

THE ANSON COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS convened for their regular monthly meeting on Tuesday, November 3, 2015 beginning at 6:00 P.M. at Cooperative Extension, 501 McLaurin Street, Wadesboro, North Carolina.

Commissioners present: Anna Baucom, Chair
Ross Streater, Vice Chair
Bobby Sikes
Dr. Jim Sims
Harold Smith
Vancine Sturdivant
Jarvis Woodburn

Staff members present: Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC Clerk to the Board
Rita James, Data Processing
Tiffany Randall, CPA, Finance Officer
Scott Rowell, ACTS Director
Jeff Waisner, Parks and Rec.
Larry Newton, Assessor
Dr. Fred Thompson, Health Director
Evonne Burr, Health Department
Jimmy Williams, Sheriff's Department
Scott Howell, Chief Deputy

Others: Scott Forbes, County Attorney
Janine Rywak, Extension Director

Chairman Baucom called the meeting to **Order**, welcoming those present. Pastor Tony Barr, Sr., Ebenezer Missionary Baptist Church, delivered the **Invocation**.

Approval of the Agenda by Commissioners: Chairman Baucom noted that Sheriff Reid would not be here tonight and asked Chief Deputy Howell if he would be giving the report. Chief Deputy Howell answered they would leave that up to the sheriff. Chairman Baucom asked board members to add as item 3d Zoning and the Fire District Maps from 7e. Chairman Baucom asked if there were other changes to the Agenda with the clerk sharing that Ms. Whitley called just before the meeting to say she had a family emergency and would not be here tonight. Motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to approve the Agenda with the changes. Motion carried unanimously.

Public Hearings:

FY 11 CDBG – North Carolina Catalyst: Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to open the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In the Public Hearing, Bill Lester with LKC Engineering was here to speak to this issue. Mr. Lester shared that the purpose of the Public Hearing was to request an amendment to the budget. Mr. Lester explained that his firm, LKC Engineering, was hired to provide administration of this project from Ms. Beck about a year or two ago and they have completed or are completing all of the activities deemed eligible as originally proposed. Mr. Lester stated that there were a couple of housing relocation activities originally proposed but those have been deemed not eligible and all of the demolition/clearance activities have been completed as proposed. Mr. Lester shared that the project included work to the Crisis Ministry and Grace Senior Center. Mr. Lester said one project has been completed and one has work to be started any day. Mr. Lester stated that they will probably have some left over money to be returned because the grant was approved for the exact uses and the exact homes but they are going to try to amend it and use as much of it as they can on additional work at the Grace Senior Center. Mr. Lester stated that they are asking for an extension in order to execute it properly. Mr. Lester said there is a little money left in clearance and they would like to request to use those funds to address additional clearance units if any are identified. Mr. Lester noted the remainder will be used for Grace Senior Center. Mr. Lester explained that the project was awarded under two grant numbers which made it difficult. Mr. Lester stated that because this project was funded under two separate CDBG numbers, it made it difficult to execute the project as originally proposed. Mr. Lester stated that in addition to the improvements that are already proposed, they will look at maybe overlaying the parking area at Grace Senior Center and if there is anything else that needs to be done in the form of building improvements. Mr. Lester stated that this amendment will allow the funds to be transferred to the appropriate line items so that the proposed activities can be implemented. Mr. Lester added that there is no guarantee their request will be approved but they think they ought to try to spend a little of it if they can. Chairman Baucom called for public comment. Vice Chair Streater stated that Mr. Lester said there would be some money left over and he's been to Grace Senior Center when they have something going on and they don't have enough parking. Vice Chair Streater asked Mr. Lester if they would be able to enlarge the parking lot with Mr. Lester answering that was a possibility. Mr. Lester stated that all the bids they received exceeded the little money that is left and they hope to at least work on the existing lot with a little expansion. Mr. Lester stated that expanding it would take some property and if approved, those are some things they will look at. Vice Chair Streater asked how much property was there with Mr. Lester saying the front of the building was the most vacant area to expand for more spaces. Mr. Lester said it was tough to make a lot of spaces. Mr. Lester said they hope to get permission to transfer these funds in order to add to the parking spaces. Mr. Lester stated that the contractor will start any day now on some of the interior work. Commissioner Sims

voiced that we have enough needs that we should be able to show that not one single penny should go back. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to close the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, Vice Chair Streater stated that if the paper is approved by the County Attorney, he would offer a motion to make changes to the FY 11 CDBG North Carolina Catalyst Grant. Motion seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant. County Attorney Forbes stated that the letter looked fine and asked if this would be sent for their approval and the State's approval as well. Mr. Lester said that was correct and this board would be requesting approval from the State Division of Community Assistance. Motion carried unanimously.

