has worked in concert with NCDOT to provide a mobility management program for the nine county region and a Volunteer Transportation Service through Centralina Connection to improve services for elderly individuals, individuals with disabilities, and veterans; and

WHEREAS, the Centralina Council of Governments will apply for Section 5310 Elderly Individuals and Individuals with Disabilities Program funding through the Consolidated Capital Call for Projects for mobility management activities and Volunteer Transportation Services; and

WHEREAS, the Centralina has coordinated with the Transit Advisory Board for Anson County during regional mobility management activities; and

WHEREAS, no funds are being requested from Anson County to help implement this project,

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Anson County Board of Commissioners hereby approves a resolution of support for Centralina's funding proposal to the North Carolina Department of Transportation to improve transportation mobility for seniors, individuals with disabilities, and veterans.

Adopted this 1st day of November, 2016.

Appointments:

Transportation Advisory Board: Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Smith, to approve the reappointment of Bernice Bennett, Richard Tamura, Rodney Diggs, April Little and Annette Sullivan to the Transportation Advisory Board. Motion carried unanimously.

Comprehensive Transportation Planning (CTP): Commissioner Sikes asked to add Kevin Thomas to this group. County Manager Garner suggested adding Scott Rowell with Transportation and Stephanie Wilson as the hospital's representative. Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Sims, to approve the appointment of Kevin Thomas, Scott Rowell and Stephanie Wilson to the Comprehensive Transportation Planning (CTP) Board. Chairman Baucom noted they this includes those listed in the agenda. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Concerns: Chairman Baucom reported none were noted.

Closed Session: Chairman Baucom noted a closed session has been called with the County Manager. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to go into closed session pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(6) to consider the qualifications, competence, performance, condition of appointment of a public officer or employee or prospective public officer or employee. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, meeting adjourned.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 2 hr. 45 min.