ACTS – FISCAL YEAR 2017 Community Transportation Application:

Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to open the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Sims asked for just the changes. In the Public Hearing, Scott Rowell stated that he needs four new vehicles, three computers and an upgrade to their security system. Commissioner Sims asked if he had the money with Mr. Rowell answering the board does. Commissioner Sims asked in what means with Mr. Rowell explaining that 90% of the capital he just talked about is reimbursable from FTA and state funds and will cost us 10%. Mr. Rowell stated that we would get four new vehicles and we would sell four old vehicles to make up our 10%. Mr. Rowell noted that the admin budget has been held to the same level as the last seven or eight years and there is no change there. Chairman Baucom asked if this was to apply for a grant that will allow us to get four new vehicles and free up to sell four old vehicles and provide administrative funding with Mr. Rowell answering yes. Vice Chair Streater asked Mr. Rowell if he said the county portion would be covered from the sale of vehicles with Mr. Rowell answering on the capital portion they've been able to cover the county's 10% match by selling used vehicles. Chairman Baucom called for public comments. Mr. Boothby commented that it was nice to see when we make something pay for itself like this. Motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to close the Public Hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Sims, seconded by Vice Chair Streater, to apply for a grant that will provide four new vehicles and the administrative cost of the program. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Sturdivant mentioned that Chief Deputy Howell said they would wait on Sheriff Reid to make his presentation about the security issues and shared with board members a notebook from Sheriff Reid. Commissioner Sims shared that it was a comprehensive plan for security for both courtrooms, the entry ways and even bathrooms. Commissioner Sims stated that it includes what deputies would wear in terms of weaponry to make sure people can't hide things in the bathrooms.

Commissioner Sims said it was real inclusive. Vice Chair Streater spoke to Chief Deputy Howell saying someone approached him saying if we contracted our jail food to a local restaurant it might bring a few jobs to the county and boost what they could make in a year. Chief Deputy Howell stated that they did a brief study on this and Sheriff Reid has talked with the Jail Administrator about this and one thing to consider when you contract with a local restaurant is the fact that an inmate may have a special diet need and regulations for jail meals is so strict from the state a local restaurant may not meet the regulations. Chief Deputy Howell added that the study has not been closed and no decision has been made at this time. Chief Deputy Howell shared that they have had some complaints from family members of inmates about the portion size and other things and they have been addressed. Chief Deputy Howell stated that right now the meals are prepared at the Albemarle Jail through a company there and transported here three times a day. Chief Deputy Howell mentioned that before they purchased meals from the prison but if something were to happen at the prison causing a lockdown then we can't get meals out or if we had personnel in there they could not leave. Commissioner Sturdivant mentioned that if an inmate is a diabetic or if anything happens they can sue the county. Chief Deputy Howell shared that the Sheriff's policy is anything or any services that we can purchase in this county they are going to do it rather than go out of the county. Chief Deputy Howell added that they are using local vendors that were not utilized and they've added some local vendors since taking office rather than going to Rockingham or elsewhere. Chief Deputy Howell said if the need arises they are utilizing people that work here and have employees here.

Appearances:

Denise Whitley: Animal Control Issues: It was noted that Ms. Whitley had a family emergency and would not be here tonight.

Sheriff Reid: Security Issues: Sheriff Reid was not available tonight but his plan was shared with board members by Commissioner Sturdivant.

Larry Newton: Chairman Baucom stated that she asked Mr. Newton to be here this evening. Chairman Baucom reminded board members that they approved increasing the fire district parameters to go out six miles. Chairman Baucom added that we had trouble getting those maps verified and to Raleigh so people would get a break on their home insurance. Chairman Baucom stated that Mr. Newton has some new information on that and he also has suggestions on how to begin the process of zoning. Mr. Newton mentioned the brief discussion of the fire maps at the last meeting and the problems because they had been rejected. Mr. Newton stated at the last meeting that they were being reprinted and would be resubmitted and they were reprinted but we still don't have maps approved. Mr. Newton shared that what they've come up against is the same problem from the beginning. Mr. Newton thinks initially we had some turned down because of locating fire departments on the maps but overall the major problem was connecting of the main roads from map to map for fire purposes. Mr. Newton stated that he did not know the technical side of how those roads have to

match but they do to get state approval. Mr. Newton explained that the state knows of the problem and it is not unique to Anson County. Mr. Newton shared that Randy was working on the map and in his conversation with NCDOT found they are making a program that will connect the roads when the maps are run so there will be continuity from map to map as they are displayed. Mr. Newton stated that the problem is NCDOT will not give him a specific date for the release of the program. Mr. Newton stated that ESRA has a program that will do the same thing but they want us to purchase the program at a cost of \$2500. Mr. Newton shared that we could get the ESRA program on a trial basis for 60-90 days, use it and return it to them if we can't get the program from NCDOT. Mr. Newton was hopeful this would be resolved by the next board meeting. Mr. Newton stated it's almost like the county has done something wrong that all these insurance rates are going up but the county is not in error. Mr. Newton stated that from what he can determine people have been counted inside the five miles that should not have been there to begin with. Mr. Newton felt insurance companies have been doing that to quote a cheaper rate to get the business and now that the claims are out, rather than the agency verifying distances the insurance company is verifying distances. Mr. Newton felt we may still have the same problem with the six miles because there will be people right on the edge but he thinks it won't happen as it has in the past. Mr. Newton stated that he read that we have three fire departments on probation and we received high ISO ratings and wondered if there was a concentrated effort to help these departments get off probation and back to an acceptable rating. Commissioner Sikes explained that it has to do with members and how much water a fire department can deliver at a scene in a certain amount of time. Mr. Newton felt the issue was larger than just fire districts. Chairman Baucom asked if he mentioned something about the distance from the property was real road miles with Mr. Newton answering yes. Mr. Newton stated that getting the fire districts situated and the six miles out there is not the end of the work that has to be done in getting these people in the right fire district. Mr. Newton noted that everybody was in a fire district they are just not in the five or six miles. Mr. Newton noted that when we start changing the fire district we want to be sure the money gets to the right fire department and there is still work to be done on the tax side to be sure the departments get the money they deserve. Chairman Baucom asked if Rodney Diggs was over all this with the Clerk noting he was Emergency Management/Fire Marshal. Chairman Baucom asked if we could put this on this table to make sure all volunteer fire departments are certified with the Clerk answering yes. County Attorney Forbes stated that he was discussing contracts with him as early as tomorrow if she would like him to mention this to him on behalf of the board. Vice Chair Streater asked if it was to make sure they are certified with Chairman Baucom saying to develop a plan and work through it to make sure they are certified. Commissioner Smith voiced that it was all in his scope of work. Vice Chair Streater mentioned that they've been talking about a couple of fire departments in the county having problems and feels a lot of this is membership. Vice Chair Streater stated if the state comes in and closes one of these fire departments it will fall on the county to get fire protection to that area. Mr. Newton feels there needs to be something working towards an end. Commissioner Sturdivant agreed that this falls

under Rodney's scope, adding that Lilesville was the number one fire department in the county. Chairman Baucom asked if the board wanted her to talk with Rodney with members saying yes. Chairman Baucom then asked if she could assign this to the County Attorney with members in agreement. Chairman Baucom noted that we give them money every year with Vice Chair Streater answering we give them fire tax money. County Attorney Forbes stated that they are also reviewing the contracts to assure we can continue to do that and that may be something you would like him to include in the contracts. Chairman Baucom noted that we could ignore this but we are still going to be responsible. Board members agreed we need to work with them. Commissioner Woodburn stated that he's heard a lot of concern but he's heard nothing that says we are going to start working towards that. Commissioner Woodburn felt there may be some complicated things involved but we can start with things we can get done right now so we'll have that out of the way. Chairman Baucom felt our Emergency Management person was the one to lead this process to make sure that these departments are certified. Chairman Baucom stated that she hears consensus to have our County Attorney have this conversation with our Emergency Management person.

Mr. Newton then asked to address the zoning issue. Mr. Newton stated that since he took over and has been filling in as Interim Planner/Zoning he has noticed some things in our Ordinances and in the way we are doing things that may help to start a comprehensive use plan for the county. Mr. Newton thinks there are some things that we are doing now that we could change and maybe help us in the way we're getting concerns from citizens about mobile homes being clustered together and minimum size lots. Mr. Newton thinks we can do this and not address the comprehensive plan at this point. Mr. Newton explained that when Burnsville was thinking about zoning, he attended all those meetings and they just didn't have the right direction or leadership to put together what they wanted to do in their area. Mr. Newton noted that White Store was the only area in the county that we have five acres, and actually there is a corridor through that five acres that allows a one acre site. Mr. Newton feels we have got to let the citizens see that this is for them and in their best interest. Mr. Newton noted that recently the board had to address the issue of manufactured homes being placed on small lots and three or four being clustered together. Mr. Newton noted that according to our Ordinance, in a non-zoned area in the county the minimum lot size is 20,000 square feet. Mr. Newton stated that when he talks with other counties about planning and zoning to see what they've done they can't believe we have this small a lot size. Mr. Newton stated that a number of counties are going to an acre or three-quarters of an acre lot size. Mr. Newton stated that this means that if you have 20,000 square feet as a minimum you could take 80,000 square feet of land, which is less than 2 acres, and put 4 houses on it. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if that included the septic system with Mr. Newton answering that would be four septic systems and four water supplies if county water is not available. Mr. Newton asked permission to go to the planning board and find out if there is something we need to do to increase the minimum lot size and that would keep the

small parcels from being broken up and clustering houses side by side. Mr. Newton added that this would not change our minor or major subdivision rules or our lineal subdivision rule but it would require more land per residence. Mr. Newton noted this would not be spot zoning and it would be county-wide in unzoned areas. Mr. Newton stated that in our Ordinance we have where you can put an accessory home on a residential lot if the square footage is one and one-half times the minimum. Mr. Newton noted that we have two homes on parcels that are like a thumb nail because that has been allowed to happen. Mr. Newton stated these homes are there just for assistance to the primary if there is a need and they are supposed to be reviewed over a period of time and at some point possibly removed. Mr. Newton finds that once placed they are there from now on and he feels we need to do away with the accessory residence provision in the ordinance and do like the other counties and say one residence per parcel. Commissioner Smith felt that was included because we had some people with an elderly parent with Mr. Newton saying that was exactly right. Mr. Newton feels it has been abused and he would also like to bring this as a recommendation that we either rewrite it or change it for one residence per parcel. Chairman Baucom felt if you had an additional building to help or assist your parents or whatever there would be a time limit and feels we need to be able to accommodate those situations but we also need to be able to say when it's no longer needed take it away. Mr. Newton stated that they find they don't get taken away but if we raise the minimum lot size to something other than 20,000 square feet and we allow that second residence and we still use the provision of one and one-half times the minimum we'll have a larger lot to start with. Commissioner Woodburn felt it would be more appropriate to increase the required lot size. Mr. Newton noted that those in place now would be grandfathered in and it would be from this point forward. Vice Chair Streater asked what if they try to replace one of those homes with Commissioner Smith thinking there would be stipulations. County Attorney Forbes asked if those homes were still subject to the review process but we've just not been reviewing them with Mr. Newton answering yes. County Attorney Forbes felt they would not be grandfathered in but it would be reviewed in or reviewed out. Mr. Newton stated it would depend on how the Ordinance reads and how it is enforced. Chairman Baucom asked board members if they were ok with Mr. Newton taking this to the planning board and returning with a recommendation with all members answering yes. Commissioner Sturdivant recognized Mr. Newton for helping quite a few of her senior citizens saying they tell her how good he is to them. Chairman Baucom voiced that he has been very helpful to her and she appreciates it.

Public Addresses to the Board:

Reverend Chambers and his uncle, Charles Cox, thanked board members for the opportunity to come before them. Reverend Chambers stated that he had already spoken with Commissioner Smith and Vice Chair Streater about their situation. Reverend Chambers stated that they live at 1098 Brown Creek Church Road on heir property. Reverend Chambers stated that Mr. Cox's daughter was putting a home there

and she spoke with Ginger at 911 addressing and there was something about having more than two homes on the same driveway. Reverend Chambers shared that he talked with the inspector who is familiar with the property, adding that the road that comes into their property goes all the way through the Cox acres. Reverend Chambers stated that it starts at his house and goes all the way around and if you go further down Brown Creek Church Road you can take that road into the old home place and come right around to where they live. Reverend Chambers stated that it was suggested to them that instead of them having to change their addresses so his cousin can get her house built out there that they could rename the road that goes in by his residence and goes all the way around and comes back out on Brown Creek Church Road. Reverend Chambers stated that they wanted to know if something could be done this way. Reverend Chambers stated that he built on his property a year or so ago. Reverend Chambers stated that when Anson County switched over to 911 addresses it was a good thing but they had to jump through a lot of hoops to get addresses changed and now with his daughter but his children at some point in time will probably end up moving out there somewhere and they are suggesting if they could just name the road something they would not have to be concerned about going through the process of changing addresses. Commissioner Smith stated that the inspector indicated this was the easiest way to do this. Reverend Chambers added that he made it clear that he could not say this was what they needed to do but he suggested this was the simplest thing to do. Commissioner Sturdivant asked if they had decided on a name with Mr. Cox saying they were thinking about naming it after his father. Vice Chair Streater felt there was something in place already that says if there are more than two residence it has to have a name so this would make it a third residence and they would have to name it. County Attorney Forbes asked if this was a state maintained road with Vice Chair Streater answering no it is private. Commissioner Smith noted this was a private road into their own property and he would not object if all the family moved out there. Commissioner Smith felt it was our duty to assist them. The clerk shared a copy of the Road Naming Ordinance with board members. Chairman Baucom stated that this would be turned over to road naming.

Administrative Matters:

CDBG Economic Development Program: County Attorney Forbes asked if this was the closed session item with Mr. Lester saying yes, unless there was something else they wanted to talk about with Economic Development. Chairman Baucom suggested taking a break and when they return going into closed session for Economic Development.

After the break, the next topic of discussion was **Old Business**.

Repairs needed in the Grand Jury Room: Mr. Waisner stated that he met with Chairman Baucom last Thursday and shared a copy of the proposal to redo the Grand Jury Room. Mr. Waisner stated that these repairs were in the original renovation plan for the interior of the courthouse. Mr. Waisner shared that two years they redid

the restrooms on the second floor to make them ADA compliant and that was the last thing they did because they ran out of funds. Mr. Waisner stated that this room was like this in 2010 when they started the renovations. Mr. Waisner presented a price for making that room look like it would have looked had they continued the renovation in the amount of \$19,184. Mr. Waisner stated that it would have the same color ceramic tile as the first floor. Mr. Waisner stated that the money he has budgeted for courthouse maintenance will be spent in just keeping the building going. Mr. Waisner shared that he spoke with Rita and the funds for these repairs will have to come from fund balance. Ms. James stated that we do have some money in contingency with Chairman Baucom asking the amount with Ms. James thinking it was \$102,000. Chairman Baucom noted that the grand jury room was a mess in the late 90's and it doesn't sound like it's had any repairs. Commissioner Sims felt that eventually Raleigh will make us fix it with Vice Chair Streater asking if there was any money in court facilities. Ms. James stated this would be courthouse building money with Vice Chair Streater asking if we could use that money with Ms. James saying we might could but we spent all of their money doing the major renovations we've done and their fund balance is not as healthy as it once was. Commissioner Smith asked if there were bathroom facilities in the grand jury room with Mr. Waisner answering they were right down the hall. Mr. Waisner stated that it would take about five weeks to complete and they would coordinate with the court system. Chairman Baucom asked if we've used any contingency money with Ms. Randall saying there was a Budget Amendment tonight to use some. Vice Chair Streater asked the amount with Ms. Randall answering \$5,000. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Sturdivant, to use \$19,184 from contingency to make repairs to the grand jury room. Mr. Waisner shared with board members that with all the rain we've had we've had some leaking buildings. Mr. Waisner shared that when the government center was purchased and renovated he was not involved and they did not put a new roof on the building and it has been patched and patched again. Mr. Waisner said that yesterday there was water coming in Rita's office on the backside of her wall and Mr. Waisner told board members we are going to need a new roof on the building. Vice Chair Streater asked the cost with Mr. Waisner saying he was getting an estimate. Mr. Waisner noted that we were also going to have to put a roof on the library. Vice Chair Streater felt we did the library a few years back with Mr. Waisner thinking it has been 15 years. Mr. Waisner said the library roof was in bad shape with Commissioner Smith asking if it was a flat roof with Mr. Waisner answering it was curved and the government center was a flat rubber membrane. Commissioner Sims commented that they don't allow state buildings to have flat roofs anymore. Vice Chair Streater asked if he was talking about replacing it with a flat roof with Mr. Waisner saying a pitched roof would be expensive and we have two twenty ton air conditioning units there that would have to be put somewhere. Chairman Baucom stated that the question before the board is money for the grant jury room repairs and funds from contingency. Motion carried unanimously.

Expiration Date of the Union County Water Contract: County Attorney Forbes stated that the contract was signed February 5, 1993 for 20 years. County

Attorney Forbes said that meant the first term was up 2013 and there is a provision that it will automatically renew every four years. County Attorney Forbes said the first 20 year term was up February 5, 2013 and we are on the first renewal term of four years and it appears that will be up in 2017. County Attorney Forbes said that either party can give written notice one year in advance to the term ending that they do not wish to renew. County Attorney Forbes said if we don't want to renew our contract with them, we can give them notice up to February 5, 2016. County Attorney Forbes said if neither side does that then according to the provision of the contract it automatically renews for a period of another four years through 2021 and again 2025 and again 2029 and at the end of the time there are no provisions made for that. Commissioner Sims felt the renewal time was too short. Commissioner Sikes asked if anyone had talked to Union County to know what their plans are. County Attorney Forbes stated that no action was required right now. Vice Chair Streater asked if they were going to build a new water plant would it take five or six years? Chairman Baucom asked that in 2013 it auto renewed for four years to 2017 thinking we did not want to end the contract. Vice Chair Streater mentioned a meeting with Union County at the airport where they wanted to enter a clause where we could not sell Marshville any more water and asked if we did anything with that. Commissioner Woodburn stated that we did not agree to that and we made it clear we would not agree to that. Chairman Baucom stated that they broke off the conversation over the IBT and they said it was our problem and we said if it's in Union County it is your problem. Chairman Baucom asked the deal with Marshville with County Attorney Forbes saying the contract says within 10 years and it was signed 1991 so it was up for the first 10 year term in 2001. County Attorney Forbes then read that Marshville has the right of first refusal to purchase water for an additional 10 years based on the following conditions. County Attorney Forbes stated that they signed an addendum to that in 2011. Chairman Baucom asked if we had a contract with them with County Attorney Forbes saying that is why he said it was not straight forward. County Attorney Forbes said they have another 10 year extension from September 2011 through September 2021. County Attorney Forbes noted this contract would be up September 19, 2021. Vice Chair Streater asked if it would automatically renew with County Attorney Forbes saying this was a contract extension agreement and that is what they agreed upon, September 19, 2021. Chairman Baucom suggested the board consider about midyear 2016 going over and talking with them and encourage them to renew. Commissioner Smith asked if this was Marshville or Monroe with Chairman Baucom answering Marshville. Vice Chair Streater agreed. Chairman Baucom asked the Clerk to make a note of this to develop a plan to talk with Marshville in February or March 2016. Vice Chair Streater asked about Richmond County with County Attorney Forbes saying he had never seen the Richmond County contract. Chairman Baucom asked if we sold water to Ansonville with Vice Chair Streater answering yes. Chairman Baucom asked if we had a contract with no one knowing for sure. County Attorney Forbes stated that it appears we only sell water to Richmond County on the weekends and asked if that was true with Commissioner Woodburn saying we sell it all the time but they only use it on the weekends. Commissioner Woodburn stated that they have their own plant now. Chairman Baucom

felt the board needed to have letters of agreement or contracts with every entity we sell water to so we know the terms and conditions. Chairman Baucom felt we would have a hard time going to a new customer asking for a contract or letter of agreement if we don't have them with our other major customers. Commissioner Smith stated that we may not have a contract with the people in the county because they are citizens and it's our duty to see that they have water. Commissioner Smith felt the Richmond County contract was bad from the beginning and it could not be broken. Chairman Baucom felt we needed assurance that our major customers are going to buy water in order to protect them and us.

Minimum Housing Code for Rental Property: Chairman Baucom stated that the inspector sent her a copy of the Ordinance but she has not had time to read it. Chairman Baucom asked that this be carried over to December.

Deed for Property Exchange at WWTP: County Attorney Forbes stated that today he spoke with Mike Sessions and Larry Newton and the items have been picked up but a deed has not been recorded yet. County Attorney Forbes stated that he attempted to find out why the deed has not been recorded and he will attempt that tomorrow as well and will report to the board. Chairman Baucom asked if it was in progress with County Attorney Forbes answering yes.

County Wide Zoning: Chairman Baucom stated that the interim planner touched on this and made good suggestions and felt the board needed to take them under consideration.

Consent Agenda: Chairman Baucom stated that the items were pretty straight forward. Ms. Randall asked the board to add the Budget Amendment previously approved and she will have it ready for her signature tomorrow. Ms. Randall noted this covers the work to be done on the grand jury room and was not included in the packet. Vice Chair Streater asked which amendment was the \$5,000 she spoke about with Mr. Randall answering j. Commissioner Smith asked what the board was going to do about countywide zoning. Commissioner Smith mentioned the situation on Country Club Road with Chairman Baucom saying we've had several emergency type situations that zoning would have addressed and it is up to this board. Chairman Baucom stated that we have a land use plan that calls for the land to be used exactly as it was being used at the time the plan was developed. Chairman Baucom stated that they went to every community and town in the county and people had input into the plan and White Store was the only one that jumped on it. Chairman Baucom stated that she did not know the process for including the land use plan. County Attorney Forbes stated that they would have to hold a Public Hearing to present the plan and suggested another review with the planning board so at least they could reconsider some things. Commissioner Woodburn noted that Centralina COG took the lead on the land use plan. Commissioner Smith felt something needed to be done to protect citizens in residential area as he would hate to see folks build nice homes and have a hog or chicken houses

close by. After discuss, motion by Commissioner Smith, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to have the planning board review the existing land use plan and make recommendations to the board. Commissioner Woodburn thinks it actually needs to be amended or we might could use the land use plan as a basis to start the process. Commissioner Woodburn felt the end result needs to be full county zoning. Commissioner Woodburn felt the plan was not a matter of zoning but just a land use plan that specifies what is there and what the citizens wanted. Commissioner Woodburn feels we could use this to actually move into full blown zoning for Anson County. Motion carried unanimously. Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the consent agenda and add the Budget Amendment for the grand jury room in the amount of \$19,184 from contingency. Vice Chair Streater added that when the board goes into closed session he would like to discuss item j with the Clerk.

Meeting Minutes: approved minutes dated October 6, 2015 and October 19, 2015 and closed session minutes dated October 6, 2015 and October 19, 2015.

Tax Releases:

Real Property Taxes

FY 2015-2016 Current Year Ad Valorem - 2015
October 31, 2015

(Total \$\$ Collections)	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
Year 2015	-	-	-	-	125,891.33	1,432,820.37	1,280,633.24	747,790.07
Year 2014	369,675.17	199,370.89	437,305.42	202,181.68	153,640.24	1,882,078.48	792,701.58	692,263.47
Year 2013	256,681.87	153,925.51	122,514.28	179,074.87	62,285.51	1,345,310.70	916,100.29	800,689.88
Year 2012	234,592.51	179,365.23	196,487.21	165,789.97	139,879.76	1,330,911.40	863,307.89	662,140.94
Year 2011	326,631.55	153,989.93	109,921.59	207,718.61	78,897.91	1,033,340.38	1,114,236.77	724,932.68
Year 2010	332,344.50	132,854.57	142,607.79	132,015.62	64,025.06	698,291.83	1,078,301.02	727,146.32
Year 2009	360,236.26	261,656.33	87,022.22	149,915.40	75,012.59	562,659.35	1,317,720.88	681,923.36
Of Total Collections								
County Taxes & Late List								
Year 2015	-	-	-	-	82,896.07	1,133,740.35	1,058,796.26	600,879.32
Year 2014	267,036.00	147,163.19	292,830.80	137,350.88	115,026.22	1,475,428.46	656,910.38	564,856.89
Year 2013	192,037.08	112,155.68	89,587.40	121,642.85	44,283.99	1,078,657.83	757,121.45	652,575.87
Year 2012	186,458.87	132,595.62	139,834.71	113,267.76	106,855.68	1,100,182.13	716,431.79	548,716.84
Year 2011	244,496.75	114,354.72	80,805.60	121,655.06	53,935.78	840,543.63	921,545.62	603,338.68
Year 2010	256,151.14	101,065.71	104,729.42	95,416.97	47,746.47	560,283.83	894,518.98	605,605.64
Year 2009	278,799.08	189,446.64	63,406.87	112,505.37	52,118.13	460,019.34	1,104,190.36	561,891.76
Current Year (2015) Ad Valorem Collections %								
Year 2015					N/A	9.95%	19.21%	20.23%
Year 2014	90.94%	91.75%	93.23%	93.87%		13.93%	20.03%	21.00%
Year 2013	91.02%	91.74%	92.21%	92.87%		10.02%	16.86%	18.95%
Year 2012	91.84%	92.68%	93.58%	94.00%		10.76%	14.37%	18.46%
Year 2011	92.07%	92.77%	93.20%	93.75%		7.87%	13.92%	18.51%
Year 2010	92.35%	92.95%	93.57%	94.09%		4.90%	13.35%	15.95%
Year 2009	91.26%	92.70%	93.11%	93.78%		4.47%	12.81%	17.03%
Year 2008	92.77%	93.40%	94.15%	94.62%		12.88%	15.53%	20.18%
Year 2007	93.76%	94.32%	94.78%	95.25%		12.95%	16.08%	19.69%
Year 2006	93.41%	94.53%	95.28%	95.78%		9.72%	15.87%	20.69%
Current Year (2015) Accounts Receivable Balance Remaining For County Taxes ONLY - Including Late Penalties								
Year 2015	-	-	-	-	17,979.25	9,856,920.19	8,844,786.09	10,484,596.90
Year 2014	1,122,810.42	1,022,596.39	836,526.93	757,635.69	10,287,030.03	8,920,787.68	8,289,002.31	9,767,584.90
Year 2013	1,108,960.08	1,019,728.08	961,199.68	879,940.86	19,880.54	9,290,290.35	8,585,188.08	10,002,458.80
Year 2012	999,483.29	896,470.97	786,437.51	734,822.47	44,734.04	9,226,756.70	10,479,738.36	9,979,652.70
History of Past Due Mailings								
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	2,419	2,362,763.93	5,543	4,420,774.02	3,360	3,308,737.72	3,729	4,334,411.25
Tax Scroll Billings								
	Tax Rate	Taxed Value	County Tax	Late List	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed	
Year 2015	0.801	1,359,925,855	10,893,015.61	19,159.56	1,839,301.39	769,154.92	13,520,631.48	
Year 2014	0.767	1,337,826,323	10,261,136.82	25,913.00	1,817,440.08	543,719.23	12,648,209.13	
Year 2013	0.767	1,337,123,638	10,255,747.03	38,016.08	1,646,610.96	506,563.47	12,446,937.54	
Year 2012	0.767	1,329,890,486	10,200,268.80	14,665.91	1,635,582.81	504,013.76	12,354,531.28	
Year 2011	0.767	1,323,056,075	10,147,848.87	13,689.32	1,639,958.48	499,585.19	12,301,081.86	
Year 2010	0.894	1,327,866,369	10,184,743.25	15,890.10	1,629,828.36	503,371.31	12,333,833.02	
Year 2009	0.894	1,074,350,757	9,604,696.60	38,844.26	1,596,904.94	419,657.98	11,660,103.78	
Utilities								
	County Tax	City Taxes	Fire Taxes	Total Billed				
Year 2015	2,194,701.64	105,825.79	188,443.47	2,488,970.90				
Year 2014	1,986,444.95	98,421.89	129,157.90	2,214,024.74				
Year 2013	2,006,288.46	87,240.23	120,629.50	2,214,158.19				
Year 2012	1,912,391.52	95,646.61	113,513.96	2,121,552.09				
Year 2011	1,903,727.55	96,335.67	112,271.30	2,112,334.52				
Year 2010	1,909,334.87	96,146.67	110,638.22	2,116,119.76				
Year 2009	1,997,433.34	93,637.75	102,680.57	2,193,751.66				
Accounts Receivable by Type								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	133	57,669.24	127	53,990.15	126	53,075.78	125	53,821.20
Accts with Agreements	29	9,835.40	29	9,883.16	29	9,880.92	29	9,878.32
Accts in Foreclosure	302	145,815.27	299	144,934.83	296	142,898.26	296	142,992.48
Bankruptcies	61	42,790.75	60	42,610.64	58	41,738.08	65	43,691.49
Remaining Accts Collectible	10,842	3,485,818.71	10,682	3,409,488.71	10,582	3,365,980.29	10,459	3,318,053.75
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	58	17,979.25	17,733	12,212,063.09	15,841	10,999,113.87	14,859	12,806,708.70
**Red = AR Dollars	11,425	3,759,908.62	28,930	15,872,970.58	26,932	14,612,687.20	25,833	16,375,145.94
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	6	1,067.57	7	2,581.35	9	4,376.61	3	1,297.24
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		93,702.34		93,327.29		93,226.91		92,716.93
One Year Ago								
	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now	#	\$\$ Due Now
Active Garnishments	146	58,557.10	138	56,347.02	137	56,005.40	134	54,573.34
Accts with Agreements	38	10,517.91	38	10,570.85	38	10,623.79	38	10,656.73
Accts in Foreclosure	284	124,480.83	284	124,945.31	284	125,488.79	284	126,131.91
Bankruptcies	86	49,852.64	86	49,885.75	86	50,140.88	86	50,170.30
Remaining Accts Collectible	11,314	3,315,383.46	10,554	3,214,994.13	10,456	3,196,514.42	10,332	3,154,562.37
Current Year Ad Valorem(All)	19,809	12,649,107.79	17,050	10,916,704.93	15,693	10,160,994.53	14,759	11,760,366.24
**Red = AR Dollars	31,677	16,207,899.73	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
	1	507.76	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		181,954.95		179,531.80		177,825.76		177,932.25

**Red = AR Dollars	31,677	16,207,899.73	28,150	14,373,447.99	26,694	13,599,767.81	25,633	15,156,460.89
	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$	#	\$\$
\$\$ Pd/Foreclosures/ by Mo	1	507.76	2	204.82	2	713.58	-	-
# Accts Given to ParaLegal	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Garnishments Executed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Debt Setoff Letters Mailed	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Balance owed on Debt Setoff(Call DSC239)		181,954.95		179,531.80		177,825.76		177,932.25

Electronic Transaction Report:

10/31/15

October 2015 Report - Board Meeting of 11/03/2015

Utilities Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	9,257.27	240	22	22
Aug 2015	9,231.86	222	19	21
Sep 2015	11,410.83	258	20	21
Oct 2015	10,214.59	237	22	22
Nov 2015	-	-	-	-
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	40,114.55	957		

Utilities Dept -Draft Records & Unpaid Accts with Phone reminders

Month	Total \$\$ Draft Records	# Accounts Pd	Tot \$\$ Unpaid w/Phone calls	# Accts Reminded
Jul 2015	34,663.23	1,025	26,761.68	402
Aug 2015	40,673.22	1,034	38,545.29	444
Sep 2015	35,905.69	1,033	91,810.57	449
Oct 2015	35,832.17	1,037	43,604.22	436
Nov 2015	-	-	-	-
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	147,074.31	4,129	200,721.76	1,731

Tax Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	7,592.91	33	8	22
Aug 2015	15,009.86	53	14	21
Sep 2015	4,025.84	19	10	21
Oct 2015	6,062.44	30	10	22
Nov 2015	-	-	-	-
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	32,691.05	135		

Health Department Electronic Transactions

Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	1,047.96	20	13	22
Aug 2015	1,300.81	28	14	21

	32,691.05	135		
Health Department Electronic Transactions				
Month	Total \$\$	# Accounts Pd	# Days Electronic Pyt Used	# Working Days
Jul 2015	1,047.96	20	13	22
Aug 2015	1,300.81	28	14	21
Sep 2015	584.55	17	7	21
Oct 2015	915.80	21	14	22
Nov 2015	-	-	-	-
Dec 2015	-	-	-	-
Jan 2016	-	-	-	-
Feb 2016	-	-	-	-
Mar 2016	-	-	-	-
Apr 2016	-	-	-	-
May 2016	-	-	-	-
Jun 2016	-	-	-	-
	3,849.12	86		

Budget Amendment – JCPC Youth Administration: to appropriate fund balance to refund overpayment for JCPC Youth Srv Adv Council Program for FY 2014-2015.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: JCPC Youth Srv Adv Council 11-5840	\$ 465
Total Increase:	\$ 465

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: General Fund Balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ 465
Total Increase:	\$ 465

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Budget Amendment – 4-H Youth Promise: to appropriate fund balance to refund overpayment for 4-H Youth Promise for FY 2014-2015.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase: 4-H Youth Promise 11-5870	\$ 2,413
Total Increase:	\$ 2,413

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase: General Fund Balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ 2,413
Total Increase:	\$ 2,413

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Sheriff: to appropriate funds from fund balance that were rolled over from prior fiscal year for purchase of a new Sheriff's Department vehicle.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase:	Sheriff/Jail 11-4310-4320	\$ 5,000
Total Increase:		\$ 5,000

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase:	General Fund Balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ 5,000
Total Increase:		\$ 5,000

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Sales & Use Tax: to appropriate funds from fund balance that were rolled over from prior fiscal years for ¼ cent Sales Tax for April, May and June 2015. These funds are to be paid to Anson County Schools.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General fund Expenditures

Increase:	Public Schools – Grant 11-5913	\$ 53,391
Total Increase:		\$ 53,391

Section 2. General Fund Revenues

Increase:	General Fund Balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ 53,391
Total Increase:		\$ 53,391

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Budget Amendment – DSS Facility Program: to adjust amount rolled over from fund balance from prior fiscal year for use for the DSS Facility Program.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease:	Governing Body – General Administration 11-4110	\$ (668)
Total Decrease:		\$ (668)

Section 2. General Fund Revenues:

Decrease:	General Fund balance Appropriation 11-4110	\$ (668)
Total Decrease:		\$ (668)

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Budget Amendment – Insurance Deductible: to appropriate funding needed for payment of insurance deductible.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FY 2015/2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase:	Social Services 11-5310-5580	\$ 5,000
Total Increase:		\$ 5,000

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease:	Contingency – Emergencies 11-9912	\$ (5,000)
Total Decrease:		\$ (5,000)

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015

Budget Amendment – Courthouse: to appropriate funding needed for repairs to the Grand Jury Room at the Courthouse.

AMENDMENT

Anson County Budget Ordinance FY 2015/2016

BE IT ORDAINED by the Anson County Board of Commissioners that the FISCAL YEAR 2015-2016 Budget Ordinance be amended as follows:

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Increase:	Courthouse Building 11-4165	\$ 19,200
Total Increase:		\$ 19,200

Section 1. General Fund Expenditures

Decrease:	Contingency – Emergencies 11-9912	\$ (19,200)
Total Decrease:		\$ (19,200)

Adopted this 3rd day of November, 2015.

Appointment:

Transportation Advisory Board: Motion by Vice Chair Streater, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to approve the following appointments to the Transportation Advisory Board: Dixie Allen, Citizen; Evonne Burr, Health Department; Lula Jackson, DSS Director; Robert Washington, Faith Based Service and Dana Stoogenke, Director Rocky River RPO. Motion carried unanimously.

Commissioner Concerns: There were none tonight.

Chairman's Report: Chairman Baucom noted that she really did not have a report. Chairman Baucom reminded board members of the meeting Saturday to interview County Manager candidates. Chairman Baucom asked board members if they wanted to meet at 8 or 8:30 with the clerk saying she will have something for breakfast here around 8:15ish. Vice Chair Streater asked if security would be needed Saturday with the clerk saying Mr. Bennett would be downstairs.

Closed Session: Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Woodburn, to go into closed session to discuss Economic Development pursuant to North Carolina General Statutes 143-318.11(a)(4) to discuss matters relating to the location or expansion of business in the area served by this body. Motion carried unanimously.

In regular session, motion by Commissioner Woodburn, seconded by Commissioner Sikes, that we approve the agreement for Engineering Services with LKC under these conditions: first of all they do not proceed with any activities until given authorization and the conditions are that we have a viable water agreement with the Town of Wadesboro and a viable commitment from Project X and until those conditions are met there is no activity by LKC. Mr. Kiker asked the board to consider a clause or additional consideration by the board, thinking this would eliminate the need for another meeting. County Attorney Forbes said they could always come back and do something. Vice Chair Streater stated that we want a contract that they are going to

buy that water from us. Commissioner Woodburn offered for discussion that once those conditions are met we could call a special meeting to make sure everybody is on the same page and everybody understands we can do that. Chairman Baucom felt we needed full knowledge and an informed board involved in this. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Lester stated that they were willing to be at the table with the county when we talk with Marshville and Union County. Mr. Lester added that they think these are long term agreements and not short term. Mr. Lester was of the opinion that what Union is doing is because of need and they will not stop taking water where they can take water and Marshville loves Anson County water and they hope to grow and buy more water. Chairman Baucom favors talking with them for good customer relations and let them know we appreciate their business. Vice Chair Streater offered to go with her.

Motion by Commissioner Sikes, seconded by Commissioner Sims, to recess until Saturday, November 7, 2015 at 8:30 A.M. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted:

Bonnie M. Huntley, NCCCC
Clerk to the Board

Meeting time: 3 hr. 10 min.